Short Report: Ultra–Low-Cost Urine Filtration for Schistosoma haematobium Diagnosis: A Proof-of-Concept Study
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Abstract. Simple, efficient, and cost-effective strategies are needed for urine sample preparation in the field diagnosis of infection with Schistosoma haematobium. In this proof-of-concept study, we evaluated inexpensive and widely available paper products (paper towels, school workbook paper, and newspaper) to gravity-filter urine containing 60 eggs/mL of Schistosoma haematobium. Eggs were reliably visualized by light microscopy by using single-ply paper towels as urine filters. This filtration method has broad applicability in clinical and public health settings in resource-constrained environments.

Schistosomiasis is a disease caused by infection with a blood-borne fluke acquired through direct contact with contaminated fresh water. Approximately 200 million people are infected worldwide, with the vast majority residing in sub-Saharan Africa. Urogenital schistosomiasis, which is caused by Schistosoma haematobium, accounts for approximately two-thirds of all cases and has a broad range of clinical features, ranging from hematuria and genital ulceration to bladder cancer and infertility. Rural, impoverished, and marginalized populations are disproportionately affected.

The World Health Organization is facilitating mass drug administration (MDA) campaigns for several neglected tropical diseases, including the provision of praziquantel in regions with high burdens of schistosomiasis. Lower prevalences of infection are found in regions that implement MDA campaigns. Effective and inexpensive diagnostic tools will be essential for Schistosoma haematobium case detection in clinical and public health settings, especially in regions with an infection prevalence below the threshold for MDA campaigns.

There are several low-cost diagnostics strategies for schistosomiasis; urine reagent strips evaluating for hematuria are somewhat sensitive but have a wide range in specificity for Schistosoma haematobium diagnosis. Similarly, the urine circulating cathodic antigen test is sensitive for Schistosoma mansoni, but lacks sensitivity for Schistosoma haematobium. Urine filtration and light microscopy remain an important diagnostic procedure for detection of Schistosoma haematobium infection. However, techniques used for concentration of eggs from urine involve either centrifugation or filtration by using specialized microporous membranes, which are relatively expensive for use in low-income countries. Simplifying sample preparation in the field before microscopy is vital for efficient and cost-effective diagnostic strategies in resource-constrained settings. The goal of this proof-of-concept study was to evaluate inexpensive, rapid, and effective modes of urine filtration for Schistosoma haematobium by using widely available and affordable commercial products, and to compare these approaches with a conventional concentration approach.

Ethical approval for this proof-of-concept diagnostic project was granted by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana (IRB/UCC) and the Department of Laboratory Technology.

Urine samples from 10 patients with recently microscopically confirmed, high-intensity infection with S. haematobium were included in this proof-of-concept study. Schistosoma haematobium infection was confirmed in individual patients by centrifugation and pooling of 10 mL of urine collected between 10:00 AM and 2:00 PM and subsequent light microscopic examination for diagnosis and quantification of eggs. Egg quantification in the pooled urine specimen was confirmed by using light microscopy under 10× and 40× objective lenses by a senior expert microscopist. Urine was pooled such that the same infection intensity (60 eggs/mL in our study) would be evaluated by each filtration device.

Three paper products were evaluated as experimental urine filters: paper towels, newspaper, and paper from a student workbook. All papers were procured locally and available for purchase from local shops for less than 2.75 Ghanaian Cedi per product, which is equivalent to approximately $1.00 US dollar (USD). Multiple filters could be constructed from each individual purchase such that the cost of each filter cost was an estimated $0.01–0.03 USD.

For the proof-of-concept project, each type of filter paper was tested five times. Paper was rolled into a cone, fitted into a funnel, and placed over a glass, as shown in Figure 1A. Ten milliliters of urine containing S. haematobium eggs was poured into the bottom of the rolled filter paper cone, which enabled gravity filtration of urine through the paper into a cup below. After filtration, we used scissors to cut off the bottom of the paper cone into which urine was poured. We placed this paper on a glass slide and examined it for ova by using conventional light microscopy under 10× and 40× objective lenses for identification of S. haematobium eggs by an expert microscopist. The presence or absence of eggs was recorded. The funnel was washed after each use to avoid potential contamination.

Urine filter paper was easy to procure, and the filtration set-up was constructed without difficulty. Passing 10 mL of urine through each type of filter paper took less than one minute. We were easily able to visualize S. haematobium eggs on 5 of 5 (100%) paper towel–filtered samples by using conventional light microscopy (Figure 1B). We were not able to visualize S. haematobium eggs with either the workbook...
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We demonstrated that regular paper towels were capable of capturing S. haematobium eggs and were sturdy enough to be transferred from the filtration device for evaluation under a light microscope. This technique has the benefits of costing pennies, is extremely easy to perform, and the materials are readily available in local shops in most towns and cities. Unfortunately, newspaper and school-book paper were not as successful for S. haematobium filtration. Newspaper and school-book paper were very challenging to work with when wet. Both had issues with tearing when being transferred from the funnel to glass slide. In addition, we were not able to visualize eggs with these paper products, possibly because of the way light refracted off their surfaces and distortion of the eggs. Furthermore, given the issues of tearing when transferring these paper products onto glass slides, sample loss could have occurred. Other low-cost filtration devices have been developed and might show promise in the field diagnosis for S. haematobium. However, these still require purchasing specialized medical equipment15 and using conventional microscopy.

There were several limitations to our study. We did not quantify S. haematobium eggs on experimental filter paper, and this will be important if this method is to be used in epidemiologic studies. In addition, only high-intensity test samples were used, and only expert microscopists evaluated the filter paper. Future studies should evaluate other low-cost filter papers for filtration, diverse infection intensities, and the role of non-expert microscopists in public health and clinical settings. In addition, given the simplicity of this diagnostic platform, we envision portable light microscopic devices,16 including mobile phone microscopy,17 to aid in evaluation of filtered urine for S. haematobium infection. This procedure may enable poorly equipped peripheral clinics and public health services in rural or remote communities to facilitate diagnosis of this neglected tropical disease.
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