High Schistosoma mansoni Disease Burden in a Rural District of Western Zambia
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Abstract. Schistosoma mansoni disease is endemic in most parts of rural Zambia, and associated complications are common. We conducted a cross-sectional study among 754 people in rural communities of Kaoma District, western Zambia to determine the burden of S. mansoni infection and associated morbidity. Parasitology and ultrasonography assessments were conducted on consenting participants. The overall prevalence of S. mansoni infection and geometric mean egg count (GMEC) were 42.4% (304) and 86.6 eggs per gram (95% confidence interval = 75.6–99.6), respectively. Prevalence was highest in the age group of 15–19 years old (adjusted prevalence ratio = 1.70, P = 0.017). S. mansoni-related portal fibrosis was detected in 26% of the participants screened. Participants above 39 years old were 2.93 times more likely to have fibrosis than the 7–9 years old age group (P = 0.004). The study highlights the high burden of S. mansoni disease in this area and calls for immediate interventions to avert complications associated with the disease.

INTRODUCTION

Human schistosomiasis (or bilharzia) is a chronic and debilitating neglected parasitic disease affecting approximately 200 million people worldwide, of which 88% of the infections occur in sub-Saharan Africa.1 Schistosomiasis is the second leading parasitic disease after malaria in terms of public health importance.2 It is estimated that close to 800 million people living in endemic areas are at risk of getting the infection.3 According to van der Werf and others,4 close to 280,000 people worldwide die of schistosomiasis-related complications every year. The disease largely affects poor rural communities with limited access to basic sanitation and clean water.5 Although schistosomiasis-related mortality is low compared with mortality caused by human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or malaria, morbidity is high and often underreported.5

Infections with schistosomes result in two main forms of the disease, namely urinary schistosomiasis caused by Schistosoma haematobium and intestinal schistosomiasis caused by either S. mansoni or S. japonicum. S. mansoni is responsible for bloody diarrhea and hepatosplenomegaly in 4.4 and 8.5 million people, respectively.4

Chronic S. mansoni infections are associated with anemia, growth retardation, impaired cognitive development, and reduction in productivity.4,7 In endemic areas, infection is normally acquired early in life, and children bear the highest burden of the infection, whereas complications are more commonly observed in adults.8 Complications of untreated chronic S. mansoni infection (also known as hepatosplenic disease) include thickening of the portal vein and surrounding branches, hepatosplenomegaly, portal hypertension, ascites, and formation of esophageal varices that cause life-threatening bleeding.8,9

According to a 200910 Zambia Bilharzia Control Program (ZBCP) report, approximately 15.4% (2 million) of people in Zambia have schistosomiasis caused by either S. haematobium or S. mansoni. The disease is endemic in most parts of the country, affecting people mainly in rural communities. In these areas, S. mansoni-related complications, such as hepatosplenomegaly, portal hypertension, esophageal varices, and hematemesis, are common.11 Kaoma District in the western part of Zambia is one of the areas long known for S. mansoni endemcity. Although most patients with esophageal varices undergoing endoscopy at the University Teaching Hospital in Lusaka, Zambia come from this particular area,11 the actual prevalence of the disease in the area remains unknown. Information on the distribution of the disease is obtained mainly from clinical records. However, they do not provide accurate information on the extent of the problem, because most individuals seeking medical attention in clinics are already in advanced stages of the disease. Thus, infected individuals who are asymptomatic remain undocumented.

