Incidences and Costs of Illness for Diarrhea and Acute Respiratory Infections for Children < 5 Years of Age in Rural Bangladesh
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Abstract. Understanding illness costs associated with diarrhea and acute respiratory infections (ARI) could guide prevention and treatment strategies. This study aimed to determine incidence of childhood diarrhea and ARI and costs of homecare, hospitalization, and outpatient treatment by practitioner type in rural Bangladesh. From each of 100 randomly selected population clusters we sampled 17 households with at least one child < 5 years of age. Childhood diarrhea incidence was 3,451 and ARI incidence was 5,849/1,000 child-years. For diarrhea and ARI outpatient care per 1,000 child-years, parents spent more on unqualified ($2,361 and $4,822) than qualified health-care practitioners ($113 and $947). For outpatient care, visits to unqualified health-care practitioners were at least five times more common than visits to qualified practitioners. Costs for outpatient care treatment by unqualified health-care practitioners per episode of illness were similar to those for qualified health-care practitioners. Homecare costs were similar for diarrhea and ARI ($0.16 and $0.24) as were similar hospitalization costs per episode of diarrhea and ARI ($35.40 and $37.76). On average, rural Bangladeshi households with children < 5 years of age spent 1.3% ($12 of $915) of their annual income managing diarrhea and ARI for those children. The majority of childhood illness management cost comprised visits to unqualified health-care practitioners. Policy makers should consider strategies to increase the skills of unqualified health-care practitioners, use community health workers to provide referral, and promote homecare for diarrhea and ARI. Incentives to motivate existing qualified physicians who are interested to work in rural Bangladesh could also be considered.

INTRODUCTION

Respiratory infections and diarrhea are leading causes of global childhood mortality.1 Approximately one-third (32%) of deaths among children < 5 years of age occur in south Asian countries.2 The cost of childhood illness places considerable economic burden on affected households. A study in rural India concluded that 10% of household income was spent on treatment of acute childhood morbidities.3 A study in urban Bangladesh conducted in 2011 calculated that the average cost of diarrhea illness per hospitalization episode was US$30,4 but little is known about other costs associated with childhood diarrhea and acute respiratory infections (ARI) in rural communities in Bangladesh.

Parents in Bangladesh can take their sick child to qualified health-care practitioners who hold an MBBS degree or to unqualified health-care practitioners, including paramedics, shopkeepers at pharmacies, and traditional and spiritual healers who have not received formal biomedical training and certification. Rural Bangladeshi and Indian parents have frequently sought care from unqualified health-care practitioners.5–8 Little information is available on costs associated with homecare and visits to unqualified and qualified practitioners. Understanding costs associated with diarrhea and ARI across practitioner type could guide policies and practices, especially for low-income families. This study aimed to measure the incidence of diarrhea and ARI, the costs of homecare, outpatient treatment by unqualified and qualified health-care practitioners, and hospitalization for children < 5 years of age in rural Bangladesh across wealth categories.

METHODS

Study area. The Government of Bangladesh and the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) Sanitation, Hygiene Education, and Water Supply in Bangladesh (SHEWA-B) intervention commenced in 2007, targeting 24 million people in 76 rural subdistricts in 19 (of 64) districts. The program aimed to improve health by improving standards of hygiene practices. We leveraged the 2007 baseline survey among 100 random population clusters included in an evaluation of the impact of the SHEWA-B intervention to estimate the incidence of illness and cost of care seeking.

