Review: The History of Dengue Outbreaks in the Americas
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Abstract. Dengue is a viral disease usually transmitted by Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. Dengue outbreaks in the Americas reported in medical literature and to the Pan American Health Organization are described. The outbreak history from 1600 to 2010 was categorized into four phases: Introduction of dengue in the Americas (1600–1946); Continental plan for the eradication of the Ae. aegypti (1947–1970) marked by a successful eradication of the mosquito in 18 continental countries by 1962; Ae. aegypti reinfection (1971–1999) caused by the failure of the mosquito eradication program; Increased dispersion of Ae. aegypti and dengue virus circulation (2000–2010) characterized by a marked increase in the number of outbreaks. During 2010 > 1.7 million dengue cases were reported, with 50,235 severe cases and 1,185 deaths. A dramatic increase in the number of outbreaks has been reported in recent years. Urgent global action is needed to avoid further disease spread.

INTRODUCTION

Dengue is a disease caused by dengue virus serotypes 1 to 4 (DENV-1 to DENV-4) generally transmitted by Aedes aegypti mosquitoes.1 Most dengue infections are asymptomatic; however, when symptomatic, the virus can cause mild dengue fever (DF), or more severe forms of the disease, including dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF), or dengue shock syndrome (DSS).2–4 Dengue infections occur in more than 100 countries in the Asia-Pacific region, the Americas, the Middle East, and Africa, and cases of infection continue to rise worldwide.3–5 Approximately 50 million infections are estimated to occur each year.6 Dengue incidence rates are increasing mainly in tropical and subtropical regions of the world, and in the Americas, a dramatic increase of cases has been reported during the last decades.6,7

Dengue in the Americas has an endemo-epidemic pattern with outbreaks every 3 to 5 years. In this work, we summarize dengue outbreaks reported in the medical literature and to the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO). Based on the epidemiological patterns of the disease, mainly determined by the reported circulation of the dengue viruses and the main vector (Aedes aegypti) we considered four time periods: Introduction of dengue in the Americas (1600–1946); Plan for the eradication of the Ae. aegypti (1947–1970); Ae. aegypti reinfection (1971–2000); Increased dispersion of Ae. aegypti and dengue virus circulation (2001–2010).

INTRODUCTION OF DENGUE IN THE AMERICAS (1600–1946)

The first suspected dengue-like epidemics were reported in 1635 in Martinique and Guadeloupe and in 1699 in Panama; however, it is difficult to attribute these outbreaks to dengue without a detailed clinical picture.8–11 Although the etiology of the first reported outbreaks is unknown, the description of the 1780 Philadelphia outbreak in the United States by Benjamin Rush is clearly the DF syndrome caused by dengue viruses.12 In the 19th century, dengue outbreaks were common in port cities of the Caribbean, North, Central, and South America and mostly related to commercial activities.13,14 In 1818, a dengue-like disease outbreak in Peru accounted for ~50,000 cases.8,9 Between 1827 and 1828, an extended outbreak involving the Caribbean and the Gulf of Mexico was also reported. This outbreak started in the Virgin Islands and further extended to Cuba, Jamaica, Colombia, Venezuela, some port cities in the southern United States (New Orleans, Pensacola, Savannah, and Charleston), and Mexico.15–17 Although this outbreak was originally described as dengue, the clinical characteristics of the cases were classic chikungunya disease, strongly suggesting that its cause was chikungunya virus.18 The historical record suggests this outbreak was the sole introduction of chikungunya into the American Region as a consequence of the African slave trade.11 It was during the 1828 epidemic in Cuba that the disease was first called Dunga, later changed to dengue.19 Between 1845 and 1849 there is some evidence of dengue-like outbreaks in New Orleans,15 Cuba,20 and Brazil.8,9 An epidemic of dengue-like disease was reported after 1850 in different cities of the United States (New Orleans, Mobile, Charleston, Augusta, and Savannah) and Havana, Cuba; Florida,21–23 In 1851, evidence of dengue-like disease was also reported in Lima, Peru.8,9 In the following years, sporadic outbreaks were mentioned in the Gulf and Atlantic seaports in the United States, being the largest in 1873 in New Orleans, with 40,000 people affected,24 and followed by another large epidemic in several southern United States port cities between 1879 and 1880.25,26 By the end of the 19th and beginning of the 20th century, a wider distribution of dengue-like disease was observed including countries as far north from the United States and as far south as Chile and Argentina. Between 1880 and 1912 outbreaks were reported in Curitiba, Brazil27; Iquique, Antofagasta, Tarapacá, Tacna, and Arica, Chile (1889)28,29; Texas (1885–86),30,31 (1897),32 and Florida (1898–99)33; Havana, Cuba (1897)34; Bahamas (1882)15; Bermuda (1882)35; and the Isthmus of Panama (1904 and 1912).36,37 During the following years epidemics were reported in the Virgin Islands36; Puerto Rico (1915)38,39, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil (1916)40; and Corrientes and Entre Rios, Argentina (1916).41 In 1918 an outbreak was reported in Galveston Texas,41 followed by another outbreak of larger proportions in 1922 with an estimated 30,000 cases of dengue-like disease.42,43 This outbreak further expanded throughout Texas42 and Louisiana, following the tracks of the Southern Pacific Railroad, the main transport link between the two states; Florida and Georgia.15,41 During the same year an
outbreak was reported in Niterói Brazil. In 1934 an extended epidemic started in Miami affecting ~10% of the population and then spread to the rest of Florida and to the south of Georgia. During 1941–1946, dengue continued to spread in the Region with outbreaks in Texas (1941), the Panama Canal Zone (1941–1942), where evidence of DENV-2 circulation from neutralization tests was subsequently identified, Havana, Cuba (1944), Puerto Rico (1945), Caracas, Venezuela (1945–46); the Bermudas; the Bahamas; and Sonora, Mexico.64,9,15

