Phase I/II Evaluation of the Prophylactic Antimalarial Activity of Pafuramidine in Healthy Volunteers Challenged with *Plasmodium falciparum* Sporozoites
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Abstract. We evaluated the causal prophylactic antimalarial activity of a single oral dose of pafuramidine (DB289), an experimental prodrug of active metabolite DB75, in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, outpatient study. Sixteen healthy volunteers were dosed in a single cohort. Subjects were randomly assigned to one of three treatment arms: 100 mg pafuramidine eight days before challenge, 100 mg pafuramidine the day before challenge, or placebo. Challenge was by the bites of *Plasmodium falciparum*-infected *Anopheles gambiae*. Malaria developed in 15 persons but did not develop in one person in the day–8 pafuramidine treatment arm. Plasma levels of DB75 were lower than expected, and as intended were too low to provide suppressive prophylaxis at the earliest appearance of erythrocytic parasites. We conclude that a single dose of 100 mg pafuramidine does not adequately protect non-immune individuals against *P. falciparum* and shows no clinically or statistically significant evidence of causal prophylactic activity.

INTRODUCTION

Malaria in non-immune travelers is recognized as an important and increasing health care problem affecting some 25–30 million travelers from non-endemic regions who visit malaria-endemic countries each year. The number of malaria cases reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in 1991–2001 was about 12,000, most acquired in Africa, and a number estimated to be only approximately 20% of the actual value. The CDC-recommended drugs for malaria prophylaxis include chloroquine, a fixed-dose combination of atovaquone and proguanil, mefloquine, or doxycycline. Drug resistance, cost, and tolerability/toxicity limit the usefulness of these agents.

Itself inactive, pafuramidine (DB289) is an orally available prodrug that was developed to overcome the poor bioavailability of DB75. Both compounds are structurally related to pentamidine, a commonly used antiprotozoal agent (Figure 1). DB75 was first developed for the treatment of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome–associated *Pneumocystis* pneumonia and later found to have broad-spectrum antimicrobial activities including against *Plasmodium falciparum* and *P. vivax*. The mechanism of antimicrobial activity of diamidine compounds is incompletely understood. They undergo active uptake by purine transporter systems in trypanosomes and are metabolized by a variety of enzymes. Inhibition of heme crystallization or/and collapse of the mitochondrion membrane potential is incompletely understood. They undergo active uptake by purine transporter systems in trypanosomes, and their mechanism of action may involve interference with DNA-associated enzymes, inhibition of heme crystallization, or/and collapse of the mitochondrion membrane potential. Pafuramidine is absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract and in multiple steps is biotransformed into DB75 by cytochrome(s) P450 4F and b5 reductase. Plasma concentrations of both compounds show a high interindividual variability and poor dose-concentration proportionality. Both pafuramidine and DB75 have extensive tissue binding reflected by a large volume of distribution; in rats and monkeys DB75 accumulates in several tissues, particularly liver. After a single oral dose in humans, pafuramidine plasma concentration peaks within 1–2 hours, with a half-life of 14 hours; DB75 peaks in 3–5 hours, with a half-life of 28 hours (Immtech Pharmaceuticals, Inc., New York, NY, unpublished data). Prior to the start of this study, phase I and phase II clinical trials with pafuramidine in approximately 500 persons showed good safety profiles. The most commonly observed adverse effects included nausea, vomiting, headache, dizziness, muscle pain, drowsiness, and elevation of levels of liver enzymes. These effects were mild and self-limiting, and their frequency and severity were comparable in the pafuramidine-treated and placebo or comparison drug treatment group.

Until recently, pafuramidine was in phase III trials for the treatment of early-stage human African trypanosomiasis, *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia in human immunodeficiency virus–infected patients, and phase II trials for treatment of uncomplicated malaria. The antimalarial efficacy of pafuramidine, dosed at 100 mg twice a day for 5 days, is more than 90% in uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria and 80% in *P. vivax* malaria. Although DB75 is active against the erythrocytic forms of *P. falciparum* and is expected to have suppressive prophylactic activity, its effects on exoerythrocytic parasites are unknown.

