First Report of *Leishmania tropica* from a Classical Focus of *L. major* in North-Sinai, Egypt
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**Abstract.** Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is prevalent in the Egyptian Sinai Peninsula and previous research has consistently documented the etiologic agent to be *Leishmania major*. We report the first isolation of *Leishmania tropica* from human cases of CL in a Northern Sinai community bordering Palestine. Parasite culturing, real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR), gene sequencing, and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analyses indicate CL cases in this community were caused by either *L. major* or *L. tropica* (three cases each). Two wild-caught rodents (*Gerbillus pyramidum floweri*) were infected with *L. tropica*. *Phlebotomus papatasi* sand flies were found harboring *L. major*, however only non-infected individuals of *Phlebotomus sergenti*, a vector for *L. tropica*, were caught. Patients with *L. tropica* had not traveled from the region in over a year, suggesting these cases are autochthonous. This scenario is consistent with an incubation of *L. tropica* from bordering countries and raises concerns about expansion of this parasite further into Egypt.

INTRODUCTION

Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is an important health problem in many parts of the world, especially Mediterranean and Middle East countries. In these regions, CL is typically caused by one of two species of *Leishmania* parasite: *L. major* or *L. tropica*. Clinical symptoms of both infections are similar, producing ulcervate and nodular lesions. Lesions, particularly from *L. major*, typically self-heal within several months in immune-competent patients and injection with intra-lesional antimonials is an often prescribed treatment of both parasites. Similarities notwithstanding, differentiating between these agents is important for several reasons, including a more complete understanding of the geographic distribution and prevalence of these parasites. Disease control strategies may require customization to target the sand fly vector and mammalian reservoir host responsible for transmission of each parasite. Infection by *L. tropica* may confer cross-immunity to re-infection by other *Leishmania* spp., including *L. major*. Most importantly, infection by *L. tropica* can cause visceral leishmaniasis and leishmaniasis recidivans, serious pathologies that require a modified treatment regimen.

Cutaneous leishmaniasis is endemic to the Sinai region of Egypt, and, when the etiologic agent was identified, *L. major* has been the culprit parasite. *Leishmania major* is a zoonotic parasite. In the Sinai, parasites are transferred to humans from infected rodent reservoir hosts (*Meriones crassus* and *Gerbillus pyramidum*) by the bite of the sand fly vector *Phlebotomus papatasi*. Although localities may differ in the species of mammalian host (e.g., West Bank, Jericho: *Psammomys obesus*, Isfahan province, Iraq: *Rhombomys opimus*), *P. papatasi* is the principal sand fly vector throughout the Mediterranean basin, Middle East, Central Asia, and East Africa.

*Leishmania tropica* also occurs widely in the Middle East. The transmission cycle for *L. tropica* is usually anthropoontic with zoontic transmission reportedly occurring in some areas. Rock hydraxes may serve as the reservoir species in Israel (*Procavia capensis*) and Kenya (*P. johnstoni*). *Phlebotomus sergenti* is an oft implicated sand fly vector in both transmission scenarios and can be found along the Mediterranean coast from Libya, through Egypt, Jordan, Israel, and Iraq. Egypt is not a known focus for *L. tropica*, although there are some old reports from the Nile Delta region. The only recent Egyptian case of CL definitively attributed to *L. tropica* was an infection acquired outside of Egypt by a worker returning from Saudi Arabia.

In September 2006, physicians from El Barth community hospital (near Rafah, Northern Sinai, Egypt) collected case histories and tissue biopsies from 10 patients with suspected CL. Using culture methods, the Ain Shams University Research and Training Center (RTC) verified the presence of *Leishmania* in six of these samples. The RTC also surveyed the local sand fly fauna to identify vector species, and successfully cultured or visually observed *Leishmania* from lesions of 14 wild-caught rodents. The RTC subsequently contacted the U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit No. 3 (NAMRU-3), Cairo, to determine the species identity in *Leishmania*-positive cultures. Our final results verified the pathogens, vector, and potential reservoir involved in the leishmaniasis disease cycle in the study area as follows: 1) human cases of CL were caused by either *L. major* or *L. tropica*, 2) known sand fly vectors of both parasites were identified, and 3) wild-caught rodents harbored *Leishmania* parasites, including two *Gerbillus pyramidum floweri* infected with *L. tropica*.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study site.** The community of El Barth is located 35 km southeast of Rafah (31°01′N, 34°12′E to 30°8′N, 34°17′E) on the El Ghoora road and has ~5,000 inhabitants. Rafah is a remote location on the Egyptian border with Palestine (Figure 1) and is inhabited principally by Bedouins. El Barth community is divided into four sectors (Kilo 25, Kilo 30, Kilo 33, and Kilo 36) located along the Egyptian–Palestinian border.

