Pharmacokinetic Profiles of Artesunate After Single Intravenous Doses at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 mg/kg in Healthy Volunteers: A Phase I Study
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Abstract. The pharmacokinetics of good manufacturing process injection of artesunate (AS) were evaluated after single doses at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 mg/kg with a 2-minute infusion in 40 healthy subjects. Drug concentrations were analyzed by validated liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry system (LC-MS/MS) procedures. The drug was immediately converted to dihydroartemisinin (DHA), with elimination half-lives ranging 0.12–0.24 and 1.15–2.37 hours for AS and DHA, respectively. Pharmacokinetic model-dependent analysis is suitable for AS, whereas DHA fits both model-dependent and -independent methods. Although DHA concentration was superior to that of AS with a 1.12–1.87 ratio of area under the curve (AUC) for DHA peak concentration of AS was much higher than that of DHA, with a 2.80- to 4.51-fold ratio of peak concentration (C_{max AS/DHA}). Therefore, AS effectiveness has been attributed not only to its rapid hydrolysis to DHA, but also to itself high initial C_{max}.

INTRODUCTION

Artemisinin class compounds act rapidly against drug-resistant Plasmodium falciparum strains and are widely used for the treatment of various malarias in humans. Dihydroartemisinin (DHA) is originally obtained by sodium borohydride reduction of artesinin a endoperoxide containing sesquiterpene lactone, which was isolated by Chinese researchers and characterized as the antimalarial principle of the plant Artemisia annua. In vitro bioassay tests have shown that DHA is to be more potent than artemisinin. However, because of its poor solubility in water or oils, DHA has only been formulated as an oral preparation and has been used primarily as a semisynthetic compound for derivatization to the oil-soluble drugs, artemether and artremether, and the water-soluble drugs, artesunate (AS). DHA is similar to AS and is 3- to 5-fold more active and more toxic than other artemisinin derivatives. It can completely inhibit parasite growth within 2–4 hours and is the only artemisinin derivative with activity against all asexual blood-stage parasites. The effectiveness of AS has been mostly attributed to its rapid and extensive hydrolysis to DHA.

Artemisinins have been used in malaria treatments with monotherapy regimen since 1983. However, the monotherapy with the artemisinin derivatives was significantly discouraged after 2001 to prevent the emergence of resistance. For complicated and severe malaria, however, intravenous AS, as monotherapy initially, is still in first-line treatment of both adults and children in Asian countries and some areas in Africa. Severe malaria, which is much less common than uncomplicated disease, is difficult to define precisely, especially in regions where malaria is endemic, because other serious illnesses can coexist with malarial infection. Severe malaria is generally defined as acute malaria with major signs of organ dysfunction or high levels of parasitemia. In areas where malaria is endemic, young children are at high risk for severe malaria. Partial immunity develops in older children and adults after repeated infections, and they are at relatively low risk for severe disease. Pregnant women are also at increased risk for severe malaria.

Trying to replace quinine with a more effective, less expensive, and better tolerated drug to treat severe malaria, an initial trial using intramuscular artemether showed less toxicity but no clear mortality benefit over quinine. More recent trials have used intravenous AS with a more favorable pharmacokinetic profile. The SEAQUAMAT trial, a multicenter randomized trial conducted in Bangladesh, Thailand, Myanmar, Indonesia, India, and Vietnam, recently reported a 34.7% reduction in all-cause mortality associated with intravenous AS compared with intravenous quinine. This is the largest trial ever performed in severe malaria and the first to show conclusively a mortality benefit over standard quinine therapy. There is convincing evidence that for those who do develop severe malaria, intravenous AS will reduce the risk of death by one-third compared with quinine therapy.