Sample size and study participants. The cross-sectional survey sampled 1,700 households, as described elsewhere.9 In brief, to select the assessment population, we used cluster sampling. We selected clusters using probability proportional to size of population; therefore, the sampling units for this analysis were self-weighted. The study team sampled 50 clusters from the areas that would receive the SHEWA-B program, selected by UNICEF to have low water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) coverage. Fifty additional clusters were selected from those for subsequent use as control populations that were heuristically matched, in consultation with UNICEF staff based on similarity in geography, hydrogeology, infrastructure, agricultural productivity, and household construction and did not have similar intensive WASH programs in place.9 Clusters were selected using probability proportionate to the size of each union, the smallest administrative unit in rural Bangladesh, and 10% of the clusters were purposively located within the Chittagong Hill Tract (CHT) areas to ensure that this indigenous population would not be underrepresented (Figure 1). Within clusters, 17 households were selected systematically from the house closest to the center point of the village with at least one child < 5 years of age. Then, the team skipped the two closest households and selected the next eligible household. The selection...
Diarrhea was defined as passage of unusually loose or watery stools at least three times within 24 hours as reported by child caregivers. Respiratory disease was defined as the presence of fever with difficulty breathing and/or cough. We defined homecare as treatment received at home before visiting a practitioner. Accordingly, we defined home care costs as costs for purchasing treatment before visiting a qualified or unqualified practitioner. We defined health-care practitioners as qualified if they possessed an MBBS degree or equivalent and unqualified if they did not possess an MBBS degree or equivalent, including traditional village doctors, religious and/or spiritual healers, paramedics, medical assistants/health workers, homeopaths, and drug sellers. Outpatient costs were defined as those associated with visiting practitioners/facilities before hospital admission, and costs of hospitalization as costs of any treatment before hospitalization (visiting fees and medicine costs for any outpatient care, from either unqualified or qualified practitioners, before hospitalization), costs during hospitalization (registration fees, bed rent, medicine, diagnosis, and transportation), and costs of working days lost by parents or caregivers because of the illness resulting in hospitalization. We defined direct cost as cost of registration fees, bed rent, medicine, diagnosis, and transportation and indirect cost as wage loss for patient caregivers.

Data analysis. For 14-day illness recall, we estimated the total observed child-years by adding all individual observed durations. For children > 14 days of age, the observed period was 14 days per child and for children < 14 days of age, it was their age. We trained data collectors to report occasions when a child had symptoms of illness over multiple occasions within the recall period as one episode. For children with diarrhea or ARI, we deducted 33% of observed days from the total observed period. Based on discussions among data collectors and child caregivers on estimates of the number of days the sick child was sick, we estimated that sick children remained sick an average of 33% of the 14-day recall time. Similarly, we estimated the total observed child-years for the 2-month recall time data equal to the child’s age if < 2 months of age. The observed time for children > 2 months of age was 2 months. We deducted the total days they were ill from the total observed period. For calculating total days a sick child was ill in last 2 months, we included all illness episodes individually. We estimated similarly for the 12-month hospitalization recall time.

We calculated wealth scores using principal component analysis of household assets, utilities, and services. To assess the relationship between disease incidence or costs and household wealth status, we used the continuous wealth scores from the first principal component. We compared risk ratios (RRs) for the outcome of interest from lower scores to higher scores.
We calculated disease incidence and cost of illness per 1,000 child-years and cost per household. We calculated disease incidence based on reported episodes of illness, care-seeking events, and hospitalizations: incidence = (total episodes × 1,000)/total observed child-years.

We calculated the mean cost of each episode by dividing the total cost by the total number of episodes of illness. To calculate the costs per 1,000 child-years due to diarrhea and ARI, we used the following formula: mean cost per 1,000 child-years = [(total actual cost) × (incidences per 1,000 child-years)/total number of illness episodes]. We converted the costs of illness from local currency (Bangladeshi taka) into U.S. dollars at the 2007 rate (US$1 = taka 69).

We calculated the mean annual cost of illness per household including mean homecare costs, mean costs of outpatient care, and mean costs of hospitalization in the year preceding the interview. For mean homecare costs per household in the year preceding the interview, we calculated total amount spent on homecare costs by the parents of sick children during a 14-day recall time, divided by the total number of survey households, and assumed the rate was typical of spending throughout the year thus the estimated annual spending. The formula used for mean homecare costs per household in the year preceding the interview was [(total amount spent for the treatment of sick children at home during a 14-day recall time before bringing to a practitioner × 365)/total number of survey households (× 14)]. Similarly, for estimating the mean outpatient care cost per household in the year preceding the interview, we calculated total actual amount spent by the parents of sick children for the 2-month recall time, divided by the total number of survey households, and calculated annual spending. For calculating the mean cost for a hospitalized patient per household, we calculated total actual costs for the hospitalized cases for the 1-year recall time, divided by the total number of surveyed households.

To evaluate the relationships between disease proportion and household wealth, cost per episode of illness and household wealth, and cost of illness per household and household wealth, we calculated RRs for diarrhea and ARI using a cluster-adjusted random effects Poisson regression model. Similarly, to evaluate the relationships between disease incidence and household wealth and between cost per 1,000 child-years and household wealth, we calculated RRs using cluster-adjusted random effects Poisson regression models.

