Over-Diagnosis and Co-Morbidity of Severe Malaria in African Children: A Guide for Clinicians
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Abstract. Severe malaria is clinically similar to other severe febrile illnesses. However, in endemic areas, parasitological confirmation of parasitemia is often unavailable or unreliable. False-positive malaria microscopy is common. The most important consequence of treating only for malaria when no parasitemia exists is failure to address other life-threatening conditions. Invasive bacterial infections are detected in up to one third of children with clinical features of severe malaria but a slide with results negative for malaria. Even among genuinely parasitized children, severe illness is not always due to malaria in endemic areas. We believe that routine use of parenteral antibiotics among children with a slide that indicates malaria and life-threatening disease is warranted because invasive bacterial infections are likely to be under-ascertained and are associated with increased mortality. Published data on co-morbidity with HIV infection and malnutrition are reviewed. A structured approach to assessment and care is essential, and is largely independent of underlying etiology.

INTRODUCTION

Malaria is one of the most common causes of illness and death among children in sub-Saharan Africa. The Roll Back Malaria (RBM) partnership proposes to reduce by 75% the 2005 malaria burden by 2015. However, establishing the role of malaria in causing disease or death is not straightforward. Many of the effects of malaria on childhood health and survival are indirect; vital registration systems are often lacking; many childhood deaths occur without contact with medical services; data are often derived from verbal autopsy with poor specificity; health facility data reporting is incomplete and clinical diagnoses are often confirmed by laboratory tests. Consequently, empirical methods combining incidence, population, and climate data are used to try and overcome these limitations. For clinicians working in endemic areas, a similar set of factors also limit the accurate diagnosis and treatment of individual severely ill children.

In this article, we aim to describe the problems associated with inaccurate diagnosis of malaria in the setting of frequent parasitemia and a high likelihood of other febrile diseases; to review existing data on three co-morbid conditions (invasive bacterial infection, HIV, and malnutrition) on severe malaria in children and present further analyses of published data from Kilifi, Kenya on invasive bacterial infections.

SEARCH STRATEGY

We searched the literature primarily using Pubmed at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PubMed/. We initially searched for data on severe malaria among children in Africa, then studies elsewhere, in adults or non-severe malaria if these cases were relevant. All abstracts were read; if there was any doubt as to the article’s relevance, then the complete article was sourced. Search phrases included, “malaria AND (mis-diagnosis OR ‘mis-diagnosis’ OR overdiagnosis OR ‘over-diagnosis’)”, “malaria AND (comorbidity OR ‘co-morbidity’)”, “malaria AND (bacteremia OR bacteremia OR sepsis OR septicemia OR septicemia OR meningitis OR pneumonia)”, “malaria AND (HIV OR AIDS OR ‘acquired immune deficiency syndrome’ OR ‘human immunodeficiency virus’)”, “malaria AND (under-nutrition OR ‘under nutrition’ OR ‘undernutrition’ OR ‘mal-nutrition’ OR malnutrition)”. Data on micronutrient deficiencies, helminth infections, and the effects of malaria on the progression of HIV or malnutrition are not reviewed here.

For the purposes of this article, over-diagnosis refers to diagnosis of malaria when no parasitemia exists. This may involve reaching the diagnosis despite reliable laboratory evidence of the absence of parasitemia, a false-positive slide, or an incorrect presumptive diagnosis of malaria without laboratory confirmation. Co-morbidity refers to the presence of one or more significant diseases in addition to malaria parasitemia.

THE PROBLEMS OF DIAGNOSIS

Severe malaria can be clinically indistinguishable from other common illnesses including pneumonia, meningitis, and sepsis and in endemic areas, microscopy or other tests to confirm parasitemia are commonly unavailable or unreliable. At 10 Tanzanian hospitals, 39% of “positive” malaria slides were false positives and at 17 Kenyan outpatient clinics, the positive predictive value of a “positive” slide was only 22% (negative predictive value 93%) when compared with expert microscopy. Facilities to make diagnoses other than malaria are even less widespread. Microbiology facilities are rare, do not provide results within the first 48 hours when most deaths occur, and are relatively insensitive, and require quality control. Lack of confidence in laboratory services and the consequent “invisibility” of other etiologies may lead clinicians to treat for malaria when the slide result is negative for malaria, and not to investigate or treat other causes.