The major objective of this study was to assess the causal prophylactic activity of DB75. Causal prophylaxis is highly desirable because the number of parasites encountered in the liver is relatively small (which favors efficacy and may hinder the development of drug resistance), post-exposure prophylaxis is shorter, and there may be dual causal and suppressive activities. The expectation that DB75 may have causal activity is based on several factors. DB75 is active against multiple diverse protozoa including, but not limited to, *T. brucei* and *T. brucei*. Moreover, DB75 accumulates substantially in the liver. Rats and monkeys dosed orally with [14C] pafuramidine showed accumulation of DB75 in the liver, which was sustained for weeks after dosing, at levels some 600 times those in plasma. Additionally, DB75 interferes with mitochondrial metabolism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *T. brucei*, and exoerythrocytic stage malaria parasite development relies heavily on mitochondrial metabolism. In *Plasmodium*, mitochondrial function is critical for the *de novo* synthesis of pyrimidines (by dihydroorotate dehydrogenase), and...
The screening, informed consent for study participation was obtained at enrollment.

**Dosing regimen and rationale.** In a sporozoite challenge study, attribution of prophylactic activity to a causal mechanism requires that blood levels of DB75 be “unequivocally subtherapeutic” against erythrocytic parasites at their first possible appearance. For the NF54 strain used in the study, this is 6.5 days after challenge. Unequivocally subtherapeutic plasma levels of DB75 were defined as less than 0.25 ng/mL on the basis of two observations. First, DB75 consistently showed no detectable antimalarial activity in vitro at a concentration of 1 ng/mL (Figure 2), a level that is four times higher than our defined subtherapeutic level. (Of note, in vitro assays are conducted in 10% serum, which results in a higher proportion of free drug than is seen in vivo, and the possible overestimation of antimalarial activity attainable in vivo; DB75 is 77% protein-bound.) Second, in patients with acute *P. falciparum* malaria treated with multiple doses of pafuramidine, trough plasma concentrations of DB75 at or below 3 ng/mL were associated with an 83% failure rate, and all patients with mean trough plasma concentrations less than 2 ng/mL (a level eight times higher than our defined unequivocally subtherapeutic concentration) failed treatment (Immtech Pharmaceuticals, Inc., unpublished data). Accordingly, the designation of 0.25 ng/mL as subtherapeutic is conservative.

Conversely, for a dosing regimen of pafuramidine to be effective as a causal prophylactic, DB75 levels in the liver must be high enough to act against exoerythrocytic parasites during this period of development. Studies in rats and monkeys have shown that high levels of DB75 accumulate in the liver, and persist for weeks after dosing. In cynomolgus monkeys given a single oral dose of 5 mg/kg [¹⁴C] pafuramidine, 10% of the administered dose still remained in the liver in the form of free drug than is seen our defined unequivocally subtherapeutic level. (Of note, in vivo assays are conducted in 10% serum, which results in a higher proportion of free drug than is seen in vivo, and the possible overestimation of antimalarial activity attainable in vivo; DB75 is 77% protein-bound.) Second, in patients with acute *P. falciparum* malaria treated with multiple doses of pafuramidine, trough plasma concentrations of DB75 at or below 3 ng/mL were associated with an 83% failure rate, and all patients with mean trough plasma concentrations less than 2 ng/mL (a level eight times higher than our defined unequivocally subtherapeutic concentration) failed treatment (Immtech Pharmaceuticals, Inc., unpublished data). Accordingly, the designation of 0.25 ng/mL as subtherapeutic is conservative.