We also estimated the percentage of annual household income spent on treatment of childhood diarrhea and ARI. Since we did not collect income data, we used 2007 rural monthly household income data from the Bangladesh household income and expenditure survey report, which was $99.60 per month.

Ethical issues and informed consent. Field workers sought formal written informed consent from each study participant. This study was part of a program evaluation conducted by the International Center for Diarrheal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b) for UNICEF and was judged not to be human subjects research. The evaluation plan was reviewed and approved by UNICEF and the Department of Public Health Engineering of the Government of Bangladesh.

RESULTS

During the 3-month study period, the field team collected data from 1,692 (99%) of 1,700 study households selected (Figure 1) from 45 districts. The mean number of persons per household was 5.5. One-third of the parents had no formal education (Table 1). Fourteen days before survey, 13% of children had diarrhea and 21% had ARI. Two months before survey, 13% of children with diarrhea visited health-care practitioners (11% visited unqualified, 0.9% qualified, and 1.4% both) and 24% with ARI visited health-care practitioners (18% visited unqualified, 4.2% qualified, and 2.2% both). Two percent of children with diarrhea and/or ARI were admitted to hospitals in the previous year (Table 2).

Disease incidence and visits to health-care practitioners were more frequent for ARI than diarrhea (Table 2), whereas homecare treatment and hospitalization incidences were similar. Outpatient care costs per episode were similar for diarrhea and ARI. However, estimated outpatient care costs per 1,000 child-years and estimated annual outpatient care costs per household were significantly higher for ARI treatment than for diarrhea treatment. Hospitalization costs per episode of diarrhea and ARI were similar and were considerably higher than per episode outpatient care costs. Visits to unqualified health-care practitioners for diarrhea or ARI were at least five times more common than to qualified health-care practitioners. The medicine costs per child per episode for diarrhea was significantly greater for unqualified versus qualified practitioners ($1.05 versus 0.52, P = 0.001) and for ARI (unqualified = $1.45 versus qualified = $1.20, P = 0.001; data not shown). Per episode of diarrhea or ARI, outpatient care treatment costs were similar for unqualified and qualified health-care practitioners. Direct and indirect costs for outpatient treatment were similar, but for hospitalization, direct costs of treatment were higher than indirect (Table 2). We estimated that in rural Bangladeshi households with a child < 5 years of age, the average household spent 1% of annual income ($12 of $1,196) on management of childhood diarrhea and ARI.