The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of S. mansoni infection and associated morbidity in four rural communities of Kaoma District in western Zambia. The study findings provide baseline information on which appropriate disease control interventions can be designed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area and population. A cross-sectional survey was conducted in four selected areas, namely Luampa, Mwandansengo, Mangango, and Namando in Kaoma District, which is situated in the western part of Zambia (Figure 1). Kaoma District is approximately 550 km from Lusaka, the capital city of Zambia. Two main rivers are found in the district: the Luampa and Luena Rivers. The rivers provide a suitable environment of slow-moving waters and vegetation for the propagation of Biomphalaria snails, the intermediate hosts for S. mansoni parasites. People in these areas live along the rivers and are mostly subsistence farmers who cultivate maize and cassava for food. Contact with Schistosoma-infested water occurs when performing domestic chores, such as washing clothes, bathing, and drawing water for home use. Children get exposed during recreation activities, such as swimming. Exposure also occurs through the processing of cassava, which is the staple food, because long hours are spent soaking the cassava tubers in water along the river banks. Although these communities are well-known to be

*Address correspondence to James Chipeta, University of Zambia School of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, PO Box 50110, Lusaka, Zambia. E-mail: Jameschipeta@smuth-mru.org.zm
endemic for *S. mansoni*, there were no ongoing interventions at the time that this study was being conducted. However, there is a routine deworming program for under 5-years-old children and pregnant women attending maternal and child health clinics (MCHs) targeting soil-transmitted helminths (STH). Passive treatment of people who are diagnosed with schistosomiasis is provided at nearby hospitals.

**Study design.** The study was aimed at determining the prevalence of *S. mansoni* infection and associated morbidity in selected areas of Kaoma District. The study areas were selected based on their proximity to the rivers (Figure 1) and previous reports of high disease burden. Three months before the survey, community sensitizations were conducted with the help of community health workers and environmental health technicians. Sensitizations were also conducted 1 week before the survey. A systematic random sampling method was used to select the sample for this study. Briefly, participants were lined up, and the first participant was picked at random as the starting point for selecting other participants. Thereafter, every participant with an odd number was included into the study. For logistical reasons, schools in the selected communities served as survey points. School-aged children and adults residing in the selected areas for more than 7 years or since birth were eligible for recruitment. Participants in this survey were assessed using parasitological methods and ultrasonography as described below.

**Parasitological examinations.** Recruited participants were screened for the presence or absence of *S. mansoni* infections and other soil-transmitted helminths using the Kato–Katz method. In this method, two smears were prepared using a 41.7-mg Kato template from a single stool sample and left to settle for 30–60 minutes before being examined under a light microscope. Microscopy readings were conducted by two experienced technicians to enhance the validity of the readings. In addition to reading the slides for qualitative diagnosis, the intensity of infection was estimated from the average egg counts of the two examined slides. The intensity of infection for *S. mansoni* was classified by eggs per gram (epg) as light (1–99 epg), moderate (100–399 epg), and heavy (≥ 400 epg) based on the World Health Organization (WHO) classification guidelines. In addition, urine samples from each participant were screened for *S. haematobium* ova using the urine filtration technique according to a previously described method. Briefly, 10 mL urine was filtered through a nucleopore filter membrane that was then examined under a light microscope for presence of *S. haematobium* ova. Urine samples were collected between 10 AM and 2 PM and processed immediately after collection.

Because the study area is endemic for malaria, participants were also screened for malaria infection with the SD BIOLINE malaria antigen rapid diagnostic test (Standard diagnostics, Inc., Yongin si, Kyonggi, Korea) for detecting *Plasmodium*...
**RESULTS**

**Characteristics of the study participants.** In total, 754 participants comprising 469 (62.4%) females and 285 (37.6%) males from four study communities of Kaoma District (Luampa, Mango, Mwandasengo, and Namando) were recruited into the study as shown in Table 1. The study population comprised 159 (21%) children (7–14 years old) and 595 (79%) adults (15–50 years old). The median age was 28 years old (interquartile range [IQR] = 16–40).

Among 754 participants recruited, 719 (95%) participants were examined for the presence of *S. mansoni* infection, of whom 304 (42.4%; 95% confidence interval [95% CI] = 38.7–46.0) tested positive by the Kato–Katz method. Thirty-five of the recruited participants did not provide stool samples and were excluded from analysis. The majority (61.2%) of individuals infected with *S. mansoni* had light infections, whereas moderate and heavy infections were recorded in 26.0% and 12.8% of the population, respectively.