Conversely, for a dosing regimen of pafuramidine to be effective as a causal prophylactic, DB75 levels in the liver must be high enough to act against exoerythrocytic parasites during this period of development. Studies in rats and monkeys have shown that high levels of DB75 accumulate in the liver, and persist for weeks after dosing. In cynomolgus monkeys given a single oral dose of 5 mg/kg [¹⁴C] pafuramidine, 10% of the administered dose still remained in the liver in the form of free drug than is seen our defined unequivocally subtherapeutic level. (Of note, in vivo assays are conducted in 10% serum, which results in a higher proportion of free drug than is seen in vivo, and the possible overestimation of antimalarial activity attainable in vivo; DB75 is 77% protein-bound.) Second, in patients with acute *P. falciparum* malaria treated with multiple doses of pafuramidine, trough plasma concentrations of DB75 at or below 3 ng/mL were associated with an 83% failure rate, and all patients with mean trough plasma concentrations less than 2 ng/mL (a level eight times higher than our defined unequivocally subtherapeutic concentration) failed treatment (Immtech Pharmaceuticals, Inc., unpublished data). Accordingly, the designation of 0.25 ng/mL as subtherapeutic is conservative.

Conversely, for a dosing regimen of pafuramidine to be effective as a causal prophylactic, DB75 levels in the liver must be high enough to act against exoerythrocytic parasites during this period of development. Studies in rats and monkeys have shown that high levels of DB75 accumulate in the liver, and persist for weeks after dosing. In cynomolgus monkeys given a single oral dose of 5 mg/kg [¹⁴C] pafuramidine, 10% of the administered dose still remained in the liver in the form of free drug than is seen our defined unequivocally subtherapeutic level. (Of note, in vivo assays are conducted in 10% serum, which results in a higher proportion of free drug than is seen in vivo, and the possible overestimation of antimalarial activity attainable in vivo; DB75 is 77% protein-bound.) Second, in patients with acute *P. falciparum* malaria treated with multiple doses of pafuramidine, trough plasma concentrations of DB75 at or below 3 ng/mL were associated with an 83% failure rate, and all patients with mean trough plasma concentrations less than 2 ng/mL (a level eight times higher than our defined unequivocally subtherapeutic concentration) failed treatment (Immtech Pharmaceuticals, Inc., unpublished data). Accordingly, the designation of 0.25 ng/mL as subtherapeutic is conservative.

Conversely, for a dosing regimen of pafuramidine to be effective as a causal prophylactic, DB75 levels in the liver must be high enough to act against exoerythrocytic parasites during this period of development. Studies in rats and monkeys have shown that high levels of DB75 accumulate in the liver, and persist for weeks after dosing. In cynomolgus monkeys given a single oral dose of 5 mg/kg [¹⁴C] pafuramidine, 10% of the administered dose still remained in the liver in the form of free drug than is seen our defined unequivocally subtherapeutic level. (Of note, in vivo assays are conducted in 10% serum, which results in a higher proportion of free drug than is seen in vivo, and the possible overestimation of antimalarial activity attainable in vivo; DB75 is 77% protein-bound.) Second, in patients with acute *P. falciparum* malaria treated with multiple doses of pafuramidine, trough plasma concentrations of DB75 at or below 3 ng/mL were associated with an 83% failure rate, and all patients with mean trough plasma concentrations less than 2 ng/mL (a level eight times higher than our defined unequivocally subtherapeutic concentration) failed treatment (Immtech Pharmaceuticals, Inc., unpublished data). Accordingly, the designation of 0.25 ng/mL as subtherapeutic is conservative.
for erythrocytic *P. falciparum in vitro* (Figure 2). Accordingly, a single oral dose of 100 mg of pafuramidine should provide substantial levels of DB75 in liver cells.

Three treatment arms were evaluated: placebo, day −1 dosing (a single tablet containing 100 mg of pafuramidine administered the day before challenge), and day −8 dosing (a single tablet containing 100 mg of pafuramidine administered 8 days before challenge). A 100-mg dose was selected because this dose appeared to be safe and likely to attain high DB75 levels in the liver. The placebo arm was essential to demonstrate infectivity of the challenge and to reduce observer bias in interpreting efficacy and toxicity data. The day −1 arm was included to provide the greatest possible drug exposure after infection (thus, greatest chance of efficacy) that has a reasonable likelihood of falling to subtherapeutic plasma levels by day 6.5. The day −8 arm almost certainly ensures subtherapeutic plasma levels by day 6.5 and was included to exploit the anticipated persistence of high liver concentrations. The two pafuramidine-treated groups were thus designed to provide maximal levels of DB75 in the liver during exoerythrocytic development and to ensure that plasma levels of DB75 were unequivocally subtherapeutic on day 6.5 after challenge.