**Sand fly collection and processing.** Sand flies were collected over 5 days in December 2006, and 10 days in May 2007, using sticky traps and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) light traps (John W. Hock, Gainesville, FL). Two collection stations were randomly selected in each of the four sectors to place sticky and light traps and a total of 100 (25 in each sector) sticky traps were distributed. Recovered traps were placed in labeled plastic bags and transported to...
and maintained for at least 6 months to monitor for lesion taxonomic keys, transported to an animal facility at the RTC, nights. Captured animals were identified using regional traps per sector for 10 nights in May for a total of 500 trap of the four sectors for five nights during December, and 10 using wire-box rodent traps placed adjacent to rodent from the study area during December 2006 and May 2007, harbor isolation.

21 Dissected flies suspected to live flies were used to initiate sand fly colonies, the remainder were stored in 70% alcohol for species identification. Some tissue samples were maintained in culture medium through treatment of all patients after sample collection.

Shams University for parasite isolation. According to local

After injection with a local anesthetic, punch biopsies were collected from patients. Each patient first signed a consent form, and was then treated according to accepted international principles and guidelines (Declaration of Helsinki by the World Medical Association). All care and use of animals followed guidelines stipulated by CIOMS International Guiding Principles for Biomedical Research Involving Animals. 23  Briefly, DNA extracts were screened for Leishmania DNA using primer-probe sets that cross-react among Leishmania spp., amplifying fragments of the small subunit rDNA (LEIS) or kinetoplast DNA (kDNA). Samples were also screened specifically for L. major. 25–27 Each real-time PCR experiment included a positive control (DNA from a reference strain: L. major, IPAP/EG/89/SI-177 and L. tropica, WR664) and a negative control (water). The internal transcribed region (ITS1) of the small sub-unit ribosomal DNA was amplified from samples by conventional PCR and sequenced in both directions from four isolates using primers L5.8S and LITS.R. 28 The DNA sequences were manipulated with BioEdit version 7.0.5.3 and used to query GenBank. 29 The DNA sequences from this study and homologs from GenBank were aligned using BioEdit and imported into MEGA 4.0 for gene tree construction. 30 Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of the ITS1 amplicons was performed on seven samples and two reference strains using the restriction enzyme HaeIII. 31

RESULTS

Sand fly identification and parasite isolation. A total of 2,049 sand flies were identified to the species level (Table 1).

Phlebotomus papatasi accounted for 82.1% (1138 ♂♂, 536 ♀♀) of the identified flies and the remaining flies, 17.9%, (169 ♂♂, 206 ♀♀) were P. sergenti. Based on microscopy, seven P. papatasi had Leishmania-like flagellates in their midgut, of which four produced viable cultures identified as L. major (Table 2).

Rodent species. Eighty-one individuals from five rodent species were collected: Gerbillus pyramidum floweri (N = 29), G. andersoni (N = 26), Meriones sacrumani (N = 18), M. crassus (N = 7), and Mus musculus (N = 1). Of 25 rodents with cutaneous lesions, 19 (all of genus Gerbillus) produced positive amastigote smear tests and 14 (11 G. pyramidum floweri and 3 G. andersoni) produced viable parasite cultures. Cultures from two G. pyramidum floweri were identified as L. tropica (samples 6 and 7) and the remaining rodent-derived cultures harbored L. major (Table 2).

Characteristics of patients with suspected CL. All 10 patients reported having not traveled outside of El Barth region in over 1 year. Lesions were localized to the extremities or other areas typically exposed fly bites. Leishmania parasites were successfully isolated and identified for six patients, three infected with L. major and three with L. tropica (Table 2, subculture passages in Novy-MacNeal-Nicolle (NNN) culture medium with 500 IU penicillin G/mL of blood. Promastigotes from positive cultures were transferred to glass vials containing Schneider’s Drosophila cell culture medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO and Gibco-BRL, Gaithersburg, MD) for mass rearing.