The modern era of dengue research started in 1944–44 when dengue viruses were isolated for the first time and diagnostic laboratory tests were available thereafter. Although identification of the serotype could be done by retrospective detection of antibodies, description of most epidemics in this period was based on clinical and epidemiological features (i.e., dengue-like illness). Moreover, it has been observed that other viruses that cause dengue-like disease, such as yellow fever, Mayaro, or chikungunya viruses, may have been involved in these epidemics either instead of dengue or concomitantly with dengue.18,60


The first initiative to eliminate the Ae. aegypti was undertaken by William Gorgas in Havana Cuba in 1901. He was influenced by Carlos Finlay’s theory on the role of the mosquito as a vector of yellow fever presented in Havana, Cuba in 1898 and later confirmed by Walter Reed and collaborators with dengue.18,60

Despite the Regional efforts to eradicate the vector, Ae. aegypti was not eradicated in Cuba, the United States, Venezuela, and several Caribbean countries. Two main epidemics occurred during this period, in countries that had not eradicated the vector. The first one in 1963–1964, starting in Jamaica (~1,500 cases), spreading to Puerto Rico (27,000 cases), Lesser Antilles, and Venezuela (10,000 cases).72 The DENV-3, genotype V, a virus of Asian origin, was isolated during this outbreak.14 The second epidemic that occurred during 1968–1969 also began in Jamaica,73 spreading to Puerto Rico,47,74 Haiti,9 the Lesser Antilles,76 and Venezuela.77 The DENV-3 and DENV-2 were isolated in Jamaica, whereas only DENV-2 was isolated in Puerto Rico,47,74 the Lesser Antilles,76 and Venezuela.77

Unfortunately, decades of unprecedented human efforts to eradicate Ae. aegypti fell apart very rapidly. Between 1962 and 1972 only three additional countries or territories eliminated the vector despite PAHO’s reiterated commitment to the eradication policy.73 The deterioration of the eradication program over time was due mainly to the lost of political importance in most of the countries that had achieved eradication. Moreover, the surveillance gradually declined such that small reinfestations could no longer be detected, and the program’s centralized structure resulted in a slow response to reinfestations. In addition, the insufficient environmental sanitation in the rapidly growing urban centers and rapid expansion of international and domestic travel, favored the passive mosquito dispersion. Other factors contributing to the deterioration of the eradication program included: the development of mosquito resistance to DDT and other organochlorine insecticides; high material and wage costs; insufficient community participation or support from the health sector; and unwillingness on the part of some governments to join in simultaneous programs.67

AEDES AEGYPTI REINFESTATION (1971–1999)

The deterioration of the control programs during the 1960s lead to the reintroduction and expanding geographic distribution of the mosquito, and subsequent outbreaks caused by different dengue serotypes in several countries of the Region. Until 1977 DENV-2 and DENV-3 continued to circulate. Outbreaks associated with DENV-2 were reported in Colombia in 1971–197276,79 and in the southern part of Puerto Rico and DENV-2 in 1972–73 where cases continued to occur until 1975.9 A DENV-3 epidemic was also reported in upper Magdalena Valley, Colombia in 1975–1977.80 In 1977 DENV-1, genotype III, was reported for the first time in the Region and caused an epidemic beginning in Jamaica, expanding to Cuba, Puerto Rico, and most islands in the Caribbean,81 and subsequently to the rest of the northern countries of South America, Central America, and Mexico by 1978.13,82 and Texas in 1980.82 Countries in the Americas reported ~702,000 cases during 1977–1980, and DENV-1 was the predominant serotype.