After the SEAQUAMAT trials, intravenous AS was immediately recommended for patients with severe malaria by The European Network on Imported Infections Disease Surveillance (TropNetEurop). The most recent advance in antimalarial chemotherapy has been the use of artemisinin derivatives, especially intravenous AS, which may well revolutionize the management of complicated and severe malaria. Therefore, we still need AS monotherapy, if only for this single niche indication. Many other clinical trials for pharmacokinetic evaluation of AS have been conducted mostly in Asia and Africa. Peak concentration (C_{max}) has been shown to be more important than plasma concentration (AUC) in producing the improved efficacy of antimalarial drugs as outlined in the above pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics (PK/PD) evaluation. Intravenous AS can provide sufficiently high peak concentrations in the patients and can provide the most rapid efficacy in parasite killing, showing that injectable AS is pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic superior compared with other artemisinins with various regimens, and the C_{max} was shown to be from 605 to 18,909 ng/mL from AS plus DHA resulting from various dose regimens.

However, there is currently no commercially available product that is produced under good manufacturing process (GMP) conditions. The Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR) has been developing a novel current GMP (cGMP) injection of AS since 2004, which is in the process of US FDA...
This Phase I study describes the pharmacokinetics of injectable AS in healthy volunteers during this study using escalating dose levels with the aim to develop a safe and feasible dose to be evaluated in severe malaria patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals. The bulk artemisinic acid [4-(10'dihydro-artemisinin-oxygenyl) succinate] substance was purchased from Knoll (Zurich, Switzerland). BASF Pharmaceuticals (Evionnaz, Switzerland) rebottled it from the original company with GMP. The clinical trial AS (Batch 14462-16) has been tested for sterility and short-term stability. The formulation is contained in sterilized bottles with 110 mg artemisinic acid per bottle. The injection buffer for AS is manufactured as a GMP phosphate salt with 0.3 mol/L phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 8.0) and provided by Stanford Research Institute (Menlo Park, CA). The dose form for the Phase I clinical trial was manufactured: 110 mg by reconstitution in 11 mL of this buffer (10 mg/mL) to make a stock solution sufficient to dose all the volunteers scheduled on a given day.

Subject background. Forty healthy volunteers 18–55 years of age (mean ± SD: 39.7 ± 11.2 years) and weighing 79.4 ± 11.7 kg were enrolled in this study. For confirmation of their health status, all subjects must be healthy adult males and non-pregnant, non-lactating females and were assessed by inspecting their full clinical history and conducting appropriate examinations, including clinical laboratory evaluations (clinical chemistry, hematology, and urinalysis), thyroid-stimulating hormone, free T4, electrocardiograms, and blood pressure before entry into the study. Subjects were also checked the body mass index (BMI) between 18 and 29 kg/m² or, if out of range, not clinically significant (within 15% of their ideal body weight). Subjects were excluded if they had significant hypertension or significant gynecologic, metabolic, hematologic, pulmonary, cerebrovascular, cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, neurologic, hepatic, renal, urologic, or psychiatric disorders, as were subjects having current evidence of thrombophlebitis, thromboembolic disorders, any coagulopathies, or malignancy including breast cancer. All subjects gave written informed consent.

Study design. This study was an open-label, ascending single dose, alternating group, safety, tolerance, and pharmacokinetic study. Injectable AS was administered intravenously to five groups of the subjects using escalating doses of 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 mg in the fasting state (after a minimum 10-hour fast). All eight subjects in each dose group received the AS and placebo through a pre-randomization code. The study physician, after verifying the code with a study nurse, injected the drug or placebo into a port using the pre-measured dose over 2 minutes as a short-term intravenous infusion; the study nurse recorded the time of study drug reconstitution, infusion start, and completion of injection. When completed, the study nurse recorded the tolerance of the injection to include both inspection of the injection site and subject’s response. Subjects remained in a fasting state until ~4 hours after dosing when a light lunch was provided. Water, fruit juice, or decaffeinated drinks were allowed on request ~2 hours after dosing (fed in bed). Normal activities, excluding strenuous exercise, were permitted from 4 hours after dosing. Biological sample collection blood samples for the measurements of AS levels in plasma were collected ~10 minutes before dosing (0 hours) and at 5, 20, and 40 minutes and 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8 hours after dose for each treatment. All the samples were stored at ~80°C until shipment and were shipped to a bioanalytical laboratory, Midwest Research Institute (MRI), in Kansas City, MO. The human use protocol numbers for the Phase I trial are USUHS G183RW; WRIAR 1128; and HSRRB A-13276.