Molecular characterization of Leishmania cultures. One mL of each high density (−1 × 10⁶ cells mL−1) Leishmania culture was concentrated by centrifugation and these pellets transported from the RTC to NAMRU-3 for species identification. The DNA was extracted from 25 μL of each pellet using the Qiagen DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and stored at −20°C.

Real-time PCR, conventional PCR, DNA sequencing, sequence analysis, and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis were preformed as previously described. 24 Briefly, DNA extracts were screened for Leishmania DNA using primer-probe sets that cross-react among Leishmania spp., amplifying fragments of the small sub-unit rDNA (LEIS) or kinetoplast DNA (kDNA). Samples were also screened specifically for L. major. 25–27 Each real-time PCR experiment included a positive control (DNA from a reference strain: L. major, IPAP/EG/89/SI-177 and L. tropica, WR664) and a negative control (water). The internal transcribed region (ITS1) of the small sub-unit ribosomal DNA was amplified from samples by conventional PCR and sequenced in both directions from four isolates using primers L5.8S and LITS.R. 28 The DNA sequences were manipulated with BioEdit version 7.0.5.3 and used to query GenBank. 29 The DNA sequences from this study and homologs from GenBank were aligned using BioEdit and imported into MEGA 4.0 for gene tree construction. 30 Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of the ITS1 amplicons was performed on seven samples and two reference strains using the restriction enzyme HaeIII. 31
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Figure 1. Regional and local map (dashed box) of the study site in North-Sinai, Egypt. The four sectors of the El Barth community are denoted by black dots, roads are in black lines, and the border with Palestine indicated by a thick black line. Scale bar = 5 km.
Figure 2); the remaining four suspected cases did not produce viable cultures. Case details (below) indicate *L. major* infection (cases 1–3) presented with wet lesions, and *L. tropica* (cases 4–6) presented with dry lesions, with no apparent correlation between number of lesions per patient and infective agent.

Case 1: A 3-year-old boy had a single, 0.9 × 1.1 cm, wet lesion located on the brow. No history of drug treatment. (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-63; *L. major*).

Case 2: A 12-year-old boy had multiple wet lesions localized on both knees. Lesion size ranged between 1.1 × 1.2 cm and 1.9 × 2.2 cm. No history of drug treatment. (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-64; *L. major*).

Case 3: A 35-year-old woman had multiple wet lesions distributed upward to thorax and neck area on front. Lesion size ranged between 0.8 × 1 cm and 1.1 × 1.3 cm. No history of drug treatment. (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-65; *L. major*).

Case 4: A 13-year-old boy had multiple dry red crusted nodules or lesions localized on both feet. Lesions were 3.0 × 2.0 cm in size. No history of drug treatment. (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-66; *L. tropica*).

Case 5: A 17-year-old boy had a single dry lesion on the right arm. Lesion size was 1.3 × 1.7 cm. There was no history of drug treatment of this mostly healed lesion. (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-67; *L. tropica*).

Case 6: A 9-year-old boy with multiple dry lesions on the right foot. Lesions were similar in size (3.0 × 1.0 cm). The patient reported a history of treating the lesions with sodium stibogluconate (Pentostam). (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-70; *L. tropica*).

