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Abstract. Previous studies of inequality in health and mortality have largely focused on income-based inequality. Maternal education plays an important role in determining access to water and sanitation, and inequalities in child mortality arising due to differential access, especially in low- and middle-income countries such as Peru. This article aims to explain education-related inequalities in child mortality in Peru using a regression-based decomposition of the concentration index of child mortality. The analysis combines a concentration index created along a cumulative distribution of the Demographic and Health Surveys sample ranked according to maternal education, and decomposition measures the contribution of water and sanitation to educational inequalities in child mortality. We observed a large education-related inequality in child mortality and access to water and sanitation. There is a need for programs and policies in child health to focus on ensuring equity and to consider the educational stratification of the population to target the most disadvantaged segments of the population.

INTRODUCTION

Child mortality rates are important and sensitive indicators of population health. Low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) grappling with a high burden of infectious diseases have large differences in child mortality rates between the rich and the poor. This has motivated the investigation of contextual determinants of inequalities in child mortality. Measures of economic status such as household wealth represent the resources available to maintain good health and well-being. Yet, inequalities in child mortality exist not only as a difference between the economically poorest and the rest of the population. They follow a social gradient spread across the wealth spectrum and many other social dimensions. Among these dimensions, maternal education has been found to be a significant determinant of inequality in child mortality, with children of less educated mothers being at considerably higher risk. Moreover, maternal education, is doubly important for health-equity policies, as even small differences in education levels can influence inequalities in child mortality. Social status on the other hand is a composite entity consisting of a number of factors such as education, ethnic and religious affiliation, and geographic location. Therefore, complete equivalence between household wealth and socioeconomic inequality cannot be assumed.

Poor access to sanitation and safe drinking water has been widely documented as an easily preventable determinant of child mortality. Studies in Mali observed a lack of awareness among women about both recontamination in stored drinking water and the causal relation between microbial agents and diarrheal disease. Across national and cultural contexts, maternal education has been found to act through a variety of pathways that can be distinct from the influence of household wealth, for which the literature is abundant. These include variables like increased maternal agency in making decisions around a child’s health, and the ability to both access and absorb decontextualized messages pertaining to health knowledge gained from sources like broadcast media. It has been shown that even for mothers with incomplete primary education, the odds of survival for children under 2 years of age are significantly greater than for illiterate mothers. Even where diarrhea is found to be higher among children of partially educated mothers than their illiterate counterparts, this inflation is indicative of the ability of mothers with some education to recognize and therefore report symptoms of the disease. Moreover, there is evidence to show that maternal education compensates for poverty. It has also been shown that across the globe, while testing the effect of education independent from wealth, children of mothers in a lower wealth band but with higher education experience lesser mortality than wealthier, less educated mothers. Thus, maternal education can also influence child health through pathways different from economic determinants only. Much of the existing literature on socioeconomic inequalities in access to water and sanitation has focused on the economic determinants of inequality, generally involving income or indices of household wealth. To provide a more holistic picture of health disparities, there is a need to address the social factors that contribute to inequalities in access to water and sanitation.

In accordance with existing frameworks, social inequality in child mortality is determined by the inequalities in higher-level variables such as education and more proximal factors such as access to water and sanitation, and their relationships with each other and with inequality in mortality. Further, disparities in proximal determinants like access to water and sanitation are not linear, from the poorest to the richest, but follow different patterns depending on the country and average coverage levels. It is therefore necessary to study the relative contribution of each determinant to inequality in child mortality, to tailor policies to a specific pattern of inequality. Decomposition methods have been used to understand factors that contribute to socioeconomic inequalities in both health outcomes and health interventions, and whether public policies enacted to counteract existing inequalities are achieving their purpose. By quantitatively documenting the contribution of each determinant, this method can...
provide insights into potential interventions to reduce health disparities and ensure equity in the long run. Furthermore, many LMICs have undertaken specific reforms to address rising levels of inequalities in education, in access to water and sanitation, and in health. Studying temporal changes in both resource access and health outcomes, and inequalities therein, can provide insight into the effectiveness of these reforms.