LC-MS/MS assay. An liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry system (LC-MS/MS) method for the quantitation of AS and DHA (50–100 µL) in human plasma was validated from 2 to 400 ng/mL for AS and DHA. The analytes were extracted from human plasma with ethyl acetate. These extracts were dried and reconstituted in 50:50 (vol/vol) acetonitrile:water containing indomethacin as the internal standard. The reconstituted extracts were analyzed on a Micromass Quattro II Mass Spectrometer in the positive ion electrospray ionization (+ESI) mode. The compounds of AS, DHA, and internal standard, indomethacin, were monitored in the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode. This method used a Varian Pursuit C18 column (150 × 2.0 mm, 5-µm particle size) and a gradient elution with the following mobile phases: 1) 10 mmol/L ammonium acetate in water with 1% formic acid and 2) 10 mmol/L ammonium acetate in acetonitrile with 1% formic acid for the chromatographic separation.

Standard curve and quality control (QC) samples were generated by spiking interference-free human plasma samples with known amounts of AS, DHA, and internal standard. The drug concentrations of the QC samples chosen were within the range of the standard curve and included a lower limit of quantification (LLOQ), low (<3 × LLOQ), medium, and high QC levels. The limit of quantification was 3.4–4.3 ng/mL for AS and 1.7–2.6 ng/mL for DHA. Any out-of-trend concentration values were reanalyzed with the average of three repeated values used in PK parameter determination. The peak area ratios (PARs) of AS (product at m/z 163.13, from parent ion at m/z 402.10; collision energy, 26 V) and DHA (product at m/z 163.13, from parent ion at m/z 302.10, collision energy 20 V) to indomethacin internal standard (product at m/z 139.00, from parent ion at m/z 358.00, collision energy 37 V) were calculated for each sample from the measured peak areas obtained by LC-SRM. Drug concentrations in QC samples and experimental rat plasma samples were calculated by this best-fit equation and the PARs obtained from the LC-MS/MS analysis. Calibration standards and QC samples were analyzed to evaluate the performance of the assay.

Non-compartmental analysis. The maximum concentration in plasma for each subject was read directly from the plasma concentration-time curves. For the determination of initial approach to PK parameters of AS in plasma after systemic application, a non-compartmental analysis (NCA) was performed using WinNonlin (version 5.2; Pharsight, Mountain View, CA). The area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) from the start of infusion at t₀ to the tᵢ was calculated by application of the linear trapezoidal rule. The elimination half-life (t₁/₂), maximum plasma concentration (Cmax), and time to Cmax (Tmax) of AS and its active metabolite, DHA, were also calculated. The log–linear trapezoidal rule was used to estimate the respective AUCᵢ values, and the AUCᵢ ratios of the metabolite to the parent compound AS were calculated to determine exposure to any metabolite compared with the parent drug.

Compartmental PK data analysis. To compare only the clinically relevant artemisinin concentrations (AS and DHA), the compartmental data analysis was based on a short-term intravenous infusion. Injectable AS and its active metabolite,
DHA, disposition was best described by a two-compartment model with a rapid initial distribution phase after intravenous administration. The input of the drug was assumed to follow zero-order kinetics, and elimination from the central compartment occurred with first-order kinetics. Compartmental analysis (CA) of concentration-time data for AS and DHA was also performed using the WinNonlin software with an intravenous infusion program. The first-order method with logarithmic transformation of all drug-concentration data was used throughout.