Diarrheal disease incidence (RR = 0.83; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.72, 0.96), incidence of visiting unqualified health-care practitioners for outpatient treatment (RR = 0.84; 95% CI = 0.74, 0.96), and average costs of outpatient treatment by unqualified health-care practitioners (RR = 0.83; 95% CI = 0.71, 0.97) were inversely proportional to wealth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Household characteristics, rural Bangladesh, 2007 (N = 1,692)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Household members, mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.5 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children &lt;5 years of age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeping rooms, mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female headed households, %</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother had no formal education, %</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father had no formal education, %</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had a television, %</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used a functional cell phone set, %</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had electricity connection, %</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had hygienic latrine to use*, %</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owned household land, %</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-declared poorest, %</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation.
*Government of Bangladesh definition of hygienic latrine is “sealing of the passage between the squat hole and the pit,” which could be either a water seal or a lid.
## Table 2
Occurrence and costs of diarrhea and ARI in rural Bangladesh, 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Diarrhea</th>
<th>ARI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frequency of illness and care-seeking behavior</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illness in 14-day recall (N = 808)</td>
<td>12.6 (10.3, 14.9)</td>
<td>20.8 (18.0, 23.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide with homecare†: 14-day recall (N = 808)</td>
<td>4.1 (2.7, 5.6)</td>
<td>3.2 (2.0, 4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited only qualified health-care practitioners</td>
<td>13.1 (10.8, 15.5)</td>
<td>24.6 (21.6, 27.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited only unqualified health-care practitioners</td>
<td>9.9 (7.2, 12.6)</td>
<td>4.2 (2.8, 5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited both qualified and unqualified</td>
<td>11 (8.7, 13.0)</td>
<td>18.2 (15.5, 20.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization: 1-year recall time (N = 2,126)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.99, 2.06)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.65, 1.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incidence</strong> per 1,000 child-years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease incidence (N = 808)</td>
<td>3,451 (2,824, 4,079)</td>
<td>5,849 (5,060, 6,638)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence of homecare† (N = 808)</td>
<td>1,117 (743, 1,490)</td>
<td>905 (562, 1,248)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence of visiting any health-care practitioner (N = 808)</td>
<td>1,103 (872, 1,334)</td>
<td>2,059 (1,780, 2,339)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only qualified</td>
<td>60 (14, 107)</td>
<td>361 (231, 491)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only unqualified</td>
<td>914 (700, 1,129)</td>
<td>1,445 (1,211, 1,678)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both qualified and unqualified</td>
<td>128 (46, 211)</td>
<td>253.5 (128, 379)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization incidence (N = 2,126)</td>
<td>35.40 (25.46, 45.35)</td>
<td>37.76 (28.81, 46.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost per episode of illness (US$§)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homecare</td>
<td>0.16 (0.11, 0.22)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.13, 0.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited any health-care practitioner (in 2 months)</td>
<td>2.66 (1.58, 3.74)</td>
<td>3.34 (2.36, 4.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited qualified only (in 2 months)</td>
<td>2.80 (−0.59, 6.18)</td>
<td>2.62 (1.47, 3.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited unqualified only (in 2 months)</td>
<td>2.58 (1.33, 3.83)</td>
<td>3.34 (2.36, 4.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited both qualified and unqualified</td>
<td>3.16 (0.26, 6.05)</td>
<td>5.68 (2.85, 9.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization</td>
<td>35.40 (25.46, 45.35)</td>
<td>37.76 (28.81, 46.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost of illness per 1,000 child-years (in US$§)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs (homecare, outpatient, and hospitalization) per 1,000 child-years</td>
<td>3,770 (2,879, 4,664)</td>
<td>7,967 (6,578, 9,057)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homecare</td>
<td>175 (148, 213)</td>
<td>214 (186, 243)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting any health-care practitioner Total</td>
<td>2,935 (2,318, 3,543)</td>
<td>7,205 (6,098, 8,013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>1,400 (1,101, 1,683)</td>
<td>3,981 (3,337, 4,385)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect¶</td>
<td>1,535 (1,217, 1,860)</td>
<td>3,224 (2,761, 3,628)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting only qualified health-care practitioners Total</td>
<td>169 (39, 300)</td>
<td>947 (606, 1,289)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>56 (13, 100)</td>
<td>624 (399, 849)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>113 (26, 200)</td>
<td>323 (207, 440)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting only unqualified health-care practitioners Total</td>
<td>2,361 (1,807, 2,915)</td>
<td>4,822 (4,041, 5,603)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>1,142 (874, 1,410)</td>
<td>2,472 (2,072, 2,872)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>1,219 (934, 1,506)</td>
<td>2,350 (1,970, 2,731)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting both qualified and unqualified health-care practitioners Total</td>
<td>405 (146, 664)</td>
<td>1,436 (723, 2,149)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>202 (73, 332)</td>
<td>885 (445, 1,324)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>203 (73, 333)</td>
<td>551 (277, 825)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization</td>
<td>660.2 (412.5, 907.8)</td>
<td>547.6 (294.2, 801.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct cost before hospitalization</td>
<td>49.9 (31.2, 68.6)</td>
<td>36.3 (19.5, 53.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct cost during hospitalization</td>
<td>354.0 (221.2, 486.8)</td>
<td>342.2 (215.8, 500.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect cost</td>
<td>256.2 (160.1, 352.4)</td>
<td>169.1 (90.8, 247.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outpatient care and hospitalization annual costs per household in the year preceding the interviews (in US$)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total annual costs per household (homecare, outpatient, and hospitalization)</td>
<td>3.14 (1.76, 4.53)</td>
<td>6.38 (4.54, 8.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homecare</td>
<td>0.19 (0.11, 0.29)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.10, 0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited any health-care practitioner (N = 696)</td>
<td>2.30 (1.29, 3.31)</td>
<td>5.66 (4.18, 7.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only qualified</td>
<td>0.16 (0.03, 0.43)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.25, 1.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only unqualified</td>
<td>1.86 (0.90, 2.81)</td>
<td>4.08 (2.76, 5.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both qualified and unqualified</td>
<td>0.28 (0.01, 0.56)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.23, 1.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization cost (N = 1,692)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.36, 0.93)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.26, 0.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct cost before hospitalization**</td>
<td>0.05 (0.02, 0.07)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.01, 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct cost after hospitalization</td>
<td>0.35 (0.18, 0.52)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.14, 0.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect cost</td>
<td>0.25 (0.12, 0.38)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.06, 0.24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ARI = acute respiratory illness; CI = confidence interval.