**Prevalence of *S. mansoni* infections by gender and age.** *S. mansoni* infections were detected in 45% (202) and 38% (102) of the female and male participants, respectively ($\chi^2 = 3.29; P = 0.069$). When stratified by age, the highest prevalence of infection was observed in the age group of 15–19 years (60.6%; 95% CI = 51.1–70.0), whereas lower infection prevalence was recorded in participants above the age of 39 years old (25.4%; 95% CI = 19.3–31.5). Additional stratification of the age groups into gender showed the highest prevalence (62.2%; 95% CI = 46.2–78.1) in male participants in the age group 15–19 years, whereas the highest prevalence (62.8%; 95% CI = 48.1–77.4) was observed in the age group 25–29 years in female participants (Figure 2). Female participants in most of the age groups had higher infection prevalence than males, although the difference was not statistically significant, which was shown by the overlapping of 95% CIs (Figure 2). The proportion of individuals infected with *S. mansoni* was highest in the Namando area (68%; 95% CI = 60.5–75.4), whereas the lowest proportion was recorded in the Mwandasengo area (17.3%; 95% CI = 9.0–25.0). Compared with Luampa, Mwandasengo, and Mwandasengo, Namando recorded higher *S. mansoni* infection prevalence across all age groups.

**S. mansoni intensity of infection.** The intensity of *S. mansoni* infection recorded as geometric mean egg count (GMEC) is shown in Table 2. The overall GMEC was 86.8 epg (95% CI = 75.6–99.6), with no significant differences observed between male and female participants. Although there was an association between *S. mansoni* and age group ($P = 0.008$), differences
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**Table 1.** Characteristics of 754 study participants recruited from four study areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study area</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Luampa*</th>
<th>Mango†</th>
<th>Namando‡</th>
<th>Mwandasengo§</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR)</td>
<td>28 (16–40)</td>
<td>32 (19–43)</td>
<td>16 (11–19)</td>
<td>25 (15–29)</td>
<td>27 (16–39)</td>
<td>0.0001$\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>285 (37.8)</td>
<td>111 (28.8)</td>
<td>47 (56.0)</td>
<td>72 (43.6)</td>
<td>55 (45.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001$\parallel$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>469 (62.2)</td>
<td>274 (71.2)</td>
<td>37 (44.1)</td>
<td>93 (56.4)</td>
<td>65 (54.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age category, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>595 (79.0)</td>
<td>317 (82.3)</td>
<td>47 (56.0)</td>
<td>126 (76.4)</td>
<td>105 (87.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001$\parallel$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>159 (21.0)</td>
<td>68 (17.7)</td>
<td>37 (44.0)</td>
<td>39 (23.6)</td>
<td>15 (12.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. mansoni</em> prevalence, n (%)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infected</td>
<td>304 (42.4)</td>
<td>145 (39.0)</td>
<td>14 (17.3)</td>
<td>104 (68.0)</td>
<td>41 (36.6)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001$\parallel$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not infected</td>
<td>413 (57.6)</td>
<td>226 (61.0)</td>
<td>67 (82.7)</td>
<td>49 (32.0)</td>
<td>71 (63.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All comparisons are between characteristics and the study site, excluding the overall column. *N = 754.*

* N = 365 (51%).
† N = 165 (22%).
‡ N = 120 (16%).
§ N = 165 (22%).
¶ Kruskal–Wallis test.
|| $\chi^2$ test.
|** N = 717 (total examined for *S. mansoni* prevalence).
among some groups were not statistically significant, except for the 15–19 years and 40+ years age groups (110.9 epg; 95% CI = 79.6–154.6; 51.9 epg; 95% CI = 38.2–70.5). In females, the highest GMEC was recorded in the age group 10–14 years (123.77; 95% CI = 75.08–204.03) followed by the age group 15–19 years (102 epg; 95% CI = 66.89–155.62). Males had the highest GMEC in the age group 25–29 years (168.27 epg; 95% CI = 73.22–386.69) followed by the 15–19 years age group (128.47; CI = 72.32–228.23). The differences observed in these groups were not statistically significant when stratified by gender. Intensity of infection across four study areas varied significantly, with Mwandasengo recording the highest GMEC ($\chi^2 = 15.65$, $P = 0.001$).