Peru is a highly relevant setting in which to study inequalities in child mortality. Although Peru has achieved the fourth Millennium Development Goal (MDG) of reducing under-five mortality by two-thirds between 2000 and 2015, socio-economic inequalities in child health remain high, particularly in the Andean region. This is compounded by the fact that Peru is believed to be at severe risk of water shortages as a result of climate change and subsequent depletion of the Andean glaciers. Further, official policies in Peru have been criticized for lacking a broader focus inclusive of the socioeconomic realities in the country. Although the role of water access and sanitation and maternal education in child health has been studied previously, this study is novel in exploring the contribution of water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) access to educational inequalities in child mortality in Peru using decomposition methods.

The objectives of this article are to 1) quantify inequalities in child mortality between groups defined by levels of maternal education, 2) assess the contribution of unimproved water sources and lack of sanitation to these inequalities, and 3) document temporal changes in the contribution of access to water and sanitation to educational inequalities in child mortality in Peru.

**DATA AND METHODS**

Data spanning 26 years and 10 survey waves from the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) for Peru, starting from the first wave in 1986 to the most recent one in 2012, were used in this study. The data were obtained from DHS upon request for this project. These cross-sectional surveys consist of different questionnaires, two of which were used in this project. From the “Birth” questionnaire, the age of the respondent (maternal age), education level, date of birth, and the age at death of each child born were collected. From the “Household” questionnaire, corresponding to each birth record from the “Birth” questionnaire, source of drinking water, sanitation facilities, variables identifying the cluster and household, and variables for various household assets were collected. For each woman between the ages of 15 and 49 years, the number of children born and the number that died under 2 years of age were estimated. Although a longer observation period for births is desirable to reduce censoring effects, it weakens the link between the recorded household characteristics including drinking water sources and sanitation facilities, and child mortality. Therefore, children born more
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**Table 1**

Descriptive statistics of determinants of child mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bottled water</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piped into residence/dwelling</td>
<td>48.96</td>
<td>67.60</td>
<td>72.28</td>
<td>73.70</td>
<td>75.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public tap</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well in residence</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public well</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open water body</td>
<td>12.96</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanker truck</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sanitation facilities**

| No facilities                        | 18.09    | 24.81    | 16.04    | 18.12    | 14.42    |
| Inside dwelling                      | 31.66    | 34.54    | 36.92    | 36.04    | 39.19    |
| Outside dwelling                     | 1.84     | 4.82     | 6.20     | 11.18    | 11.41    |
| Septic well                          | –        | 3.88     | 10.59    | 1.60     | 2.33     |
| Pit latrine                          | 4.50     | 33.01    | 30.26    | 28.48    | 32.34    |
| Latrine on river/lake                | 18.52    | 1.01     | 1.40     | 0.03     | 0.02     |
| River, canal                         | 25.38    | 0.69     | 0.37     | 0.69     | 0.55     |
| Maternal age*                        | 24.40    | 24.20    | 24.10    | 24.10    |
| Maternal education*                  | 4.90     | 6.40     | 6.90     | 7.10     |
| Area of residence                    | 39.57    | 49.02    | 53.49    | 53.89    | 54.02    |
| Rural                                | 60.4     | 51.0     | 46.5     | 46.1     | 45.98    |
| Sex of the child                     |          |          |          |          |          |
| Female                               | 48.98    | 49.02    | 49.16    | 48.86    | 49.13    |
| Male                                 | 51.02    | 50.84    | 51.14    | 50.87    | 50.87    |
| Proportion of all child deaths by household wealth |
| Poorest                              | 48.70†   | 47.90    | 39.20    | 43.80    |
| Poorer                               | 18.10    | 27.00    | 21.50    | 27.30    |
| Middle                               | 22.40    | 12.50    | 13.90    | 13.00    |
| Richer                               | 8.10     | 8.30     | 8.90     | 12.40    |
| Richest                              | 2.40     | 4.10     | 7.50     | 6.80     |

*Figures represent percentage of individual women unless otherwise indicated.