If both treatment arms were successful, this regimen would not only support a causal mechanism, but also suggest a once-weekly prophylaxis regimen.

**Sample size.** A total of 10 volunteers was required, assuming one-sided significance \( \alpha = 0.05 \), to detect at least 20% difference in infection rate between placebo and either of the pafuramidine-treated groups, with a statistical power of 80%. With these criteria, a placebo:drug ratio of 2:3 does not alter the required number. Therefore, four placebo recipients and six in each of two treatment groups (total of 16 volunteers) were selected. This number of volunteers provided a study small enough to be managed safely and large enough to provide an estimate of efficacy that has an exact binomial 95% confidence interval of 54–100% if six of six in a treatment arm are protected and four of four placebo recipients are not. To ensure the availability of 16 volunteers at the time of challenge, 19 were recruited and randomly assigned to three treatment arms: placebo (five persons), day −1 (seven persons), or day −8 (seven persons).

**Randomization and drug dosing.** Pafuramidine (coated tablets of pafuramidine maleate containing 100 mg of pafuramidine base) and matching placebo were provided by Immtech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Preparation of unit doses and randomization were performed by the Johns Hopkins Hospital Investigational Pharmacy. Unit doses were packaged, labeled with the study day and subject number, and provided to study personnel. At the time the randomization scheme was developed, one person from each arm was randomly selected for exclusion from challenge. These persons were followed for safety and pharmacokinetics. The code and the identity of those selected for exclusion were available only to the investigational pharmacy.

Volunteers were asked to fast after midnight before and four hours after dosing. All treatments were administered with high-fat breakfast (900 calories, 50% fat) and under direct observation. The placebo group received a placebo tablet on days −8 and −1. The day −8 treatment group received a pafuramidine tablet on day −8 and a placebo tablet on day −1. The day −1 group received a placebo tablet on day −8 and a pafuramidine tablet on day −1. All study personnel and the volunteers were blinded to the treatment assignment throughout the study.

**Mosquito infection and malaria challenge.** *Anopheles gambiae* were propagated and maintained in the environmentally controlled insectary of the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health by standard method.26 They were infected with 16–18-day-old gametocyte cultures of the NF54 strain of *P. falciparum* and used for challenge 17 days after infection. Sensitivity to chloroquine (EC50 = 3.0 ng/mL) and DB75 (EC50 = 7.3 ng/mL) were confirmed before challenge.

After pafuramidine/placebo dosing, 16 volunteers (four placebo, six in each treatment arm) were selected for challenge from the 19 who were dosed. Each person was bitten by five infected mosquitoes using a previously described method.28 After feeding, the mosquitoes were dissected to ascertain the presence of blood in the abdomen and of sporozoites in the salivary glands. Additional mosquitoes were allowed to feed until the volunteer was bitten by five infected mosquitoes. Each volunteer was then given follow-up instructions, a pager and wallet card listing names and contact number of investigators who were available at all times, and was fitted with a medical alert bracelet.

**Assessment of efficacy and safety.** Clinic visits were scheduled for all subjects on days −8 to 0. Those challenged returned daily on days 1–21, every other day on days 23–35, and weekly until 12 weeks after challenge, unless parasitemia was detected (below). Those not challenged were seen on days 7 and 14.

Efficacy evaluations were conducted on the 16 persons who were challenged. Efficacy was defined as the absence of parasitemia by all five diagnostic methods (Giemsa-stained thick and thin blood smears, QBC, PCR, and blood culture). For real-time evaluation and treatment decisions, blood for thick and thin blood smears and QBC was drawn and examined daily from day 5 to day 21, every other day from day 23 to day 35, and weekly until 12 weeks after challenge. Baseline QBC was evaluated on day −1. All microscopy methods were conducted in accordance with the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Blood for PCR was collected on day −1, day 1, daily from day 5 to day 21, and at 6 weeks after challenge; these were not assayed in real-time. Blood was cultured on days 7 and 8.