**Ultrasonography detected S. mansoni-related portal fibrosis.** The overall distribution of portal fibrosis is shown in Table 3. Of the 706 participants who underwent ultrasonography for liver pathology, 181 participants (25.6%; 95% CI = 16.3–22.3) had abnormal LIPs suggestive of S. mansoni-related fibrosis. Four (0.56%) participants had liver disease unrelated to S. mansoni infection and were excluded from additional analysis. The prevalence of fibrosis was 28.0% (95% CI = 23.7–32.1) in female participants and 22.0% (95% CI = 17.0–27.0) in male participants ($P = 0.077$). Based on image pattern findings, 13.0% and 6.8% of the participants had liver patterns C (peripheral fibrosis) and D (main portal branch fibrosis), respectively. LIPs E and F associated with advanced fibrosis were recorded in 4.7% and 1.3% of the participants, respectively (Table 4).

**Multivariate analysis for predictors of S. mansoni infection and portal fibrosis.** Multivariate binomial regression to
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**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study variables</th>
<th>GMEC (epg)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>75.6–99.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>69.6–114.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>72.5–101.1</td>
<td>0.915*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–9</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>32.7–196.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–14</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>81.8–175.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–19</td>
<td>110.9</td>
<td>79.6–154.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>63.7–140.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>69.8–148.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>53.1–86.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>38.2–70.5</td>
<td>0.0087†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study area</th>
<th>GMEC (epg)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Luampa</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>56.4–84.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangango</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>29.0–146.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mwandasengo</td>
<td>141.8</td>
<td>96.0–209.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namando</td>
<td>103.2</td>
<td>82.7–128.78</td>
<td>0.001†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mann-Whitney test.
†Kruskall-Wallis test.
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**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total examined</th>
<th>Portal fibrosis (%)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>22.4–28.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>23.7–32.1</td>
<td>0.077*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>16.9–26.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>4.3–23.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–14</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>9.1–24.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–19</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>10.6–26.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>8.0–29.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>17.4–39.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>23.7–39.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>26.8–39.9</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study area</th>
<th>Total examined</th>
<th>Portal fibrosis (%)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Luampa</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>25.3–34.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangango</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>9.0–23.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mwandasengo</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>12.2–30.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namando</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>20.2–34.2</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $\chi^2$ test.
estimate prevalence ratios (PRs) of *S. mansoni* infection for risk factors showed that age and area of residence were strongly associated with increased *S. mansoni* infections (Table 5). After controlling for age and gender, participants in Namando were 1.50 times (95% CI = 1.26–1.74; *P* < 0.001) more likely to be infected than those in Luampa, whereas age groups 15–19 and 25–29 years were significantly associated with *S. mansoni* infection (adjusted PR = 1.70; *P* = 0.017 and adjusted PR = 1.62; *P* = 0.033, respectively).

