First Report of *Leishmania tropica* from a Classical Focus of *L. major* in North-Sinai, Egypt
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Abstract. Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is prevalent in the Egyptian Sinai Peninsula and previous research has consistently documented the etiologic agent to be *Leishmania major*. We report the first isolation of *Leishmania tropica* from human cases of CL in a Northern Sinai community bordering Palestine. Parasite culturing, real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR), gene sequencing, and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analyses indicate CL cases in this community were caused by either *L. major* or *L. tropica* (three cases each). Two wild-caught rodents (*Gerbillus pyramidum*) were infected with *L. tropica*. *Phlebotomus papatasi* sand flies were found harboring *L. major*, however only non-infected individuals of *Phlebotomus sergenti*, a vector for *L. tropica*, were caught. Patients with *L. tropica* had not traveled from the region in over a year, suggesting these cases are autochthonous. This scenario is consistent with an incursion of *L. tropica* from bordering countries and raises concerns about expansion of this parasite further into Egypt.

INTRODUCTION

Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is an important health problem in many parts of the world, especially Mediterranean and Middle East countries. In these regions, CL is typically caused by one of two species of *Leishmania* parasite: *L. major* or *L. tropica*. Clinical symptoms of both infections are similar, producing ulcerative and nodular lesions. Lesions, particularly from *L. major*, typically self-heal within several months in immune-competent patients and injection with intra-lesional antimonials is an often prescribed treatment of both parasites.

Similarities notwithstanding, differentiating between these agents is important for several reasons, including a more complete understanding of the geographic distribution and prevalence of these parasites. Disease control strategies may require customization to target the sand fly vector and mammalian reservoir host responsible for transmission of each parasite. Infection by *L. tropica* may confer cross-immunity to re-infection by other *Leishmania* spp., including *L. major*. Most importantly, infection by *L. tropica* can cause visceral leishmaniasis and leishmaniasis recidivans, serious pathologies that require a modified treatment regimen.2,3

Cutaneous leishmaniasis is endemic to the Sinai region of Egypt, and, when the etiologic agent was identified, *L. major* has been the culprit parasite.4-6 *Leishmania major* is a zoonotic parasite. In the Sinai, parasites are transferred to humans from infected rodent reservoir hosts (*Meriones crassus* and *Gerbillus pyramidum*) by the bite of the sand fly vector *Phlebotomus papatasi*.4,7-8 Although localities may differ in the species of mammalian host (e.g., West Bank, Jericho: *Psammomys obesus*, Isfahan province, Iraq: *Rhabdomys opimus*), *P. papatasi* is the principal sand fly vector throughout the Mediterranean basin, Middle East, Central Asia, and East Africa.10,11

*Leishmania tropica* also occurs widely in the Middle East. The transmission cycle for *L. tropica* is usually anthropoontic with zoonotic transmission reportedly occurring in some areas.12-16 Rock hydraxes may serve as the reservoir species in Israel (*Procavia capensis*) and Kenya (*P. johnstoni*).16,17 *Phlebotomus sergenti* is an oft implicated sand fly vector in both transmission scenarios and can be found along the Mediterranean coast from Libya, through Egypt, Jordan, Israel, and Iraq.18 Egypt is not a known focus for *L. tropica*, although there are some old reports from the Nile Delta region.19 The only recent Egyptian case of CL definitively attributed to *L. tropica* was an infection acquired outside of Egypt by a worker returning from Saudi Arabia.20