Assessment of safety for all 19 persons included a subjective clinical interview conducted in a non-directed fashion on each visit, and objective laboratory evaluation on days −8, −1, 7, and 14. Additional laboratory evaluations were done at patency and when deemed necessary. Those who developed symptomatic or laboratory abnormalities were evaluated every 1–3 days until the abnormalities resolved. Safety laboratory evaluation included hematocrit, hemoglobin, leukocyte count and differential count, platelet count, reticulocyte count, prothrombin time, international normalized ratio, activated partial thromboplastin time, Na, K, Cl, HCO3−, creatinine, glucose, albumin, total bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, magnesium, calcium, amylase, and lipase. Severity of adverse events was graded on the basis of the World Health Organization Toxicity Criteria (2000) (http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/onctools/photox.cfm).

Before the study blind was broken, all clinical symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings were reviewed and evaluated for severity and judged for their relationship to pafuramidine, malaria, and/or other factors.
Polymerase chain reaction. Blood was collected in sodium citrate and stored at −80°C. DNA was extracted from 200 μL of whole blood using the GenElute™ Mammalian Genomic DNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) per the manufacturer’s instructions and eluted into a final volume of 200 μL. DNA was amplified using subtelomeric variable open reading frame (STEVOR) primers and nested PCR conditions as previously described.30 A 20-μL aliquot of DNA was amplified in a 100-μL reaction in the first round using primers P5, P18, P19, and P20, and 2 μL of the reaction product was then amplified in a 50-μL reaction in the second round using primers P17 and P24. Five microliters of the final reaction was used for electrophoresis in a 3% agarose gel containing 0.5 μg/mL of ethidium bromide.

Patency and treatment of patent infection. Either positive blood smear (as determined by two independent examiners) or QBC (as determined by two independent examiners) was considered prophylactic failure and treated as patent infection. At patency, blood was drawn for smears, QBC, PCR, parasite culture and drug sensitivity testing, and pafuramidine and DB75 levels. Volunteers then received chloroquine phosphate (1 g salt followed by 500 mg salt at 6, 24, and 48 hr after diagnosis), and had the option to take acetaminophen, up to 1.3 g every 8 hour until symptoms resolved. Volunteers were instructed to return for clinical assessment and parasitologic examination (blood smears, QBC, and PCR), daily until three consecutive negative QBCs were obtained, and at six weeks after diagnosis. Blood was obtained for safety monitoring at diagnosis, when indicated during malaria illness, at the time of third negative QBC, and six weeks after diagnosis.

Drug assay and pharmacokinetic analyses. Blood for pafuramidine and DB75 determinations was collected from all persons who were dosed, immediately before dosing (time 0) and at 4 hours after dosing on both dosing days (day −8 and day −1), daily from day −7 to day 9, inclusive, and at patency. Plasma was collected and stored at −70°C prior to shipping for liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry analyses at Tandem Laboratory (Salt Lake City, UT).15 Lower limits of quantitation for both pafuramidine and DB75 are 0.25 ng/mL. Concentration-time plots for pafuramidine and DB75 were visually examined at all sampling periods. Pharmacokinetic parameters and frequent dosing simulation were obtained by a non-compartmental analytical method using WinNonLin software (Professional Version 4.1; Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA). The maximal plasma concentration (C max ) was identified by linear trapezoidal rule, and single dose pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated for the area under the concentration-time curve (AUC 0–144 hours), terminal elimination rate constant and elimination half-life (t 1/2 ), and apparent oral clearance (Cl/F), and apparent volume of distribution (V/F).

Urine was assayed for other antimalarial drugs using a previously described method.31 This test, which does not detect pafuramidine or DB75, was performed once before challenge and on day 7. An additional assay was conducted on day 21 for the challenged volunteer who did not develop patent parasitemia.

Statistical analyses. Descriptive and statistical analyses were performed using Stata (Intercooled Stata 9.2; StataCorp, College Station, TX) and SPSS version 9.0.1 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). The median and interquartile ranges (IQR) were used to describe C max , AUC 0–144 hours, elimination t 1/2 , V/F, and Cl/F of pafuramidine and DB75. The proportion of volunteers diagnosed with malaria in the placebo arm was compared using Fisher’s exact test with that of the day −1 or day −8 treatment arms. Time to parasitemia in each treatment arm and by each diagnostic method was plotted and analyzed using Kaplan-Meier survival curves with the log rank test and Wilcoxon signed rank analytical methods.