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total examined</th>
<th>LIPs A and B</th>
<th>LIP C</th>
<th>LIP D</th>
<th>LIP E</th>
<th>LIP F</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>525 (74.2)</td>
<td>91 (13.0)</td>
<td>48 (6.8)</td>
<td>33 (4.7)</td>
<td>9 (1.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>315 (72.1)</td>
<td>57 (13.0)</td>
<td>33 (7.5)</td>
<td>26 (6.0)</td>
<td>6 (1.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>210 (78.1)</td>
<td>34 (12.6)</td>
<td>15 (5.6)</td>
<td>7 (2.6)</td>
<td>3 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43 (86.0)</td>
<td>7 (14.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–14</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>80 (83.4)</td>
<td>14 (14.6)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–19</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>80 (81.6)</td>
<td>16 (16.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (2.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>44 (81.6)</td>
<td>4 (7.4)</td>
<td>3 (5.5)</td>
<td>3 (5.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>48 (71.6)</td>
<td>8 (11.9)</td>
<td>4 (6.0)</td>
<td>6 (9.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>96 (68.6)</td>
<td>17 (12.1)</td>
<td>13 (9.3)</td>
<td>11 (7.9)</td>
<td>3 (2.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>134 (67.7)</td>
<td>25 (12.4)</td>
<td>27 (13.4)</td>
<td>10 (5.0)</td>
<td>5 (2.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luampa</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>256 (70.7)</td>
<td>50 (13.8)</td>
<td>28 (7.7)</td>
<td>22 (6.1)</td>
<td>6 (1.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mwandasengo</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>89 (84.7)</td>
<td>7 (6.7)</td>
<td>7 (6.7)</td>
<td>2 (1.9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namando</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>115 (72.7)</td>
<td>18 (11.4)</td>
<td>13 (8.2)</td>
<td>9 (5.7)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LIP scores denote the degree of fibrosis and are based on the Niamey image pattern scoring.15 A and B = normal liver texture; C-F = abnormal liver texture; E and F = advanced forms of fibrosis.*

### Table 5

Logistic regression models for *S. mansoni* infection and periportal fibrosis controlling for age and gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Crude PR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Adjusted PR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. mansoni infection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>1.28 (0.95–1.29)</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.18 (0.98–1.42)</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>1.28 (0.95–1.29)</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–14</td>
<td>2.00 (1.23–3.26)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1.56 (1.00–2.41)</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–19</td>
<td>2.34 (1.45–3.76)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.70 (1.10–2.62)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>1.82 (1.08–3.10)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>1.35 (0.84–2.18)</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>2.31 (1.41–3.78)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>1.62 (1.04–2.52)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>1.62 (0.99–2.65)</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>1.23 (0.79–1.94)</td>
<td>0.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>0.98 (0.58–1.63)</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.73 (0.45–1.61)</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luampa</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mwandasengo</td>
<td>0.94 (0.71–1.23)</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.84 (0.65–1.10)</td>
<td>0.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mvanga</td>
<td>0.44 (0.27–0.72)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.39 (0.24–0.64)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namando</td>
<td>1.74 (1.47–2.06)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.50 (1.26–1.74)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Periportal fibrosis infection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>1.26 (0.97–1.64)</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.25 (0.97–1.62)</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>1.26 (0.97–1.64)</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–14</td>
<td>1.39 (0.62–3.11)</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>1.44 (0.65–3.23)</td>
<td>0.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–19</td>
<td>1.64 (0.75–3.58)</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>1.85 (0.84–4.05)</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>1.41 (0.59–3.38)</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>1.34 (0.54–3.31)</td>
<td>0.520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>2.14 (0.98–4.70)</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>2.10 (0.93–4.72)</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>2.34 (1.13–4.89)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>2.48 (1.20–5.23)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>2.76 (1.35–5.64)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>2.95 (1.42–6.07)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luampa</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mwandasengo</td>
<td>0.53 (0.34–0.82)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.49 (0.30–0.78)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mvanga</td>
<td>0.76 (0.49–1.16)</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.91 (0.58–1.44)</td>
<td>0.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namando</td>
<td>0.93 (0.70–1.25)</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>0.91 (0.67–1.24)</td>
<td>0.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other infections</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>1.12 (0.71–1.78)</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>1.50 (0.90–2.48)</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In this study population, individuals above the age category of 15 years were 1.76 times more likely to have portal fibrosis than those below the age of 15 years (95% CI = 1.17–2.62, \( P = 0.006 \)). When age was further stratified into groups, age groups 35–39 and 40+ years were strong predictors of fibrosis (adjusted PR = 2.48; \( P = 0.017 \) and adjusted PR = 2.93; \( P = 0.004 \), respectively) (Table 5). Of note was the lack of association in this study between increased egg count and fibrosis (odds ratio = 0.99; \( P = 0.273 \)). In this study, infection with malaria was not associated with fibrosis (PR = 1.52; \( P = 0.114 \)).