†Mean (standard deviation).

†Of all children that died during this period, 48.7% were in the poorest 20% by household wealth.
Measuring educational inequalities in child health. The concentration curve and concentration index are measures of inequality that provide a picture of health variation across the entire gradient of a given socioeconomic variable; in this case, the education level of the mother. The concentration index is mathematically defined as twice the area under the concentration curve. The index $C$ is often represented in the following form:

$$C = 2 \mu \frac{\text{cov}(h, r)}{\mu}$$

where $h$ is the health variable, $\mu$ is the mean of the health variable, and $r$ represents the fractional rank of the observation in the education distribution. The concentration index ranges from $-1$ to $1$, with $0$ signifying perfect equality. For child mortality, the concentration curve lies above the diagonal line and the index takes a negative value indicating that child mortality is concentrated among less educated women.

Decomposing educational inequality in child mortality. Decomposition of the concentration index allows us to quantify the contribution of each variable to educational inequality in child mortality. For a regression model linking child mortality $y$ to a set of $k$ variables, the concentration index “$C$” of $y$ can be written as:

$$C = \sum_{k} \left( \beta_k \frac{x_k}{\mu} C_k + GC_k / \mu \right)$$

where $C_k$ represents the concentration index of a specific determinant $k$, and the first term in Equation (2) constitutes the total deterministic part of $C$, the concentration index of the outcome. The second term is the concentration index for the residual term in the model. It represents the component of variation in health inequality which remains unexplained by the determinants included in the model and tends to be negligible for a well-specified model. The first term, $\beta_k \frac{x_k}{\mu}$ represents the elasticity of the outcome with respect to each determinant, where $\mu$ is the mean value of child mortality $y$, $x_k$ is the mean value of each of the $k$ determinants of health included in the model, and $\beta_k$ is the coefficient from the regression model. The product of the elasticity and the concentration index for each variable forms its contribution to inequality in the outcome. The above steps were carried out for each time period. Reported results include the concentration index of each variable and its contribution to child mortality.

Temporal analyses. Trends in the risk of child mortality due to unimproved water and lack of sanitation were observed by plotting the evolution of risk across time. Temporal changes in inequality and the contribution of the exposures to this inequality were assessed by fixing that no change in the distribution of exposures occurred since the first time period (1986–1992) and calculating the difference in total inequality (see calculation details in Supplemental Information). Sensitivity analyses. The 10 surveys were also organized into three periods namely, premillenium, postmillenium (2003–2008), and the most recent period (2009–2012) to assess the impact of a longer time span on the risk of mortality.

RESULTS

Child mortality and socioeconomic indicators. Child mortality in Peru showed a large drop in the premillenium...
By decomposing the concentration index of child mortality, poverty, and urban residence.
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Concentration curve and concentration index. Figure 1 shows the concentration curves which represent the propor-
tion of child mortality concentrated in cumulative proportions
of the population where each mother is given a proportional
rank across the education distribution. The concentration
curves (Figure 3) are presented for three aggregate time
periods; premillennium, postmillennium (up to 2008), and
the last 5 years from available data (2009–2012). All three
of the curves lie above the line of equality indicating the
concentration of mortality among children of undereducated
mothers. Similar curves were plotted to showcase inequalities
in access to water and sanitation (Supplemental Figure 1).
From Supplemental Table 1, unimproved sources of drinking
water, a lack of sanitation facilities, and household poverty
appear concentrated at the less educated end of the
population distribution, as is seen from the negative sign
of the concentration index for these indicators. On the other
hand, education and urbanicity are concentrated among
those where mothers are more educated. Further, this
inequality indicated by the concentration indices is significant
in all the time periods for unimproved drinking water, basic
and no sanitation facilities, maternal education, household
poverty, and urban residence.