RESULTS

Volunteers. Some 450 candidates responded to our advertisements. By phone interview, the two most frequent reasons for exclusion were women of reproductive potential, and inability to comply with the long follow-up. A total of 50 candidates entered the screening phase and 31 were excluded (21 failed laboratory criteria, 4 could not comply with follow-up, 3 did not complete screening, 2 admitted the use of recreational drugs, and 1 failed serum support for malaria growth in vitro). Nineteen volunteers fulfilled the eligibility requirements and were enrolled. All 19 completed the study. Sixteen volunteers were selected and challenged.

Eighteen volunteers were male. There were 11 Caucasians, 6 African-Americans, and 2 Hispanic persons. Average age was 38 years (range = 23–54 years; average body mass index was 25.7 (range = 19.8–30.2). There was no significant difference in age, race, or body mass index between the placebo and drug-treated groups. The follow-up rate was 100% for a total of 451 person-visits.

Challenge. All persons tolerated the mosquito challenge well. A total of 122 mosquitoes were required to obtain 5 infectious bites per volunteer, and the procedure was completed in 3.8 hours. The volunteer who did not develop parasitemia was the eighth of 16 to be challenged and was completed within 1.5 hours of initiation of his challenge procedure. There was no correlation between challenge order and days to patency, and for all three arms there was no significant difference in the interval between dosing and challenge (data not shown). The number of mosquitoes required for each subject ranged from 6 to 9, 6 to 12, and 5 to 9 in the placebo, day −8, and day −1 arms, respectively. Salivary gland parasite indices ranged from 8 to 20, 5 to 12, and 7 to 15 in the placebo, day −8, and day −1 arms, respectively.

Efficacy. Fifteen of the 16 challenged volunteers developed patent parasitemia: 4 of 4 volunteers in the placebo arm, 6 of 6 in the day −1 arm, and 5 of 6 in the day −8 arm. One volunteer in the day −8 group did not develop parasitemia. All positive cases occurred 11–15 days after challenge: 6 on day 11, 3 on day 12, 1 on day 13, 3 on day 14, and 2 on day 15. The mean prepatent period was 12.5 days (range = 11–15 days), and there was no significant difference in the time-to-patency between the placebo and either of the treatment arms. All 15 cases were identified by QBC. At patency, a simultaneous thick blood smear was also positive for 4 of the 15 (3 on day 11 and 1 on day 12). None of the 15 persons was positive by thin blood smear. All positive cases were later confirmed by PCR, and the PCR result was negative for the person who did not develop malaria. As in previous reports and consistent with its greater sensitivity,32–35 PCR identified parasitemia up to 4 days earlier than QBC (Figure 3). None of the blood cultures collected on days 7 and 8 was positive. Eight samples cultured at patency were positive and the parasites remained fully sensitive to DB75 (EC 50 = 7.0 ng/mL).
Results of all urine tests for surreptitious use of antimalarial drugs were negative.

Safety. Prior to patency, mild and reversible subjective symptoms (headache, nausea, sinus congestion) were noted in five volunteers in the day −1 arm and 2 in the day −8 arm and were judged possibly or probably related to pafuramidine. No symptoms were noted in the placebo group before the onset of malaria. At patency, 4 of 15 volunteers were asymptomatic; the other 11 had myalgias (73%), fever (60%), headache (53%), and gastrointestinal upset (7%). As expected, symptoms worsened after treatment with chloroquine. 36,37 Fourteen of 15 persons with malaria were treated symptomatically with acetaminophen (range = 1–3 g/day) for several days (range = 1–10 days) for total doses of 0 to 17.5 g (one person was not treated).

The person in the day −8 treatment arm who did not develop patent parasitemia had a facial and periorbital rash secondary to exposure to poison ivy on day 6, and was treated with topical corticosteroid cream and oral diphenhydramine (total dose = 450 mg over days 7–12) Signs and symptoms resolved completely within three weeks.

