

Letter to the Editor

Misclassification and Bias in Military Studies of Mefloquine

Dear Sir:

I read with interest the recent analysis by Eick-Cost and others, which examined associations among U.S. military personnel between various neurologic and psychiatric diagnoses and earlier use of mefloquine.¹ Although not accounting for multiple hypothesis testing, the authors' finding in subgroup analysis of a significantly increased risk of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) with use of mefloquine is intriguing and calls for further investigation.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration has warned that neuropsychiatric adverse effects from mefloquine, unlike those from other antimalarials, may last years after use or even be permanent. These lasting effects, which may include vivid nightmares, disordered sleep, anxiety, irritability, anger, cognitive dysfunction, and dissociation, may mimic certain symptoms of PTSD.² Recently, several cases have been reported in which these symptoms have been misattributed among U.S. military personnel to PTSD.^{2,3} Potentially consistent with such adverse effects from mefloquine being more broadly misattributed to PTSD, among a nondeployed subgroup the Eick-Cost analysis found a nearly doubled risk of the diagnosis among those prescribed mefloquine as compared with those prescribed atovaquone-proguanil, after adjusting for common confounders.

Although a significant association of PTSD diagnosis with mefloquine was not seen in comparison to doxycycline, this may reflect the effects of differential exposure misclassification and selection bias. Many of the subjects in the doxycycline cohort were deployed to combat in Afghanistan, during a time when policy changes beginning in 2009 made doxycycline the preferred antimalarial,⁴ and when drug use was increasingly subject to electronic documentation. In contrast, for much of the prior two decades when mefloquine had been the drug of choice for combat deployments, its use was often undocumented.^{2,5} As many of the subjects in the doxycycline cohort may be expected to have had one or more prior combat deployments, they may also have had prior undocumented exposure to mefloquine. Any lasting effects from such exposure may consequently have been misclassified in the Eick-Cost analysis as being due to doxycycline rather than to mefloquine. Furthermore, the presence of such lasting effects, even if not resulting in a diagnosis but only a prescription of a psychotropic medication to control symptoms, may have made subsequent exposure to mefloquine less likely, as a prior study has shown.⁶ Both this misclassification and bias may have had the effect of decreasing subsequent neurologic and psychiatric diagnoses in the mefloquine cohort relative to the doxycycline cohort, diluting any observed associations. These limitations may potentially be overcome by restricting future investigations to military personnel without prior deployments and without evidence of prior neuropsychiatric contraindications to mefloquine use.⁷

A previous underpowered analysis of U.S. military hospitalizations found a trend toward increased risk with mefloquine of diagnosis of vertigo and adjustment disorder,⁸ a

condition which often precedes PTSD. These trends are generally mirrored in the Eick-Cost subgroup analysis. Future investigations should consider a revised outcome of interest defined by informed combinations of these and related neurologic and psychiatric diagnoses. A combination of such diagnoses may be more specific than single diagnoses in retrospectively identifying the characteristic syndrome of lasting neuropsychiatric adverse effects caused by the drug.⁹

REMINGTON LEE NEVIN

*Department of Environmental Health and Engineering
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
Baltimore, Maryland
E-mail: rnevin@jhu.edu*

Disclosure: The author has been retained as consultant and expert witness in legal cases involving claims of adverse effects of antimalarial drugs.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

REFERENCES

1. Eick-Cost AA, Hu Z, Rohrbeck P, Clark LL, 2017. Neuropsychiatric outcomes after mefloquine exposure among U.S. military service members. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 96: 159–166.
2. Nevin RL, 2015. Mefloquine and posttraumatic stress disorder. Ritchie EC, ed. *Textbook of Military Medicine. Forensic and Ethical Issues in Military Behavioral Health*. Washington, DC: Borden Institute, 277–296. Available at: <http://www.cs.amedd.army.mil/FileDownloadpublic.aspx?docid=59eea54e-292d-4a35-9117-d9be6c40dac3>.
3. Livezey J, Oliver T, Cantilena L, 2016. Prolonged neuropsychiatric symptoms in a military service member exposed to mefloquine. *Drug Saf Case Rep* 3: 7.
4. Nevin RL, 2012. Falling rates of malaria among U.S. military service members in Afghanistan substantiate findings of high compliance with daily chemoprophylaxis. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 87: 957–958.
5. Nevin RL, Ritchie EC, 2016. FDA Black Box, VA Red Ink? A successful service-connected disability claim for chronic neuropsychiatric adverse effects from mefloquine. *Fed Pract* 33: 20–24. Available at: <http://www.mdedge.com/fedprac/article/114732/mental-health/fda-black-box-va-red-ink-successful-service-connected>.
6. Nevin RL, 2010. Mefloquine prescriptions in the presence of contraindications: prevalence among US military personnel deployed to Afghanistan, 2007. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 19: 206–210.
7. Nevin RL, Pietrusiak PP, Caci JB, 2008. Prevalence of contraindications to mefloquine use among USA military personnel deployed to Afghanistan. *Malar J* 7: 30.
8. Wells TS, Smith TC, Smith B, Wang LZ, Hansen CJ, Reed RJ, Goldfinger WE, Corbeil TE, Spooner CN, Ryan MA, 2006. Mefloquine use and hospitalizations among US service members, 2002–2004. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 74: 744–749.
9. Nevin RL, Leoutsakos JM, 2017. Identification of a syndrome class of neuropsychiatric adverse reactions to mefloquine from latent class modeling of FDA adverse event reporting system data. *Drugs R D* 17: 199–210.