**Leishmania species identity.** Twenty-four of 28 Sinai isolates were positive for *Leishmania* DNA using the LEIS primer-probe set, however, only 19 of these were also positive for *L. major* DNA (Table 2). The remaining five isolates (samples 4–8) were positive for *Leishmania* kDNA (data not shown), confirming the presence of *Leishmania* parasites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>LEIS</th>
<th>L. major</th>
<th>RFLP</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Human case 1</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-63</td>
<td>28.25</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>“” 2</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-64</td>
<td>27.32</td>
<td>32.54</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>“” 3</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-65</td>
<td>27.30</td>
<td>37.22</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>“” 4</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-66</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>“” 5</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-67</td>
<td>25.57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>“” 6</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-70</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>“” 7</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-71</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>“” 8</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-72</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>“” 9</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-68</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>“” 10</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>G. pyramids</em> 1</td>
<td>MGER/EG/06/RTC-73</td>
<td>25.27</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>“” 2</td>
<td>MGER/EG/06/RTC-74</td>
<td>27.17</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>“” 3</td>
<td>MGER/EG/07/RTC-76</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>37.97</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>“” 4</td>
<td>MGER/EG/07/RTC-79</td>
<td>29.70</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>“” 5</td>
<td>MGER/EG/07/RTC-80</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td>37.84</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>“” 6</td>
<td>MGER/EG/07/RTC-81</td>
<td>26.02</td>
<td>33.70</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>“” 7</td>
<td>MGER/EG/07/RTC-82</td>
<td>26.81</td>
<td>35.70</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>“” 8</td>
<td>MGER/EG/07/RTC-83</td>
<td>26.75</td>
<td>34.68</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>“” 9</td>
<td>MGER/EG/07/RTC-85</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>“” 10</td>
<td>MGER/EG/07/RTC-86</td>
<td>21.55</td>
<td>29.56</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>“” 11</td>
<td>MGER/EG/07/RTC-96</td>
<td>21.94</td>
<td>29.91</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>G. anderson</em> 1</td>
<td>MGER/EG/06/RTC-75</td>
<td>30.87</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>“” 2</td>
<td>MGER/EG/07/RTC-77</td>
<td>29.90</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>“” 3</td>
<td>MGER/EG/07/RTC-83</td>
<td>21.26</td>
<td>28.97</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td><em>P. papata</em> 1</td>
<td>IPAP/EG/07/RTC-87</td>
<td>25.04</td>
<td>35.25</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>“” 2</td>
<td>IPAP/EG/07/RTC-89</td>
<td>25.50</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>“” 3</td>
<td>IPAP/EG/07/RTC-90</td>
<td>26.09</td>
<td>37.24</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>“” 4</td>
<td>IPAP/EG/07/RTC-93</td>
<td>23.04</td>
<td>33.71</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td><em>L. major</em></td>
<td>IPAP/EG/89/SI-177</td>
<td>18.45</td>
<td>22.23</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td><em>L. tropica</em></td>
<td>WR664</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A total of 28 samples were cultured from individuals of four source organisms. Isolates were named according to standard nomenclature (e.g., isolate MHOM/EG/06/RTC-63 was cultured from a human sample [MHOM] obtained in Egypt [EG] during the 2006 calendar year [06] by the Ain Shams University Research and Training Center [RTC] and assigned a unique identifier [63]). Real time PCR cycle threshold values (Ct) are reported for experiments using the LEIS and *L. major* prime-probe sets. The RFLP analysis was performed on DNA from 7 samples and DNA sequenced from 4 samples. The *Leishmania* species identification assigned to each sample is recorded as the Conclusion. ND indicates where target DNA was not detected; experiments not performed are indicated by a dashed line (–).
to assess the likelihood that patients currently residing in El Barth contracted leishmaniasis from neighboring countries with high disease incidence. The parents of these patients reported that the young boys had not traveled from the community within the past year. Because lesions from *L. tropica* typically manifest within a few months of infection, these self-reports are consistent with an infection acquired within El Barth; however, we cannot exclude the formal possibility of sub-clinical infections contracted during travel from more than 1 year ago.

Competing scenarios can account for the presence of *L. tropica* in this focal area of *L. major*. The geographic distribution of *L. tropica* may simply be greater than previously thought. Historical difficulties in distinguishing between *L. major* and *L. tropica*, coupled with a low disease burden, may have masked the presence of this parasite from past discovery despite significant surveillance for CL in the area. Alternatively, our observations may indicate a comparatively recent incursion by *L. tropica* from adjacent regions of high endemicity. Such has been observed in Jericho, Israel, where, in an area endemic for *L. major*, human CL cases attributed to *L. tropica* have recently emerged. Unfortunately, the molecular data do not clarify the issue. Phylogenetic analysis indicates that the ITS1 sequences from *L. tropica* in Sinai ally most closely with an Iranian isolate, and were significantly divergent from two geographically proximate strains from Israel (GenBank accession nos. EU683617 and EU683618). Although this finding could be used to support the first scenario, the high intra-specific genetic diversity of *L. tropica* must be explicitly accounted for when attempting to reconstruct molecular epidemiology and population genetics. Without a more clear relationship between the Sinai *L. tropica* and other isolates, we cannot conclusively distinguish between alternative explanations; however, efforts to more accurately determine the distribution and genotypes of this parasite in the Sinai are underway.