*P-values of paired sample t-tests.
†Oral syrups, special foods, oral rehydration solution/fluids administered before taking children to a care provider.
‡Incidence = (illness episodes × 1,000/total observed child-years).
§US$1 = taka 69 was the exchange rate in 2007–2008.
¶Wage loss because of working days lost; calculated based on days lost because of child illness, visit to health-care providers, and stay with hospitalized patients. Calculation is based on minimum wage rate in rural Bangladesh of taka 300 per day.
**Practitioner visit/registration, medicine, and homecare.
but the frequency of diarrhea hospitalization (RR = 1.38; 95% CI = 1.05, 1.82), hospitalization incidence (RR = 1.33; 95% CI = 1.05, 1.70), and direct costs of hospitalization care (RR = 1.66; 95% CI = 1.28, 2.16) were directly proportional to wealth (Table 3). For ARI, there were no trends by wealth status for incidence, disease frequency, or visiting unqualified health-care practitioners. By contrast, costs for ARI homecare treatment and outpatient treatment were directly proportional to wealth (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The largest portion of childhood diarrhea and ARI treatment costs was for outpatient treatment and most outpatient costs were incurred visiting unqualified health-care practitioners. Although costs of treatment by unqualified health-care practitioners per 1,000 child-years or per household in the year preceding the interview were greater than costs for treatment by qualified health-care practitioners, costs per episode were similar for both practitioner types for both illnesses.

Costs of treatment by unqualified health-care practitioners were significantly higher among poorer households attributable to a greater number of visits among poorer compared with richer households. Unqualified practitioner do not charge visiting fees, and they are abundant in rural communities, minimizing transportation costs and wage loss. However, since they often run their own drug shops, they may prescribe a greater quantity of drugs compared with qualified health-care practitioners, increasing overall cost per episode of illness, suggested by analysis of medicine costs in this study.

Previous studies in Bangladesh and India found that every year households spent an average of 2–10% on treatments. A prospective cohort study in rural India showed that households spent 10% of their income on management of all types of childhood diseases, with the majority of this expenditure for private doctors; over 60% of the sick children were treated by private doctors, whose services were no more expensive than doctors at government health centers. We estimated that rural Bangladeshi households spend 1% of their income on childhood diarrhea and ARI management in line with other studies conducted in Bangladesh.

The 2007 Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS), conducted during a similar time frame, reported diarrhea prevalence of 9.7% among children < 5 years of age from rural areas using a 2-week recall period, compared with 13% among our study participants. The somewhat higher diarrhea prevalence reported here, which may be attributed to the selected population for subsequent intervention, was chosen from those with poor sanitation and safe water coverage compared with other areas in Bangladesh. ARI prevalence was higher among our study children (21%) compared with the national estimates (4.8%) reported in BDHS 2007; however, BDHS 2007 used a different ARI definition.

Our study found that direct and indirect costs of illness contributed almost equally to outpatient care costs; however, for hospitalization care, direct costs was approximately two-thirds: 61% for diarrhea and 69% for ARI, consistent with a Bangladeshi study on influenza-associated costs at tertiary level hospitals. Higher direct than indirect costs for hospitalization episodes may be attributed to bed rent, food costs, and specialized doctor fees, as frequently more detailed diagnosis is required compared with outpatient care visit. Transportation costs, which we defined as direct costs, are likely higher in rural compared with urban areas, contributing to higher overall direct costs. Our study found that costs of medicine were greater than registration and diagnosis costs, perhaps because unqualified health-care practitioners prescribe a greater quantity of drugs, but perform fewer diagnostic tests and do not charge visiting fees, which is consistent with a study from nationally representative surveys in 11 Asian countries.