In September 2006, physicians from El Barth community hospital (near Rafah, Northern Sinai, Egypt) collected case histories and tissue biopsies from 10 patients with suspected CL. Using culture methods, the Ain Shams University Research and Training Center (RTC) verified the presence of *Leishmania* in six of these samples. The RTC also surveyed the local sand fly fauna to identify vector species, and successfully cultured or visually observed *Leishmania* from lesions of 14 wild-caught rodents. The RTC subsequently contacted the U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit No. 3 (NAMRU-3), Cairo, to determine the species identity in *Leishmania*-positive cultures. Our final results verified the pathogens, vector, and potential reservoir involved in the leishmaniasis disease cycle in the study area as follows: 1) human cases of CL were caused by either *L. major* or *L. tropica*, 2) known sand fly vectors of both parasites were identified, and 3) wild-caught rodents harbored *Leishmania* parasites, including two *Gerbillus pyramidum* infected with *L. tropica*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Study site.** The community of El Barth is located 35 km southeast of Rafah (31°01′N, 34°12′E to 30°8′N, 34°17′E) on the El Ghoora road and has ~5,000 inhabitants. Rafah is a remote community located 25 km southeast of Rafah (31°N, 34°1′E) on the border of Egypt and Palestine (Figure 1) and is inhabited principally by Bedouins. El Barth community is divided into four sectors (Kilo 25, Kilo 30, Kilo 33, and Kilo 36) located along the Egyptian–Palestinian border.

**Sand fly collection and processing.** Sand flies were collected over 5 days in December 2006, and 10 days in May 2007, using sticky traps and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) light traps (John W. Hock, Gainesville, FL). Two collection stations were randomly selected in each of the four sectors to place sticky and light traps and a total of 100 (25 in each sector) sticky traps were distributed. Recovered traps were placed in labeled plastic bags and transported to
Full-thickness punch-biopsies were removed and maintained for at least 6 months to monitor for lesion taxonomic keys, transported to an animal facility at the RTC, nights. Captured animals were identified using regional traps per sector for 10 nights in May for a total of 500 trap nights of the four sectors for five nights during December, and 10 burrows and inside houses. Five traps were placed in each using wire-box rodent traps placed adjacent to rodent burrows and inside houses. Five traps were placed in each of the four sectors for five nights during December, and 10 traps per sector for 10 nights in May for a total of 500 trap nights. Captured animals were identified using regional taxonomic keys, transported to an animal facility at the RTC, and maintained for at least 6 months to monitor for lesion development. Dissected flies suspected to harbor Leishmania promastigotes were processed for parasite isolation.

**Rodent capture and identification.** Rodents were collected from the study area during December 2006 and May 2007, using wire-box rodent traps placed adjacent to rodent burrows and inside houses. Five traps were placed in each of the four sectors for five nights during December, and 10 traps per sector for 10 nights in May for a total of 500 trap nights. Captured animals were identified using regional taxonomic keys, transported to an animal facility at the RTC, and maintained for at least 6 months to monitor for lesion development. Dissected flies suspected to harbor Leishmania promastigotes were processed for parasite isolation.

**Sample collection from patients.** In the North Sinai, Leishmania cases are typically present from August through October. In September 2006, physicians from El Barth Medical Health Unit collected tissue biopsies and case histories (patient age, gender, travel history, treatment history, and lesion description) from 10 El Barth residents with suspected CL. Each patient first signed a consent form, and was then treated according to accepted international principles and guidelines (Declaration of Helsinki by the World Medical Association). After injection with a local anesthetic, punch biopsies were collected from the lesion periphery and transported to Ain Shams University for parasite isolation. According to local standard practice, physicians initiated, or continued, sodium stibogluconate (Pentostam, GlaxoSmithKline, London, UK) treatment of all patients after sample collection.

**Parasite isolation and cultivation.** Isolates inoculated with tissue samples were maintained in culture medium through subculture passages in Novy-MacNeal-Nicolle (NNN) culture medium with 500 IU penicillin G/mL of blood. Promastigotes from positive cultures were transferred to glass vials containing Schneider’s Drosophila cell culture medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO and Gibco-BRL, Gaithersburg, MD) for mass rearing.

**Molecular characterization of Leishmania cultures.** One mL of each high density (~1 x 10⁶ cells mL⁻¹) Leishmania culture was concentrated by centrifugation and these pellets transported from the RTC to NAMRU-3 for species identification. The DNA was extracted from 25 μL of each pellet using the Qiagen DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and stored at −20°C.