Decomposition of educational inequality in child mortality.
By decomposing the concentration index of child mortality,
the contributions of covariates from the model were estimated.
The modeled contribution is a product of the elasticity of
child mortality with respect to a given factor and the
degree of inequality in that factor by maternal education.
Table 2 showcases the β-coefficient, elasticity, absolute
contribution, and percentage contribution of each model
covariate to educational inequality in child mortality.
According to Equations (1) and (2), a negative sign of the
absolute contribution occurs in two scenarios, either when
the concentration index of a determinant is negative and
the elasticity is positive or when the concentration index of
a determinant is positive and the elasticity is negative. The
former is true for unimproved water source in all of the
time periods except 2005–2006 and for basic sanitation in
2010. It is also the case for no sanitation in the periods
2011–2012. It is also true for household poverty. The latter
applies to maternal education and urban residence, as both
of them are concentrated at the higher end of the education
distribution and have a protective effect against child
mortality. Unimproved drinking water sources show the
highest contribution to educational inequality in the period
2007–2008 (23.5%). This contribution is also important
due to the concentration index value for unimproved
drinking water in this period. The percentage value of the
contributions of each covariate indicates the total contribution
to explained-educational-inequalities decreased on average
when the exposures were assumed to be equally distributed
across the population, by 11% in the case of improved
water sources and 21% in the case of advanced sanitation
(Table 3).

Temporal trends. As is seen in Figure 1, child mortality in
Peru declined over time. Coverage of improved water and
sanitation facilities increased with diminishing proportions
of the population left without access (Figure 2). By observing
the percentage contribution over time, it is seen that the
contribution due to water increased up to 2007–2008,
decreased in the next 2 years, and was high in the final period
again, at a value of 20.4%. On the other hand, there is
considerable fluctuation in the contribution of sanitation to
educational inequality, with the highest value (21.6%) being seen only in the final period, for no sanitation facilities.

**Figure 1.** Child mortality rates for Peru.
The contribution of maternal education decreased from a very high value of 93.7% in 1986–1992 to 14% in 2005–2006, after which it increased again consistently till the final period. Both household poverty and urbanicity also show fluctuating contributions over time. Inequalities in the distribution of improved water sources and advanced sanitation were also found to decrease over time. If this decrease had not occurred and inequality in water access stayed the same as in 1986–1992, total educational inequality in 2011–2012 would have been larger by over 17% (see details in Supplemental Information). Similarly, if the distribution of sanitation remained unchanged, total educational inequality in child mortality in 2011–2012 would have been higher by 1%.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have showed that disparities in both child mortality and WASH access are very pronounced between educational groups in Peru. Decomposition analyses showed that unimproved water and sanitation contributed negatively to children of mothers with less education. All analyses of inequality indicate that adequate sanitation or lack thereof contributes more toward educational inequalities in child mortality. Therefore, this article highlights that investments in water and sanitation programs, particularly targeted to vulnerable populations (i.e., low-education populations), should help reduce socioeconomic inequalities in child mortality in Peru.

The role of water and sanitation in health has been previously studied mainly in the context of interventions designed to improve access where diarrhea has been the most widely studied outcome. This study aimed at measuring inequalities in child mortality in Peru, a country which is projected to be among the worst affected by climate change and depletion of natural water reservoirs. Previous studies conducted using data from the Peruvian Ministry of Health also confirm a socioeconomic gradient in child mortality within districts in the country, but these estimates were again limited to the measurement of economic determinants. By focusing on an educational distribution, we provide an assessment of health inequality that is not strictly material and highlights the importance of socially and educationally motivated health
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**Figure 2.** Population proportions with poor water and sanitation by maternal education. The “Low” group represents education less than the median number of years and the “High” group represents education more than or equal to the median years of education of the mother.
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**Figure 3.** Concentration curves for under-two deaths in Peru.
## Table 2
Decomposition of the concentration index of under-two mortality in Peru