All laboratory abnormalities developed after patency (Supplemental Table 1). Elevations of hepatic aminotransferase levels were seen in 10 of 15 persons with malaria (Table 1, Supplemental Figure 1). There were no significant differences in the pattern, frequency, or severity of laboratory changes between the placebo and pafuramidine-treated groups.

There were no clinically or statistically significant changes in results of renal function tests.

Pharmacokinetics. Pharmacokinetic parameters for pafuramidine and DB75 are shown in Table 2, and the plasma concentration-time plots are shown in Figure 4. Compared with previous studies, the pafuramidine plasma concentrations were higher than expected, with AUC 0–144 hours almost three times the previous findings in healthy volunteers (Immtech Pharmaceuticals, Inc., unpublished data). Curiously, however, plasma concentrations of DB75 were lower than expected, with C max one-third and AUC 0–144 hours 40% less than the previous observations. By day 6.5 and thereafter, plasma concentrations of both compounds were undetectable (i.e., < 0.25 ng/mL). Most other findings were similar to those reported previously: the median terminal half-lives were 17.2 hours (IQR = 10.8–24.0 hours) and 30.9 hours (IQR = 20.2–36.2 hours) for pafuramidine and DB75, respectively, with large volumes of distribution, consistent with extensive tissue accumulation. The C max and AUC 0–144 hours of DB75 were not significantly different in malaria-positive and malaria-negative persons.

DISCUSSION

In this study, a single oral dose of 100 mg of pafuramidine, given either one or eight days before sporozoite challenge, did not reliably prevent the development of parasitemia in non-immune persons challenged with *P. falciparum* transmitted by *A. gambiae* mosquitoes. Thus, pafuramidine in these regimens had no useful prophylactic activity, either causal or suppressive.

Failure of suppressive prophylaxis was expected because the dosing regimens were designed to eliminate this mechanism, and in all pafuramidine-treated volunteers, DB75 levels on day 6.5 were < 0.25 ng/mL, thus unequivocally subtherapeutic against erythrocytic parasites. Several factors may have led to inadequate causal prophylaxis including insufficient drug levels in the liver or lack of intrinsic activity against tissue parasites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of risks</th>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Toxicity (10)</th>
<th>No toxicity (9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No malaria, no acetaminophen, no pafuramidine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Malaria alone*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acetaminophen alone*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pafuramidine alone</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Malaria plus acetaminophen</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malaria plus pafuramidine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acetaminophen plus pafuramidine*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Malaria plus acetaminophen plus pafuramidine</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>All malaria</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All acetaminophen</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All pafuramidine</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No person in the study had these combinations of risk factors; N/A = not applicable.
In animals, levels of the active metabolite DB75 in liver are correlated with plasma levels of DB75. In this study, DB75 plasma levels were uniformly less than half of expected values, despite the higher than expected concentrations of precursor pafuramidine. In frequent pharmacokinetic samplings and missed C\textsubscript{max} values could account for this finding. However, in a simulation of our pharmacokinetic sampling schedule using data from the comparator study, C\textsubscript{max} and AUC\textsubscript{0-144h} of DB75 were not meaningfully different from the observed values, making this an unlikely possibility. The lower exposure of DB75 in plasma could be explained by a decrease in the conversion of pafuramidine to DB75 and/or an increase in DB75 elimination. Because the elimination half-life of DB75 is comparable to that in previous reports, the latter seems unlikely. More likely is the conversion to DB75, which requires oxidative demethylation by cytochrome(s) P450 4F and subsequent reduction by cytochrome b5 reductase. Involvement of these enzymes in drug metabolism is uncommon and little is known about their genetic polymorphism. Despite the lower than expected plasma concentrations of DB75, levels in the liver should still be substantial. Based on studies in two animal species, the observed plasma levels of DB75 would result in hepatic accumulation to levels some 1,000-fold higher than the in vitro EC\textsubscript{50} values for erythrocytic parasites. Therefore, the observed lack of causal activity could be attributed to a less than expected accumulation of DB75 in the human liver or to the possibility that DB75 does not act against exoerythrocytic stage parasites. These two alternatives cannot be distinguished in this study.