At the beginning of the 1980s, the number of reported cases started to increase considerably, and this trend was maintained during the following decades (Figure 1). In 1981, Cuba reported an epidemic with 344,203 cases, including 10,312 cases of DHF and 158 deaths (101 child deaths).83 This epidemic was caused by DENV-2, genotype III, and it was the first main DHF epidemic reported in the region. This outbreak is considered one of the worst in this period.
because of the number of cases, deaths, and control costs. Furthermore, in 1981, DENV-4, genotype I, was introduced into the eastern Caribbean islands, and subsequently expanded to the rest of the Caribbean, Mexico, Central, and South America causing in many cases epidemics in countries that had experienced DENV-1 outbreaks. Some of these outbreaks were associated with the sporadic cases of DHF: Suriname (1982), Mexico (1984), Puerto Rico (1986), and El Salvador (1987). DENV-4 was the virus predominantly isolated in these epidemics, although other serotypes were also present.

During the 80s there was a marked geographical spread of dengue activity in the Region. In Brazil, an epidemic was reported in 1981-1982 in the state of Roraima, where DENV-1 and DENV-4 were identified. In 1986 DENV-1 was introduced in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, spreading to other regions of the country. The DENV-1 expanded and caused outbreaks in other countries that had been free of dengue for decades or had not experienced the disease before such as Bolivia (1987), Paraguay (1988), Ecuador (1988), and Peru (1990).

Despite an increasing number of cases in the region during the 80s, the incidence of DHF remained low until 1989, when a second major DHF epidemic was reported in Venezuela during 1989-1990 with over 6,000 cases and 73 deaths. This epidemic was related to DENV-1, -2, and -4 and DENV-2 was predominant and specifically associated with fatal cases. This virus was the same genotype (genotype III) as the virus that caused the epidemic in Cuba in 1981 and also responsible for subsequent smaller DHF epidemics in Colombia, Puerto Rico, Mexico, and Brazil. The DENV-2, genotype III, was introduced in Rio de Janeiro in 1990 during a period of DENV-1 serotype circulation. The DENV-2 spread to other parts of Brazil with more severe clinical presentations, and the first fatal cases caused by secondary dengue infections. Of interest, an epidemic caused by DENV-2, with the same genotype as the strain that was present before 1981, occurred in the Amazonian region of Peru in 1995 however no DHF cases were reported.

The DENV-3, genotype III, virus was reintroduced in the Americas in 1994 initially reported in Nicaragua and Panama. In Nicaragua, 29,469 cases including 1,247 DHF case were reported in 1994, and 19,260 cases were reported in 1995. The DENV-3 subsequently expanded to Central American countries and Mexico in 1995, Puerto Rico in 1998, and other Caribbean islands, causing major epidemics in several Central American countries, Mexico, and later to South America.

In 1996, PAHO approved a Continental Plan to intensify Ae. aegypti control; however, it was not fully implemented. A small, isolated outbreak of DHF/DSS caused by DENV-2 was documented in Santiago de Cuba, Cuba in 1997. In 1998, a significant increase in the number of cases was reported in the Region with widespread circulation of the disease in most countries. Brazil reported > 500,000 cases with widespread outbreaks in 16 states and epidemics were reported in many countries including Argentina where the disease had not been recorded for 82 years.

During 2000–2010 an unprecedented increase in the number of cases was reported in the Americas, circulating all four serotypes and reaching the highest record of cases ever reported during a decade. During this period, two Pan American outbreaks occurred in 2002 and 2010. Below we describe both Pan American outbreaks and the largest outbreaks that occurred in other years in individual countries corresponding to the highest peak during the decade for the respective country:

**Ecuador – outbreak 2000.** In 2000, an outbreak in Ecuador included 22,937 cases reported to PAHO. Four serotypes were circulating at that time and the circulation of DENV-2 and DENV-3 were later confirmed by the National Institute of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine of Ecuador. Few DHF cases were reported (Figure 2A).

**Paraguay – outbreak 2000.** In Paraguay, after 10 years without dengue activity, an epidemic caused by DENV-1 was reported in 2000 with ~25,000 reported cases. No DHF cases were reported. The DENV-1 was the only serotype detected during this outbreak (Figure 2B).
Peru – outbreak 2001. In 2001 Peru had its worst dengue outbreak to date, with 23,329 cases reported to PAHO. Four serotypes were isolated and DHF cases were reported for the first time in Peru (6,119,120) (Figure 2C).