In addition to the evaluation of the weighted sum of squares, the evaluation of the goodness of fit and the estimated parameters was based on the Akaike information criterion, the variability (CV) of the parameter estimates, the random distribution of weighted residuals between measured and predicted concentrations with respect to time, and the absence of a significant correlation between independent model parameters (< 0.95). The drug plasma concentrations at the end of an intravenous infusion \((C_{\text{max}})\) was calculated from the corresponding model equations (intravenous infusion model, WinNonlin) at the respective time points. AUC\(_{\text{t}}\) (where \(t = \) the time point for the last sample on the pharmacokinetic profile in which quantifiable drug was detected) will be estimated using linear or linear/log trapezoidal calculation. If a model included more than one compartment with an elimination process, the single-clearance values were added to calculate the total clearance (CL). The \((V_\text{s})\) was obtained by adding the volumes of the different compartments (e.g., \(V_\text{s} = V_t + V_e\)).

**Data evaluation.** Statistical analysis was conducted with Microsoft Excel using a Student \(t\) test for dependent samples to compare means of paired and unpaired samples between two groups.

**RESULTS**

**Safety and tolerability.** In this study, 30 healthy volunteers were treated with a single intravenous infusion over a total of five escalating doses of AS with PBS buffer, and 10 volunteers were administrated same volume of vehicle alone. For each subject, adverse events (AEs) were recorded throughout the post-dosing period. Single dose intravenous treatment with this formulation of AS was well tolerated in healthy volunteers at doses up to 8 mg/kg. No dose limiting toxicity was found for AS at those doses studied. There were no subject dropouts for AEs or other treatment-related issues. The safety and tolerability of intravenous AS are the subject of another manuscript in preparation.

**Compartmental analyses of AS by intravenous infusion modeling after single intravenous administrations of 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 mg/kg with a short-term infusion (2 minutes) in healthy volunteers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PK parameters</th>
<th>0.5 mg/kg ((N = 1))</th>
<th>1 mg/kg ((N = 5))</th>
<th>2 mg/kg ((N = 6))</th>
<th>4 mg/kg ((N = 6))</th>
<th>8 mg/kg ((N = 1))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(C_{\text{max}}) (ng/mL)</td>
<td>4,797</td>
<td>6,128 (48)</td>
<td>19,420 (19)</td>
<td>36,100 (24)</td>
<td>83,340 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{\text{max}}) (min)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(AUC_\text{ss}) (ng · h/mL)</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>593 (37)</td>
<td>1,595 (16)</td>
<td>3,038 (25)</td>
<td>6,994 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(t_{1/2}) distribution (h)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05 (41)</td>
<td>0.04 (24)</td>
<td>0.04 (27)</td>
<td>0.05 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(t_{1/2}) elimination (h)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14 (22)</td>
<td>0.24 (89)</td>
<td>0.15 (7)</td>
<td>0.21 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL (mL/min/kg)</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>30.6 (38)</td>
<td>21.4 (17)</td>
<td>23.5 (31)</td>
<td>26.2 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_\text{s}) (mL/kg)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>187 (46)</td>
<td>106 (35)</td>
<td>109 (26)</td>
<td>165 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT (h)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10 (30)</td>
<td>0.08 (21)</td>
<td>0.08 (15)</td>
<td>0.10 (36)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are presented as arithmetic mean (CV%).*

*The all-parameter calculations were performed with WinNonlin 5.2 Version software with PK non-compartment model 202 (short-term infusion) and two-compartment model 10 (intravenous infusion).*

*One of six subjects was suitable for PK analysis because of detected limits in the other five cases.*

NCA = non-compartmental analysis; CA = compartmental analysis; \(F\) = absolute bioavailability; MRT = mean residence time.
In addition, the mean \( C_{\text{max}} \) and \( \text{AUC}_\text{inf} \) for AS in the subjects with the higher dose were roughly double that with the lower dose level and increased in proportion with high correlations \( (r^2 = 0.9924–0.9971) \) to the dose in all five dose groups (Figure 3A, B, top).