This study has limitations. Data were collected using three different recall durations, which could affect the comparability of rates and costs across different categories. However, we minimized this effect by maintaining uniformity in presentation of data as child-years and typical year figures across all three-recall durations. It is possible that more than one episode of diarrhea or ARI occurred within the 14-day illness recall time thereby underestimating incidence. Additionally, there may be bias in recalling costs when data were collected for a longer recall time. Among 47 patients hospitalized for diarrhea or ARI, we found no significant difference in average outpatient costs for the two recall periods (< 2 months, $2.70 versus 2–12 months, $2.50; P = 0.76). Similarly, there was no significant difference in hospitalization cost for the 2–12 months recall period compared with the < 2-month period ($24 versus $18; P = 0.46; data not shown). Our data were collected from rural households in underserved districts including an overrepresentation of the CHT population and therefore may not be generalizable to the Bangladeshi population, but the study covered a large population that is broadly similar to rural Bangladesh. It is likely that costs would be different in urban areas; access to services might be greater in urban areas and could affect health-seeking behaviors. We collected the study data in late 2007. Costs have risen in the intervening years; Bangladesh has an average annual inflation rate of ~6%, and the costs for visiting health-care providers, medicines, pathological tests, and daily wage rates have increased. Thus absolute costs calculated here will not reflect those in subsequent years, and changes in incidence may have occurred. However, trends for incidence, practitioner visited, direct and indirect costs, associations with wealth, and comparisons among the two illnesses can be used to inform diarrhea and ARI prevention programs.

The study found that the majority of spending for clinical care of childhood diarrhea and ARI was on outpatient care provided by unqualified providers. Of particular note and policy relevance is the higher cost burden on the poorest segment of the population and is worthy of further exploration. It is possible that practitioners perceive that poorer people require additional treatment support, possibly because they visit less frequently, or drug shop owners see less educated people as vulnerable targets for sales. Since quality of clinical care is critical for improved child health outcomes, attention to care provided by health-care practitioners is particularly important. Unqualified health-care practitioners based in rural areas are readily available and often provide services on credit. The
## Table 3