Other parasitic infections detected. Apart from \( S. \) mansoni infections, hookworm and malaria were also detected. Hookworm was detected in 26.9% (95% CI = 23.6–30.2) of 717 participants screened, with higher prevalence observed in males than in females (31.3% versus 24.3%; \( P = 0.039 \)). The prevalence was also significantly higher in children than in adults (44.8%; 95% CI = 37.0–52.7 versus 22.0%; 95% CI = 18.5–25.4; \( P < 0.001 \)). Malaria was detected in 6.5% (48) of the participants screened, with significantly higher prevalence observed in children than in adults (13.4% versus 4.8%; \( P < 0.001 \)). \( S. \) mansoni malaria coinfections were detected in 5.2% of the population, whereas \( S. \) mansoni and hookworm coinfections were detected in 12.3% of the population. Triple infections (\( S. \) mansoni, hookworm, and malaria combined) were detected in 1.9% of the population screened. Other helminths detected were Taenia (0.56%), Hymenolpisis nana (0.14%), Ascaris lumbricoides (0.14%), and Trichuris trichiura (0.14%). Of interest was the absence of \( S. \) haematobium in this population. (Additional detailed results of the coinfections part of the study with respect to health status of coinfected school-aged children are being reported elsewhere; unpublished data).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, almost one-half of the studied population in the respective communities had \( S. \) mansoni infection, and children were shown to harbor most of the infections. The observed prevalence of 42% could be an underestimation of the actual prevalence of the infection in this area, because we used the Kato–Katz method, which has shown low sensitivity when a single stool sample is obtained.18,19 This study also showed that \( S. \) mansoni-related liver pathology was relatively high in this population compared with that observed elsewhere.20,21

The prevalence of infection in our study population followed a convex distribution: peaking at ages 15–18 years and declining thereafter. However, the highest intensity of infection was observed at a much younger age group (11–14 years). Similar trends in the intensity of infection have been documented in other \( S. \) mansoni-endemic areas.22,23 Differences in parasite distribution among the different age groups have been attributed to behavioral changes and acquisition of immunity to infection in the older age groups.24,25 Although it is true that immunity to \( Schistosoma \) infection develops over time and in line with increasing age, the trend may not be so for behavioral change as a factor for infection reduction among adults living in \( S. \) mansoni-endemic areas. For instance, in most households in our study area, piped water is not available, with the only source of water being the nearby \( Schistosoma \)-infested rivers or streams. In such instances, adults are equally more likely to be exposed to acquiring the infection as they carry out activities, such as washing, bathing, and gardening. Other studies, however, have shown reduction in water contact activities as children get older.26 Thus, water contact is more of a social and traditional issue, where roles change as one attains adulthood.

In this study, although not statistically significant, the prevalence of \( S. \) mansoni infection was relatively higher in female participants than in male participants. Variations in infection prevalence in male and female participants are mostly attributed to differences in exposure habits, which are usually occupation-based.25 For instance, in our study communities, women have more contact hours with these \( Schistosoma \)-infested water bodies carrying out domestic chores, such as washing, than men, because access to a clean water supply and good sanitation is limited in most households. However, findings from a recent study in Uganda25 showed that men were more likely to be infected with \( S. \) mansoni than their female counterparts, because they have more water contact hours with infested water during their fishing activities.

Furthermore, we observed variability in the distribution of \( S. \) mansoni infection among the four communities that we studied, although epidemiological patterns, such as social and environmental characteristics, were similar. Microgeographical variations in schistosomiasis-endemic areas have been documented27–29 and are probably caused by factors, such as differences in transmission dynamics involving both the intermediate snail host and the human host.30,31 The variations in infection distributions in different communities have implications for control activities, because affected areas may require targeted interventions as opposed to mass interventions.