**NCA of DHA.** The plasma concentrations of DHA, an active metabolite of AS, after short-term infusion at doses of 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 mg/kg, are shown in Figure 2 (bottom). Table 2 shows the mean parameters (CV%) in a first approach for the NCA. The mean \( C_{\text{max}} \) and \( \text{AUC}_\text{inf} \) for DHA were increased in a dose-dependent manner in all five dose cohorts. Mean \( T_{\text{max}} \) was shown in a range of 0.12–0.40 hours, and the elimination \( t_{1/2} \) was in a range of 0.96–2.14 hours. The mean body clearance over bioavailability (\( CL/F_{\text{obs}} \)) and volume of distribution at steady state over bioavailability (\( V_{\text{ss}}/F_{\text{obs}} \)) ranged 13.6–26.5 mL/min/kg and 1,701–2,403 mL/kg, respectively, in the subjects with five dose groups.

**CA of DHA.** Plasma concentrations versus time curves of DHA, an active metabolite of AS, for 30 volunteers are shown in Figure 2 (bottom). A two-compartment model was used to best fit the kinetics of DHA given at AS doses of 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 mg/kg in the Phase 1 trial. With the CA, all parameters were very similar to the NCA. The comparison of CA and NCA pharmacokinetic parameters for all the five cohort of volunteers is given in Table 2. Mean peak concentration was in a range of 453–5,584 ng/mL with the CA method and 428–4,744 ng/mL with the NCA analysis within a 0.84–0.95 ratio of \( C_{\text{max}} \) NCA/CA. Mean \( \text{AUC}_\text{inf} \) value ranged 384–10,309 ng · h/mL with the CA calculation and 385–10,410 ng · h/mL with the NCA estimation within an \( \text{AUC}_\text{inf} \) NCA/CA ratio of 1.00–1.02. Similarly, the elimination half-life of DHA with CA and NCA analyses ranged 1.15–2.37 and 0.96–2.14 hours, respectively (Table 2). Also, mean clearance over bioavailability (\( CL/F \)) of DHA was in the range of 13.7–22.2 mL/min/kg with the CA method and 13.6–26.5 mL/min/kg with the NCA assay, within
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**Figure 2.** Mean plasma concentration-time profiles of AS (top) and DHA (bottom), an active metabolite of AS measured by LC-MS/MS after a single intravenous dosage with a 2-minute short-term infusion of AS at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 mg/kg in healthy volunteers (\( N = 6 \) for each dose cohort).
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**Figure 3.** Correlations \( (r^2 = 0.969–0.997) \) are shown between single intravenous doses and \( C_{\text{max}} \) or AUC with AS or DHA, an active metabolite from AS. A. Mean samples (markers) were taken from \( C_{\text{max}} \) of AS. B. Mean values were taken from AUC of AS. C. Mean samples were taken from \( C_{\text{max}} \) of DHA. D. Mean values were taken from AUC of DHA in all dose groups after AS single treatments at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 mg/kg. The line represents linear repression whose statistical parameters are shown in the inset (A–D).
PK modeling of DHA, an active metabolite of AS, after single intravenous administrations of AS at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 mg/kg with a short-term infusion in healthy volunteers

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PK parameters</th>
<th>0.5 mg/kg (N = 6)**</th>
<th>1 mg/kg (N = 6)</th>
<th>2 mg/kg (N = 6)</th>
<th>4 mg/kg (N = 6)</th>
<th>8 mg/kg (N = 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_{max} (ng/mL)</td>
<td>428 (15)</td>
<td>802 (22)</td>
<td>1,286 (20)</td>
<td>3,148 (30)</td>
<td>4,744 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{max} (min)</td>
<td>0.16 (66)</td>
<td>0.25 (56)</td>
<td>0.16 (63)</td>
<td>0.12 (0)</td>
<td>0.40 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{nca} (ng · h/mL)</td>
<td>379 (19)</td>
<td>1,008 (29)</td>
<td>1,801 (17)</td>
<td>4,645 (24)</td>
<td>10,057 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{ca} (ng · h/mL)</td>
<td>385 (18)</td>
<td>1,082 (28)</td>
<td>1,850 (17)</td>
<td>4,886 (24)</td>
<td>10,410 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_{1/2 absorption} (h)</td>
<td>0.96 (26)</td>
<td>1.54 (41)</td>
<td>1.15 (23)</td>
<td>1.37 (9)</td>
<td>2.14 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL/F_{obs} (mL/min/kg)</td>
<td>22.2 (17)</td>
<td>16.4 (26)</td>
<td>18.5 (19)</td>
<td>13.6 (28)</td>
<td>15.2 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/F_{obs} (mL/Kg)</td>
<td>1734 (25)</td>
<td>2201 (49)</td>
<td>1860 (37)</td>
<td>1701 (27)</td>
<td>2403 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT (h)</td>
<td>1.10 (19)</td>
<td>1.83 (26)</td>
<td>1.53 (15)</td>
<td>1.79 (10)</td>
<td>2.36 (22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as arithmetic mean (CV%).