Real-time PCR, conventional PCR, DNA sequencing, sequence analysis, and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis were preformed as previously described. Briefly, DNA extracts were screened for Leishmania DNA using primer-probe sets that cross-react among Leishmania spp., amplifying fragments of the small subunit rDNA (LEIS) or kinetoplast DNA (kDNA). Samples were also screened specifically for L. major. Each real-time PCR experiment included a positive control (DNA from a reference strain: L. major, IPAP/EG/89/SI-177 and L. tropica, WR664) and a negative control (water). The internal transcribed region (ITS1) of the small sub-unit ribosomal DNA was amplified from samples by conventional PCR and sequenced in both directions from four isolates using primers L5.8S and LITS.R. The DNA sequences were manipulated with BioEdit version 7.0.5.3 and used to query GenBank. The DNA sequences from this study and homologs from GenBank were aligned using BioEdit and imported into MEGA 4.0 for gene tree construction. Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of the ITS1 amplicons was performed on seven samples and two reference strains using the restriction enzyme HaeIII.

**RESULTS**

**Sand fly identification and parasite isolation.** A total of 2,049 sand flies were identified to the species level (Table 1). Phlebotomus papatasi accounted for 82.1% (1138♂♂, 536 ♀♀) of the identified flies and the remaining flies, 17.9%, (169♂♂, 206 ♀♀) were P. sergenti. Based on microscopy, seven P. papatasi had Leishmania-like flagellates in their midgut, of which four produced viable cultures identified as L. major (Table 2).

**Rodent species.** Eighty-one individuals from five rodent species were collected: Gerbillus pyramidum floweri (N = 29), G. andersoni (N = 26), Meriones sacramanti (N = 18), M. crassus (N = 7), and Mus musculus (N = 1). Of 25 rodents with cutaneous lesions, 19 (all of genus Gerbillus) produced positive amastigote smear tests and 14 (11 G. pyramidum floweri and 3 G. andersoni) produced viable parasite cultures. Cultures from two G. pyramidum floweri were identified as L. tropica (samples 6 and 7) and the remaining rodent-derived cultures harbored L. major (Table 2).

**Characteristics of patients with suspected CL.** All 10 patients reported having not traveled outside of El Barth region in over 1 year. Lesions were localized to the extremities or other areas typically exposed fly bites. Leishmania parasites were successfully isolated and identified for six patients, three infected with L. major and three with L. tropica (Table 2).
Control formed are indicated by a dashed line (–).

Four samples. The human sample [MHOM] obtained in Egypt [EG] during the 2006 calendar year [06] by the Ain Shams University Research and Training Center [RTC] and assigned a unique identifier [63].

Between number of lesions per patient and infective agent. 4–6) presented with dry lesions, with no apparent correlation viable cultures. Case details (below) indicate between number of lesions per patient and infective agent.

Case 1: A 3-year-old boy had a single, 0.9 × 1.1 cm, wet lesion located on the brow. No history of drug treatment. (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-63: L. major).

Case 2: A 12-year-old boy had multiple wet lesions localized on both knees. Lesion size ranged between 1.1 × 1.2 cm and 1.9 × 2.2 cm. No history of drug treatment. (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-64: L. major).

Case 3: A 35-year-old woman had multiple wet lesions distributed upward to thorax and neck area on front. Lesion size ranged between 0.8 × 1 cm and 1.1 × 1.3 cm. No history of drug treatment. (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-65: L. major).

Case 4: A 13-year-old boy had multiple dry red crust nodules or lesions localized on both feet. Lesions were 3.0 × 2.0 cm in size. No history of drug treatment. (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-66: L. tropica).

Case 5: A 17-year-old boy had a single dry lesion on the right arm. Lesion size was 1.3 × 1.7 cm. There was no history of drug treatment of this mostly healed lesion. (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-67: L. tropica).

Case 6: A 9-year-old boy with multiple dry lesions on the right foot. Lesions were similar in size (3.0 × 1.0 cm). The patient reported a history of treating the lesions with sodium stibogluconate (Pentostam). (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-70; L. tropica).