The relationship of household level wealth scores with illness proportions, care-seeking, incidence, and costs of diarrhea and ARI in rural Bangladesh, 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Diarrhea</th>
<th>ARI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em><em>Children with illness</em> that sought care across continuous wealth scores</em>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease (in 14 days) ((N = 808))</td>
<td>0.84 (0.73, 0.96)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.86, 1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homecare (in 14 days) ((N = 808))</td>
<td>1.06 (0.83, 1.38)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.77, 1.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited practitioner (in 2 months) ((N = 808))</td>
<td>0.89 (0.78, 1.02)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.92, 1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited qualified only</td>
<td>0.72 (0.40, 1.30)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.72, 1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited unqualified only</td>
<td>0.93 (0.80, 1.08)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.90, 1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited both</td>
<td>0.68 (0.43, 1.06)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.80, 1.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization (in 1 year) ((N = 1,962))</td>
<td>1.38 (1.05, 1.82)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.66, 1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incidence per 1,000 child-years across continuous wealth scores</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease incidence</td>
<td>0.83 (0.72, 0.96)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.83, 1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homecare incidence ((N = 808))</td>
<td>1.05 (0.83, 1.34)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.75, 1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence of visiting practitioner ((N = 808))</td>
<td>0.81 (0.72, 0.91)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.88, 1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only qualified</td>
<td>0.76 (0.45, 1.27)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.73, 1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only unqualified</td>
<td>0.84 (0.74, 0.96)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.86, 1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both categories</td>
<td>0.62 (0.42, 0.92)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.86, 1.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence of hospitalization ((N = 1,962))</td>
<td>1.33 (1.05, 1.70)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.65, 1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean costs per episode of illness (in US$‡) across continuous wealth scores</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall cost including homecare, outpatient, and hospitalization ((N = 808))</td>
<td>0.87 (0.63, 1.20)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.93, 1.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homecare ((N = 808))</td>
<td>1.10 (0.87, 1.39)</td>
<td>1.51 (1.24, 1.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient care ((N = 808))</td>
<td>0.96 (0.74, 1.26)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.99, 1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualified only</td>
<td>0.96 (0.52, 1.38)</td>
<td>1.56 (1.20, 2.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unqualified only</td>
<td>0.93 (0.68, 1.28)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.87, 1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>1.46 (0.90, 2.35)</td>
<td>1.64 (1.17, 2.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization ((N = 1,962))</td>
<td>0.90 (0.74, 1.09)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.87, 1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean cost of illness per 1,000 child-years across continuous wealth scores (in US$‡)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall cost including outpatient and hospitalization ((N = 808))</td>
<td>1.07 (0.92, 1.25)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.87, 1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient care ((N = 808))</td>
<td>1.23 (1.00, 1.50)</td>
<td>1.50 (1.36, 1.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting any practitioner</td>
<td>0.83 (0.74, 0.94)</td>
<td>1.15 (1.06, 1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct§</td>
<td>0.98 (0.85, 1.13)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.11, 1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect§</td>
<td>0.71 (0.64, 0.80)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.98, 1.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting qualified only</td>
<td>0.77 (0.57, 1.04)</td>
<td>1.34 (0.93, 1.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct§</td>
<td>0.65 (0.55, 0.76)</td>
<td>1.44 (1.03, 2.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect§</td>
<td>0.81 (0.60, 1.12)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.77, 1.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting unqualified only</td>
<td>0.83 (0.71, 0.97)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.92, 1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct§</td>
<td>1.00 (0.84, 1.18)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.95, 1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect§</td>
<td>0.89 (0.60, 0.81)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.86, 1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting both qualified and unqualified</td>
<td>0.88 (0.56, 1.37)</td>
<td>2.03 (1.29, 3.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct§</td>
<td>0.96 (0.53, 1.73)</td>
<td>1.85 (1.03, 3.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect§</td>
<td>0.80 (0.56, 1.15)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.86, 1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization ((N = 1,962))</td>
<td>0.80 (0.74, 1.09)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.87, 1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean cost of illness per household in the year preceding the interview across continuous wealth scores (in US$‡)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall cost including homecare, outpatient care, and hospitalization ((N = 808))</td>
<td>0.91 (0.69, 1.21)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.86, 1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homecare ((N = 808))</td>
<td>1.22 (0.86, 1.74)</td>
<td>1.49 (1.12, 1.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient care ((N = 808))</td>
<td>0.89 (0.68, 1.17)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.01, 1.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited qualified only</td>
<td>0.71 (0.54, 0.93)</td>
<td>1.37 (0.93, 2.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited unqualified only</td>
<td>0.91 (0.65, 1.25)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.89, 1.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited both health-care practitioners</td>
<td>0.91 (0.56, 1.48)</td>
<td>1.97 (1.22, 3.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization care ((N = 1,962))</td>
<td>1.23 (0.86, 1.76)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.64, 1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct cost§ before hospitalization</td>
<td>1.79 (1.23, 2.59)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.93, 1.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct cost§ during hospitalization</td>
<td>1.20 (0.81, 1.77)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.56, 1.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect cost§</td>
<td>1.21 (0.81, 1.79)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.68, 1.61)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ARI = acute respiratory illness; CI = confidence interval; RR = risk ratio.

*Diarrhea or ARI.

†RR < 1 indicates an inverse relationship between health outcome and wealth, and/or between cost of treatment and wealth; RR > 1 indicates a direct relationship between health outcome and wealth and/or between cost of treatment and wealth. For example, if RR for diarrhea (in 14 days) = 0.84, this indicates that for every unit increase of household wealth there were 16% fewer cases of diarrhea.

‡US$1 = taka 69 using the 2007–2008 exchange rate.

§Direct costs refer to cost of visiting/registration, medicine, diagnostics, and transportation.

||Indirect costs refer to costs related to wage loss.
government system, by contrast, has difficulty attracting qualified health-care practitioners to rural posts. The government could consider providing incentives for providers to work and live in rural areas, as programs in other settings have done.36,37 Earlier studies in Bangladesh and India suggest that treatments from unqualified health-care practitioners were often inappropriate and recommended avoiding these practitioners.38–40 To overcome shortages in qualified health personnel in rural areas, the government could provide training to community health workers on disease identification, referral, and distribution of treatment such as oral rehydration solution for diarrhea or antibiotics for pneumonia; this model has been successful in Bangladesh and in other countries.41–44 Efforts to upgrade the skills of existing unqualified providers and integrate them into the qualified health-care system should also be considered. Integrating community health workers and encouraging appropriate homecare treatment could reduce the number of health-care provider visits and hospitalizations and may be a feasible, cost-effective measure to reduce cost of illness.45
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