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>Elasticity</td>
<td>Contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unimproved drinking water</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic sanitation (non-flush, pit type latrines)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No sanitation</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>22.21</td>
<td>-4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (&lt; 20)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (&gt; 35)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education (0–17 years)</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-50.38</td>
<td>-22.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household poverty (bottom 40% of household wealth distribution)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>-1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbanicity</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex of the child (male)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total model contribution</td>
<td>-28.16</td>
<td>-22.37</td>
<td>-2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual contribution</td>
<td>27.88</td>
<td>-22.37</td>
<td>-2.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>Elasticity</td>
<td>Contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unimproved drinking water</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-1.23</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic sanitation (non-flush, pit type latrines)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No sanitation</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (&lt; 20)</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (&gt; 35)</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education (0–17 years)</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household poverty (bottom 40% of household wealth distribution)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbanicity</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>-3.17</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex of the child (male)</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total model contribution</td>
<td>-2.01</td>
<td>-27.17</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual contribution</td>
<td>27.88</td>
<td>-22.37</td>
<td>-2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011–2012 (N = 91,758)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>-1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unimproved drinking water</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>14.58</td>
<td>-2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic sanitation (non-flush, pit type latrines)</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-90.60</td>
<td>-18.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No sanitation</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>27.67</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3
Percentage change in total explained contribution of educational inequality in child mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Concentration index of water and sanitation change</th>
<th>Concentration index of sanitation change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996–2000</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>−6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003–2004</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005–2006</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007–2008</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009–2010</td>
<td>−5.30</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011–2012</td>
<td>−6.04</td>
<td>−3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average change</td>
<td>−4.21</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Negative values indicate decrease in total explained contribution to inequality compared with existing levels of the concentration index.

preferences. The results of this study show that it is important for policy makers to achieve convergence between the disparate efforts targeted at improving education, child health, and access to water and sanitation.

Although Peru is relatively on-track for child mortality reduction, socioeconomic inequality in the country has remained largely static. Welfare policies in LMICs often take the form of conditional cash transfer programs such as JUNTOS in Peru, and are aimed at improving nutrition and immunization coverage and ensuring school attendance and uptake of available healthcare. On the other hand, services for water and sanitation usually fall under infrastructural development and may sometimes lack an explicit focus on child health and mortality.

Limitations. Some limitations of this study deserve mention. First, we restricted the observation period to between 2 and 5 years before the interview date. Günther and Fink have pointed out that a household’s access to water and sanitation may change more rapidly, but if birth histories are truncated to a shorter period, the number of deaths counted becomes very small to allow for any meaningful conclusions. Moreover, we hypothesized the translation of mother’s education into health behavior which could then protect the child’s health due to improved sanitary and hygienic conditions within the household. The use of a single variable, namely mother’s level of education does not capture such information. Further studies could investigate other dimensions such as sociopsychological variables (norms, attitude, self-efficacy convictions, etc.) or infrastructural components (water resources available, expenditures on public services, etc.) as determinants of health behaviors and children health.

It would also be useful to have information about social and labor factors that determine an individual woman’s education. A distinction for slum dwellers in urban areas would have enabled more detail in the interpretation of inequalities. Information for sources of drinking water was absent for the period 2003–2004 in the DHS data. In the period 1996–2000, the sample of population surveyed was skewed to exclude the very poor, as a result of which very few child deaths were recorded and an anomalous effect of increasing mortality risk with increasing wealth was seen in this period.

Future perspectives. This study also serves as a foundational effort to guide further research in the joint space of child mortality, maternal education, and WASH access. In Peru, when offspring of the initial JUNTOS beneficiary cohort are evaluated, a view of inequalities in mortality in relation to the educational distribution will be available, along with information about the long-term distributional effects of this program. Although health and inequality have a host of determinants unique to each region, these findings apply to most countries experiencing rapid economic growth, and assessing educational inequalities can help pinpoint areas for equity focused policies, especially in densely populated and growing urban areas.

CONCLUSION

Although the focus of this study is educational inequalities in child mortality, its purpose is not to detract from the broader context of poverty or even isolate health inequalities due to educational differentials. In fact, we have aimed to provide a view of socioeconomic disparities that encompasses issues such as water and sanitation that have long been dealt with either separately or relegated to the margins of the poverty alleviation debate. Through our findings, we highlight the role played by individual factors and their confluence in determining how the balance of deprivation exposes children of less educated mothers to a higher risk of mortality.
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