Leishmania species identity. Twenty-four of 28 Sinai isolates were positive for Leishmania DNA using the LEIS primer-probe set, however, only 19 of these were also positive for L. major DNA (Table 2). The remaining five isolates (samples 4–8) were positive for Leishmania kDNA (data not shown), confirming the presence of Leishmania parasites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>LEIS Ct</th>
<th>L. major Ct</th>
<th>RFLP Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Human case 1</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-63</td>
<td>25.25</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>“ “ 2</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-64</td>
<td>27.32</td>
<td>32.54</td>
<td>L. major L. major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>“ “ 3</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-65</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>37.22</td>
<td>L. major –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>“ “ 4</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-66</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>L. tropica L. tropica L. tropica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>“ “ 5</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-67</td>
<td>25.57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>L. tropica L. tropica L. tropica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>“ “ 6</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-70</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>L. tropica –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>“ “ 7</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-71</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>“ “ 8</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-72</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>“ “ 9</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-68</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>“ “ 10</td>
<td>MHOM/EG/06/RTC-69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>G. pyramidal 1</td>
<td>MGER/06/RTC-73</td>
<td>25.27</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>L. tropica L. tropica L. tropica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>“ “ 2</td>
<td>MGER/06/RTC-74</td>
<td>27.17</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>L. tropica –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>“ “ 3</td>
<td>MGER/06/RTC-76</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>37.97</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>“ “ 4</td>
<td>MGER/06/RTC-78</td>
<td>29.70</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>L. major –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>“ “ 5</td>
<td>MGER/07/RTC-80</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td>37.84</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>“ “ 6</td>
<td>MGER/07/RTC-81</td>
<td>26.02</td>
<td>33.70</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>“ “ 7</td>
<td>MGER/07/RTC-82</td>
<td>26.81</td>
<td>35.70</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>“ “ 8</td>
<td>MGER/07/RTC-84</td>
<td>26.75</td>
<td>34.68</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>“ “ 9</td>
<td>MGER/07/RTC-85</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>“ “ 10</td>
<td>MGER/07/RTC-86</td>
<td>21.55</td>
<td>29.56</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>“ “ 11</td>
<td>MGER/07/RTC-96</td>
<td>21.94</td>
<td>29.91</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>G. andersoni 1</td>
<td>MGER/06/RTC-75</td>
<td>30.87</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>“ “ 2</td>
<td>MGER/07/RTC-77</td>
<td>29.90</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>P. papatai 1</td>
<td>IPAP/EG/07/RTC-87</td>
<td>25.04</td>
<td>35.25</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>“ “ 2</td>
<td>IPAP/07/RTC-89</td>
<td>25.50</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>“ “ 3</td>
<td>IPAP/07/RTC-90</td>
<td>26.09</td>
<td>37.24</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>“ “ 4</td>
<td>IPAP/07/RTC-93</td>
<td>23.04</td>
<td>33.71</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Control      | L. major                | IPAP/EG/89/SI-177      | 18.45   | 22.23       | L. major –      |
| Control      | L. tropica              | WR664                  | 15.56   | ND          | L. tropica –    |