Pan American – outbreak 2002. During 2002 a record number of 1,015,420 cases were reported, including 14,374 DHF cases and 255 deaths (Figure 1). Brazil accounted for >75% of the total number of cases in the Region. During the summer of 2002, Rio de Janeiro had a large epidemic of DF; 288,245 cases with 1,831 cases of DHF were reported during the first half of the year, with most cases occurring in adults. Although DENV-1 and DENV-2 were circulating, DENV-3 was observed in the majority of cases. This serotype was introduced in Rio de Janeiro Brazil in 2000, which subsequently spread to other areas of the country. The countries with the highest incidence rates were Honduras (490 of 100,000), Trinidad and Tobago (480 of 100,000), Brazil (452 of 100,000), Costa Rica (314 of 100,000), and El Salvador (286 of 100,000).

Pan American – outbreak 2002. In 2002 Peru had its worst dengue outbreak to date, with 23,329 cases reported to PAHO. Four serotypes were isolated and DHF cases were reported for the first time in Peru (6,119,120) (Figure 2C).

Costa Rica – outbreak 2005. In 2005, an unprecedented dengue epidemic occurred in Costa Rica, with almost 38,000 cases and 45 of them classified as DHF. Confirmed cases increased almost three times the number reported the previous year. Although DENV-1 was circulating in 2005, DENV-2 was reintroduced in the country at that time (Figure 3A).

Paraguay – outbreak 2006–2007. In 2006 a dengue epidemic began, and most of the cases were reported in the city of Asunción. By the end of 2006, ~4,200 dengue cases were reported, and DENV-3 circulation was confirmed (Figure 3B).

Brazil – outbreak 2007–2008. In 2007 559,954 suspected dengue cases were reported (Figure 3) with 1,514 DHF cases and 158 deaths. Most cases in 2008 occurred in the first half of the year. In that year, 734,384 suspected dengue cases were reported (Figure 3C) (incidence 425 of 100,000), with 9,957 complicated cases and 225 deaths. Most cases (43.7%) were reported in the state of Rio de Janeiro followed by the state of Ceará (10.6%). A change in the age pattern with a trend toward younger ages was observed in this outbreak. In 2008, the incidence was highest (599.4 of 100,000) among children <10 years of age in the state of Ceará. Although DENV-1, -2, and -3 were circulating, cases with the most medical complications were associated with DENV-2.

Bolivia – outbreak 2009. In March 2007, more dengue cases were reported, mostly in the city of Santa Cruz de la Sierra. In 2007 many areas in Bolivia were flooded caused by the El Niño phenomenon and by the end of that year, 7,332 dengue cases were reported with 109 DHF cases and one death. In 2008, 3,004 cases were reported; however, the incidence began to increase by the end of that year. By June 2009 the outbreak was under control with >84,000 cases (Figure 3D), 198 DHF cases, 25 deaths, and a mortality rate of 12.6%. Most of the cases (71%) were concentrated in the Department of Santa Cruz de la Sierra. During this epidemic, DENV-1, -2, and -3 were circulating, cases with the most medical complications were associated with DENV-2.

Argentina – outbreak 2009. During the first half of 2009, Argentina experienced a dengue outbreak caused by DENV-1 with >26,000 confirmed cases (Figure 3E), five deaths caused by DHF and DSS. For the first time in Argentina, there were deaths caused by dengue in primary infections. This outbreak was settled mainly in Chaco, Catamarca, and Salta provinces.
Figure 3. Number of dengue cases Costa Rica, Paraguay, Brazil, Bolivia, Argentina, Mexico, and Nicaragua, 1995–2010.
Mexico – outbreak 2009. In 2009 almost 250,000 cases were reported to PAHO in an outbreak where the predominant serotype isolated was DENV-1, followed by DENV-2; however, serotypes 3 and 4 were also detected\(^\text{6,129,130}\) (Figure 3F).

Nicaragua – outbreak 2009. In 2009, Nicaragua experienced the largest epidemic in over a decade with 17,140 reported cases. The DENV-3 was isolated in the majority of cases, although DENV-1 and DENV-2 were also detected. An unusual clinical presentation characterized by poor peripheral perfusion ("compensated shock") was also observed\(^\text{131}\) (Figure 3G).