One volunteer who received 100 mg of pafuramidine on day −8 did not develop parasitemia detectable by any of the five assay methods for up to 12 weeks after challenge. The baseline characteristics of this volunteer and the plasma levels of pafuramidine and DB75 were not notably different from those of the other persons (Figure 4). His challenge period was midway through the process and overlapped with those of nine other persons. However, there are several possibilities for why he did not develop malaria. First, pafuramidine in this regimen may provide causal prophylactic activity in a small number of persons. Although interesting, such efficacy is clearly insufficient to be practical. Second, this volunteer developed a periorbital reaction to poison ivy and was treated with a relatively high dose of diphenhydramine on days 7–12 after challenge, an interval that overlaps the emergence of erythrocytic parasites. Antimalarial activity of histamine-1 antagonists including chlorpheniramine and astemizole has been reported, and diphenhydramine or its metabolites may have provided suppressive prophylaxis.

Pafuramidine was well-tolerated in this study. The major complaints and laboratory changes were those typically experienced by healthy volunteers who develop patent malaria, and were concurrent with the onset of parasitemia.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Plasma concentration-time profiles of pafuramidine (A) and its active metabolite DB75 (B). For each panel, curves on the left represent the day −8 treatment arm and those on the right represent the day −1 treatment arm. Samples with plasma levels < 0.25 ng/mL are not plotted. Open symbols = subject in day −8 treatment group in whom malaria did not develop.

Of some interest are the changes in liver function test results. The protocol was not specifically designed to assess hepatotoxicity, and the interpretation of these changes is limited by the small sample size and also by three possible causes of liver toxicity: malaria, acetaminophen, and pafuramidine. Of these three causes, liver toxicity appears to correlate best with patent malaria. This suggestion is supported by the fact that 1) all persons with elevations of enzyme levels had malaria, 2) no elevations were found in persons who did not have malaria, regardless of exposure to pafuramidine or acetaminophen, and 3) the onset of elevation of enzyme levels was closely associated with the advent of patent malaria (Supplemental Figure 1). However, it is important to note the higher-than-expected frequency and degree of elevations of aminotransferase levels in those with both malaria and acetaminophen exposure (Table 1). In these persons, the degree of abnormality combined with increasing exposure to acetaminophen, and grade 3 toxicity (uncommon in acute malaria alone) was seen only in those who took acetaminophen. In this setting, the possible contribution of pafuramidine to hepatotoxicity is difficult to assess but it appears to be less than the contributions of patent malaria and acetaminophen.

In the interval since this study was completed, new findings of renal and hepatic toxicity led Immtech Pharmaceuticals,
Inc. to discontinue the development program for pafuramidine. Although we saw no evidence of renal toxicity, the sample size may have been too small to detect this effect or the design may have excluded important contributing factors.

This study involving 16 healthy volunteers challenged in a single cohort and followed entirely an outpatient basis was safely and efficiently conducted. Careful selection and education of volunteers helped to ensure 100% compliance with some 450 clinic visits. The study design also provides reasonable statistical significance within the limits of practicality. Furthermore, for this indication, even one treatment failure would largely if not entirely rule out pafuramidine as a viable prophylactic agent. The study design also minimizes the recognized confounding effect of biologic variations in different batches of infected mosquitoes, enables the enrollment of responsible and fully informed volunteers (who can participate without missing time from work), and permits a long follow-up period to detect late parasitemia that may result from partially treated tissue parasites.

In summary, a single oral dose of 100 mg of pafuramidine administered before exposure to P. falciparum malaria infection does not provide meaningful causal prophylaxis in non-immune healthy volunteers. Good clinical efficacy of pafuramidine in the treatment of acute malaria in malarial-endemic settings indicates its potential for suppressive prophylaxis. However, the now-recognized possibilities of hepatic and renal toxicity likely preclude this application.
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Note: Supplemental Table 1 (Laboratory abnormalities) appear online at www.ajtmh.org.
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