* A total of 28 samples were cultured from individuals of four source organisms. Isolates were named according to standard nomenclature (e.g., isolate MHOM/EG/06/RTC-63 was cultured from a human sample [MHOM] obtained in Egypt [EG] during the 2006 calendar year [06] by the Ain Shams University Research and Training Center [RTC] and assigned a unique identifier [63]). Real time PCR cycle threshold values (Ct) are reported for experiments using the LEIS and L. major prime-probe sets. The RFLP analysis was performed on DNA from 7 samples and DNA sequenced from 4 samples. The Leishmania species identification assigned to each sample is recorded as the Conclusion. ND indicates where target DNA was not detected; experiments not performed are indicated by a dashed line (–).
The ITS1 DNA sequences were obtained for samples 2 (accession FJ460456), 4 (FJ460457), 5 (FJ460459), and 6 (FJ460458). Sample 2 was confirmed as \textit{L. major}; the sequence is identical to several \textit{L. major} sequences deposited in GenBank, including isolates from Iran, Kenya, and the Sinai. Samples 4 and 6 were identical and differed from sample 5 at 3 of 322 nucleotides. These samples had the highest overall BLAST similarity to a \textit{L. tropica} strain from Sudan (97\% identity, MHOM/SU/60/60/OD). A maximum parsimony gene tree constructed with these sequences and homologues from GenBank shows a tight clustering of \textit{L. major} strains (Figure 2). Phylogenetic analysis yielded a single best tree when using maximum parsimony, 500 bootstraps, and a complete deletion of gaps; the 348 nucleotide alignment had 33 parsimony informative sites. The Sinai \textit{L. tropica} sequences ally most closely with an Iranian isolate, and were significantly divergent from two geographically proximate strains from Israel (GenBank accession nos. EU683617 and EU683618). The molecular data do not clarify the issue. Phylogenetic analysis indicates that the ITS1 sequences from \textit{L. tropica} in Sinai ally most closely with an Iranian isolate, and were significantly divergent from two geographically proximate strains from Israel (GenBank accession nos. EU683617 and EU683618). Although this finding could be used to support the first scenario, the high intra-specific genetic diversity of \textit{L. tropica} must be explicitly accounted for when attempting to reconstruct molecular epidemiology and population genetics. Without a more clear relationship between the Sinai \textit{L. tropica} and other isolates, we cannot conclusively distinguish between alternative explanations; however, efforts to more accurately determine the distribution and genotypes of this parasite in the Sinai are underway.

The presence of \textit{L. tropica} in Sinai raises two concerns for disease management in Egypt: is the parasite population stable in Sinai? Will it spread to other areas of Egypt? To persist, \textit{Leishmania} requires a reservoir and a competent vector to transmit the parasite. The life cycle of \textit{L. major} in the Sinai involves rodent hosts, including \textit{G. pyramidum floweri} and \textit{G. andersoni} as identified in this study, and the sand fly vector, \textit{Phlebotomus papatasi}. The occasional zoonotic transfer of \textit{L. major} to humans likely has little impact on the population stability of this parasite. The same may not be true for \textit{L. tropica} because the transmission cycle varies between localities and generally does not require a sylvan reservoir. \textit{Leishmania}
tropica is transmitted anthroponotically in Afghanistan by Phlebotomus sergenti but in Israel and Kenya is suspected to have a zoonotic transmission cycle with Phlebotomus sergenti transmitting parasites among populations of rock hyraxes and humans.16,17 We have not fully clarified the transmission cycle in the Sinai; however, L. tropica was isolated from rodents leaving open the possibility of zoontonic transmission. Phlebotomus sergenti accounted for ≥ 17% of sand flies captured in El Barth. Determining the parasite transmission cycle (zoontonic or anthroponotic) will be critical for disease prevention. If the transmission cycle is anthroponotic, control efforts targeting a non-human reservoir would have little effect, but reducing household level exposure to sand flies (e.g., insecticide-treated bed-nets) would be appropriate. Regardless, it is apparent that El Barth region has preconditions for a stable presence of L. tropica.

Risk factors such as increased agriculture, urbanization of rural areas, and environmental changes influence the geographic distribution of CL by altering the distribution of the vector, the reservoir host, or the frequency of contact with humans.26 Humans, the sand fly vector, and a potential reservoir are already widely distributed along Egypt’s northern coast, suggesting that L. tropica could potentially spread within Egypt without any change in risk factors.20,22,37 This same concern has been raised for L. major, whose sand fly vector and mammalian reservoir co-occur elsewhere in Egypt. Thus far, L. major has been restricted to the Sinai region and it is currently thought that geographic variation in vectorial competency limits parasite distribution.38 Phlebotomus sergenti is also refractory to infection by different populations of L. tropica.33 Without further study, it is not clear what barriers exist to prevent L. tropica from extending within the country from this newly documented focal point in El Barth.
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