Pan American – outbreak 2010. More than 1.7 million cases, 50,235 clinically severe, and 1,185 deaths were reported in 2010, with an incidence > 200 cases/100,000 in several countries (Figure 1). The case fatality rate of dengue in the Americas that year was 2.6%. Several countries in the region suffered dengue outbreaks with a total number of cases exceeding the recorded historical data including the introduction of dengue in Key West, Florida.\(^\text{132}\) The most affected countries and territories are described below:

Colombia 2010.\(^\text{6}\) Colombia suffered the worst dengue epidemic in its history in 2010. This outbreak began in the first week of the year and peaked by epidemiological week (EW) 11, with cases holding constant for more than 10 consecutive weeks. By the end 2010, there were 157,152 reported cases (9,482 were DHF) with 217 deaths, with a case fatality rate (CFR) of 2.3%. All dengue serotypes were identified during the 2010 outbreak, with particular prevalence of DENV-2. The most affected departments were Antioquia, Bolivar (Cartagena), and Sucre.

Venezuela 2010.\(^\text{6}\) There were 123,967 reported dengue cases, 10,203 of them were severe dengue. Venezuela was the third country of the Region reporting dengue cases and the second reporting severe cases. No deaths were reported.

Brazil 2010.\(^\text{6}\) The number of affected people exceeded 1 million cases for the first time in Brazilian history (Figure 3C), nearly double the number of cases observed in the previous year. Of these, 17,489 people were diagnosed with DHF, and 656 deaths were reported.

The outbreak in Brazil has particular relevance because of the active circulation of DENV-4. This serotype was reported in 2008 for the first time since 1982 from three patients that had not traveled outside Manaus.\(^\text{133}\) All four dengue serotypes of dengue were identified during the outbreak, with the southeast and midwest areas as the most affected areas.

Honduras 2010.\(^\text{6}\) Honduras had the worst epidemic of dengue and severe dengue in 2010, with 66,814 cases (3,266 severe cases), and 83 deaths. The peak of major reported cases occurred between EW24 and EW34, affecting mainly young
Caribbean 2010. The Caribbean was the Sub Region most affected by outbreaks in 2010: Guadeloupe and Martinique 2010. The incidence of these two epidemics was the highest one among all 2010 outbreaks. The number of reported cases climbed to 41,100 in Guadeloupe and exceeded 37,000 in Martinique. Despite the increased number of cases in Guadeloupe, Martinique had a higher case fatality rate (CFR) (2.3%, almost twice as much as Guadeloupe).

Dominican Republic 2010. A high mortality rate of dengue cases (over 5%) to the EW 33 was a notable feature of this outbreak. By year’s end and after a clear downward trend in the later months of 2010, the CFR decreased to 3.79%. The number of cases was 12,170 (1110 were diagnosed as DHF and there were 49 deaths).

Puerto Rico 2010. Puerto Rico also faced a dramatic epidemiologic pattern observed in the Dominican Republic. The number of reported cases was 21,298 reported, all 36 cases diagnosed with DHF died.

REGIONAL EFFORTS

In 2001, PAHO established a reference frame for the generation of prevention and control programs against dengue, which proposed social communication, increased community participation, education, and environmental strategies (e.g., water and waste disposal) as key strategies.135 After the increasing number of cases and incidence in the region (Figures 1 and 4), PAHO approved the adoption of the Integrated Management Strategy for Dengue Prevention and Control (IMS-dengue) in February 2003.136,137 This strategy is based on a model consisting of six components; epidemiology, entomology, healthcare, laboratory, social communication, and environment.

As of 2010, 19 countries and territories have implemented the IMS - dengue (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil Colombia, Costa Rica, Chile, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Puerto Rico, the Dominican Republic, Uruguay, and Venezuela). In addition, four sub-regional IMS-dengue plans have been developed in Central America, the Andean Sub Region, MERCOSUR, and Caribbean country members. Moreover, in these last years the laboratory network, RELDA (Red de Laboratorios de Dengue de las Américas) was strengthened through a comprehensive training, monitoring and assessment plan.

CONCLUSIONS

A trend toward higher DF and DHF incidence rates has been observed during the last decades, with indigenous transmission in almost all countries of the Region. Over the last three decades, a 4.6-fold increase in reported cases was observed in the Americas (~1 million cases during the 80s to 4.7 million during 2000–7).1

This review shows the changing epidemiology of dengue in the Americas, particularly after the failure of the Ae. aegypti eradication initiative. The occurrence of recurring outbreaks every 3–5 years with an increasing number of cases over time shows the transition from an endemic-epidemic state to a highly endemic state in recent years.

The only control measure currently available is vector control, but this method has proven difficult to maintain over time. The implementation of the IMS-dengue has contributed to an integrated response to outbreaks; however, all its components should be strengthened. Additional control measures such as the development of effective tetravalent vaccines, more modern vector control programs, and full implementation of the IMS-dengue are needed to reduce the disease burden in the coming years.138
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