In chronic untreated \( S. \) mansoni infections, portal fibrosis is a common finding in endemic areas.32 As has been observed in other \( S. \) mansoni-endemic countries, the prevalence of fibrosis was shown to increase with increasing age.20,32–34 We also observed in our study population that adults were more likely to present with portal fibrosis on ultrasound than children. Although this is sometimes reported in children, severe forms of the disease are rarely observed in younger populations. The milder form (LIP C) of portal fibrosis is normally seen in younger age groups.6,33 In endemic areas, most people infected with \( S. \) mansoni present with LIP C and D forms of fibrosis,26 whereas severe disease characterized with advanced fibrosis (LIP E and F) is only observed in 5–10% of the people.37 In our study population, advanced fibrosis characterized with LIPs E and F was recorded in 4.8% and 1.2% of the participants, respectively. The observed prevalence of advanced portal fibrosis cases in this study correlates with the findings from other studies.20 Factors associated with increased risk of severe fibrosis have been documented, and they include age, duration of residency in an \( S. \) mansoni-endemic region, intensity of infection, and presence of other infections, like hepatitis B.20,23 In our study, however, no association between intensity of \( S. \) mansoni infection and disease severity was observed. This finding contrasts with some studies that have that the intensity of infection correlates with disease severity.20,23,32–34 The lack of association observed in our study could be explained by other possible factors, including the observed high prevalence of \( S. \) mansoni infection in this study population, suggesting that the studied participants have repeated exposure.
As has been reported elsewhere,11 disease-associated complications, such as portal fibrosis, hepatosplenomegaly, portal hypertension, ascites, and hematemesis, are common in areas of high *S. mansoni* endemicity. It is of concern, however, that children in our population had high prevalence of infection and intensity. Because complications are consequences of untreated chronic infections, some of these children are likely to end up with complications later in their adult life if they are not treated. Chronic infections in children are associated with anemia, stunted growth, and impaired cognitive development.7 It has also been shown that neglected tropical diseases (NTDs), such as schistosomiasis, are predictors of poverty, because they have a negative effect on productivity and economic growth.38 Although most countries have adopted the WHO model of reducing infection and morbidity, which is based on the set thresholds, reinfections are common in endemic areas, because not all individuals are treated. The untreated population becomes a reservoir of infection, contributing significantly to transmission.

The overall *S. mansoni* prevalence rate of 44% in this study is likely to be an underestimation of the burden of *S. mansoni* in this area. We used the Kato–Katz method, which has been shown to have low sensitivity.18,39 Studies have shown that this method is less sensitive and less accurate when one stool sample is used, resulting in an underestimation of the prevalence.18 The low sensitivity using the Kato–Katz method is attributed to a number of reasons, such as daily variation in egg output and inability to detect light infections.19,40 Sensitivity can be enhanced by examining multiple stool samples and smears.80–82 In a study by Enk and others,40 *S. mansoni* prevalence increased from 13.8% with 1 smear to 27.2% with 10 smears. Additional increase was observed when three samples were examined. In our study, only one sample was examined because of financial constraints. To increase the rate of parasite detection, duplicate smears were prepared from each stool sample collected.

Accurate diagnosis of *S. mansoni* is important for not only control of morbidity at an individual level but more so, control efforts directed at the communities. The WHO guideline on treatment is based on set thresholds that determine when to intervene using mass treatment or otherwise.45 With the low sensitivity of the Kato–Katz technique, many affected communities may not be eligible for mass treatment. These findings of high *S. mansoni* disease burden that we report here highlight the urgent need for carrying out preventive chemotherapy in this area. Other interventions, such as provision of safe water points and good sanitation, health education, and targeted mollusciding, should also be considered. Furthermore, it is also of utmost importance that an effective monitoring and evaluation system is put in place to monitor the effectiveness of the interventions on morbidity.
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