The presence of *L. tropica* in Sinai raises two concerns for disease management in Egypt: is the parasite population stable in Sinai? Will it spread to other areas of Egypt? To persist, *Leishmania* requires a reservoir and a competent vector to transmit the parasite. The life cycle of *L. major* in the Sinai involves rodent hosts, including *G. pyramidium* and *G. andersoni* as identified in this study, and the sand fly vector, *Phlebotomus papatasi*. The occasional zoonotic transfer of *L. major* to humans likely has little impact on the population stability of this parasite. The same may not be true for *L. tropica* because the transmission cycle varies between localities and generally does not require a sylvan reservoir. *Leishmania*

**Figure 2.** L. tropica, L. major, and L. donovani ITS1 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) fragment sequences. Between 221–238 bp of the ITS1-5.8S rRNA region from representative isolates were aligned and a gene tree constructed using maximum parsimony. Bootstrap analysis was performed with 500 replicates and bootstrap P values reported at nodes. Black bars delineate the base of each clade for *L. tropica* and *L. major*. Strains (accession no.) for *L. tropica* were those from this study (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-66 (FJ460457), MHOM/EG/06/RTC-67 (FJ460459), MGER/EG/06/RTC-73 (FJ460458), and others from GenBank: MHOM/IR/02/Mash_10 (EF653267), MHOM/TN/88/TAT3 (AJ300485), IROS/NA/76/ROSSII-II (AJ000302), MHOM/KE/84/NLB297 (AJ000301). *Leishmania major* strains included one from this study, MHOM/EG/06/RTC-64 (FJ460456), and from GenBank: IPAP/EG/89/SI-177 (DQ295824), *Leishmania major* strain Friedlin (70799905), MHOM/GH/04/HO-004 (DQ295825). *Leishmania donovani* strain MHOM/IN/01/BHU20140 (AJ634378) was included as an out group.

**Figure 3.** Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis of ITS1 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) fragments amplified from culture isolates and control DNA, and then digested with HaeIII. Numbers (2–8) represent the sample source (Table 2). Control DNA sources were *L. major* (Lm) and *L. tropica* (Lt).
tropica is transmitted anthroponotically in Afghanistan by P. sergenti but in Israel and Kenya is suspected to have a zoonotic transmission cycle with P. sergenti transmitting parasites among populations of rock hyraxes and humans. 16,17 We have not fully clarified the transmission cycle in the Sinai; however, L. tropica was isolated from rodents leaving open the possibility of zoonotic transmission. Phlebotomus sergenti accounted for ≥17% of sand flies captured in El Baruth. Determining the parasite transmission cycle (zoonotic or anthroponotic) will be critical for disease prevention. If the transmission cycle is anthroponotic, control efforts targeting a non-human reservoir would have little effect, but reducing household level exposure to sand flies (e.g., insecticide-treated bed-nets) would be appropriate. Regardless, it is apparent that El Baruth region has preconditions for a stable presence of L. tropica.

Risk factors such as increased agriculture, urbanization of rural areas, and environmental changes influence the geographic distribution of CL by altering the distribution of the vector, the reservoir host, or the frequency of contact with humans. 38 Humans, the sand fly vector, and a potential reservoir are already widely distributed along Egypt’s northern coast, suggesting that L. tropica could potentially spread within Egypt without any change in risk factors. 20,22,37 This same concern has been raised for L. major, whose sand fly vector and mammalian reservoir co-occur elsewhere in Egypt. Thus far, L. major has been restricted to the Sinai region and it is currently thought that geographic variation in vectorial competency limits parasite distribution. 38 Phlebotomus sergenti is also refractory to infection by different populations of L. tropica. 35 Without further study, it is not clear what barriers exist to prevent L. tropica from extending within the country from this newly documented focal point in El Baruth.
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