*The all-parameter calculations were performed with WinNonlin 5.2 Version software with PK non-compartment model 200 (extravascular input) and two-compartment model 14 (first order).

A dose-dependent increase of C_{max} and AUC_{ca} for DHA in the subjects were shown in a high correlation (r^2 = 0.9692–0.9963) to the dose in all five dose cohorts (Figure 3C, D, bottom).

**PK comparison of AS and DHA parameters.** The conversion data of AS to DHA is presented in Table 2. In all five dose cohorts, the plasma concentration (AUC) of DHA was significantly more than that of AS with a range of 1.12–1.87 ratio of AUC_{DHA/AS}. The peak concentration (C_{max}) of AS was much higher than that of DHA with a range of 2.80–4.51 ratio of C_{max AS/DHA}. DHA also showed a longer half-life of 1.15–2.37 hours compared with AS (whose half-life of 0.12–0.24 hours) which is 7.12- to 12.68-fold longer. If we count the exposure time (half-life), tend to be of minor significance. The resulting C_{max} shown in this study compares very well to other publications, where the C_{max} has been shown to be from 605 to 18,909 ng/mL for AS plus DHA, all resulting from doses of AS either as 120 mg/person or 2.4 mg/kg in various malaria trials. Although these dose regimens are still not enough to cure severe and complicated malaria in 100% of patients, there is now convincing evidence that, for those who do develop severe malaria, intravenous AS will reduce the risk of death by approximately one third. The data are also encouraging that an increase in dose of intravenous AS in humans may result in an even faster effect and increase the survival of severe patients because of the safer and dose-dependent increase of C_{max} of AS and DHA shown in this study.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, high peak concentrations of AS and DHA with dose-dependent kinetics were shown. Previous PK/PD evaluations showed that the rapid efficacy of the artemisinins is principally caused by the peak drug concentration (Table 3). Intravenous AS is the fastest killer of parasites in the treatment of human malaria out of the five artemisinin derivatives, indicating that AS is a superior antimalarial agent in terms of performance of PK/PD. In Table 3, intravenous AS provides the highest peak concentration (C_{max}) with the shortest time of lag phase in parasite exclusion (1.92 hours) and the lowest area under the inhibitory curve (AUIC, 397.3% · h/L), showing that intravenous AS has a major role in eliminating parasites rapidly. Other factors in the pharmacokinetic parameters, such as drug exposure level (AUC) and drug exposure time (half-life), tend to be of minor significance. The resulting C_{max} shown in this study compares very well to other publications, where the C_{max} has been shown to be from 605 to 18,909 ng/mL for AS plus DHA, all resulting from doses of AS either as 120 mg/person or 2.4 mg/kg in various malaria trials. Although these dose regimens are still not enough to cure severe and complicated malaria in 100% of patients, there is now convincing evidence that, for those who do develop severe malaria, intravenous AS will reduce the risk of death by approximately one third. The data are also encouraging that an increase in dose of intravenous AS in humans may result in an even faster effect and increase the survival of severe patients because of the safer and dose-dependent increase of C_{max} of AS and DHA shown in this study.

Similar to prior literature, the results show that AS is rapidly converted to DHA. The active metabolite was detected in all volunteer plasma until 6 hours, whereas the parent drug was undetectable at time points as early as 1–2 hours after the single short-term infusion. In vitro bioassay tests have shown DHA to be more potent than artemisinin and similar in potency to AS. Although the plasma concentration of DHA is higher than that of AS with a range of 1.12–1.87 ratio of AUC_{DHA/AS}, the peak concentration of AS is much higher than...
PK/PD parameters of AS (intravenous, 120 mg and oral 100 mg at 8 hours and then oral 750 mg mefloquine at 24 hours), AS (oral, 100 mg and then 50 mg twice a day for 4 days), DHA (oral, 200 mg and then 100 mg \( \times 4 \)), QHS (oral, 500 mg and 250 twice a day for 4 days and then 500 mg on Day 6), AM (intramuscular, 3.2 mg/kg and 1.6 mg \( \times 4 \)), and AE (intramuscular, 4.8 mg/kg at 0 hours and 1.6 mg/kg at 6 hours and then Days 2–5 daily) in human treatment with uncomplicated and severe/complicated malaria on day 1*+4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route of administration</th>
<th>AS</th>
<th>AS</th>
<th>DHA</th>
<th>QHS</th>
<th>AM</th>
<th>AE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First loading dose</td>
<td>120 mg</td>
<td>100 mg</td>
<td>200 mg</td>
<td>500 mg</td>
<td>3.2 mg/kg</td>
<td>4.8 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining dose</td>
<td>Oral 100 mg at 8 hours</td>
<td>Oral 50 mg twice a day ( \times 4 )</td>
<td>Oral 100 mg ( \times 4 )</td>
<td>250 ( \times 2 ) ( \times 5 )</td>
<td>1.6 mg/kg ( \times 4 )</td>
<td>1.6 mg/kg ( \times 5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dose</td>
<td>220 mg and mefloquine†</td>
<td>500 mg</td>
<td>600 mg</td>
<td>3,000 mg</td>
<td>9.6 mg/kg</td>
<td>12.8 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PK parameters (Day 1)

- \( C_{\text{max}} \) (ng/mL): 2646 (DHA); 11343 (AS)
- \( T_{\text{max}} \) (h): 0.13 (DHA); 198 (AS)
- \( T_{1/2} \) (h): 437.5 (AS)
- AUC\( _{0-24h} \) (ng \( \cdot \) h/mL): 2378 (DHA); 11346 (AS)
- \( t_{1/2} \) (absorption, h): 0.36 (DHA)
- \( t_{\text{lag}} \) (elimination, h): 0.67 (DHA)
- MRT (h): 1.95 (DHA)

PD parameters (Day 1)*

- Time of lag phase (h): 1.92
- AUC (% \( \cdot \) h/mL): 397.3
- \( E_{\text{max}} \) (%) or MPC
- Curative rate (%): 100†

*These data were fitted with WinNonlin (V5.0) by the authors.
†Oral 750 mg mefloquine at 24 hours after intravenous injection.
PK = pharmacokinetics; PD = pharmacodynamics; MRT = mean residence time; \( PC_{50} \) = mean time for parasitemia to fall by half; AUC = area under inhibitory curve; QHS = artesinin; DHA = dihydroartemisinin; AM = artemether; AE = artmether; AS = artemisinic acid; MPC = minimum parasiticidal concentration; IM = intramuscular.

In this study, the pharmacokinetics and possible side effects of an intravenous infusion of AS were studied in healthy volunteers. Up to the highest dose of 8 mg/kg, AS was well tolerated, and no any serious side effects were observed. The concentration-time data of AS were analyzed by non-compartmental and compartmental techniques. Most current approaches to characterize drug kinetics involve NCA and nonlinear regression analysis. NCA does not require the assumption of a specific compartmental model for either drug or metabolite. The method used involves application of the trapezoidal rule for measurements of the area under a plasma concentration-time curve. Therefore, the NCA analysis led to almost identical estimates of key parameters as a first approach.
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