The second problem is that severe illness in genuinely parasiticemic children is not always due to malaria. In endemic areas, as many as 80% of children in the community may be
parasitemic. An autopsy study of 31 Malawian children with positive microscopy who fulfilled WHO criteria for cerebral malaria revealed that 23% of deaths were from other causes. In Kenya, the cerebrospinal fluid of 9% of 49 children with WHO-defined cerebral malaria revealed Herpes simplex type 1 infection.

Although definitions of severe malaria based on attributable fraction by parasite density can be constructed, they apply to populations and only provide a measure of probability of malaria in individual children. Fundoscopy findings specific to cerebral malaria are described; however these are unlikely to be reproducible by non-specialists outside a research setting and do not exclude significant co-morbidity.

OVER-DIAGNOSIS OF SEVERE MALARIA

The main consequence of over-diagnosis is failure to treat over causes of life-threatening disease. In a recent Tanzanian study of 4,474 severely ill patients at 10 hospitals, 54% had a negative malaria slide. Two thirds of slide-negative patients were not treated with antibiotics, and a greater proportion of these individuals died (12%) compared with those with a positive slide (7%). The research group further reported that with the exception of children under 5 years of age in the highest transmission regions, most patients treated for malaria in North Eastern Tanzania had no evidence of parasitemia. Similarly, in Ghanaian and Nigerian studies, higher mortality was again observed among the slide-negative patients. Significant over-diagnosis has also been reported among adults with a clinical diagnosis of cerebral malaria.

Some insight into the causes of severe illness among slide-negative children comes from studies in Kenya and Ghana: among Ghanaian children with signs of severe malaria, 40% of slide-negative children were bacteremic and in Kenya, 30% of children with a negative malaria slide and impaired consciousness or meningeal infection had confirmed invasive bacterial infection. Although the difficulty in distinguishing bacterial sepsis and malaria is likely to be greatest among less experienced health workers working in poorly resourced settings, the Malawian data suggest that even with stringent application of the WHO clinical definitions by an experienced research group, mis-diagnosis is common.

There are less data on respiratory distress arising from metabolic acidosis, the other principal manifestation of severe malaria, which is common in other diseases. However, there is overlap in clinical features with pneumonia and community-based programs are utilizing dual treatment when children meet criteria for both conditions.

The use of rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) may be an alternative to microscopy and further studies are needed to determine their clinical and cost effectiveness. However, in a recent trial, clinicians prescribed antimalarials only in patients with a negative test as often with RDTs as with microscopy. The underlying problem appears to be that clinicians are often unsure of what to do when clinical features are compatible with malaria, but the malaria diagnostic test (one of the few tests often available) is negative.

The high case fatality (usually > 10%) of severely ill children in this context should be reflected in approaches to management, especially the strategy for assessing and treating severely ill children with a negative or unknown malaria slide.

The principal, treatable cause of severe illness among children with clinical features of severe malaria who are not parasitemic is invasive bacterial infection. As with malaria, most deaths from invasive bacterial infection occur soon after admission, making effective initial supportive care vital. A structured approach to supportive treatment of any severely ill child including management of airway, breathing, circulation, shock, seizures, hypoxemia, severe anemia, or hypoglycemia is essential. Such an approach is the cornerstone of the early management of severe malaria and should not differ in other conditions, even when the etiology is unknown.

In seriously ill children with negative parasitological tests, parenteral antibiotics are essential. The choice of antibiotic should be guided by the clinical syndromes present (e.g., meningitis, pneumonia, or severe malnutrition) and any tests that may be available. Where no specific syndrome is present in a severely ill child, the recommended combination of ampicillin and gentamicin to treat septicemia is supported by available data. Patterns of bacterial isolates and antimicrobial sensitivities may vary locally; however, we advise caution in deviating from established guidelines unless there is proper quality control of the microbiology laboratory, ideally exter-
nally. The practice of starting antibiotics only if there is no response to antimalarial treatment is inappropriate because most deaths occur within the first 48 hours of admission.42

SEVERE MALARIA AND INVASIVE BACTERIAL INFECTIONS

There have been several case series of bacteremia or meningitis among children with malaria.11,12,45–52 The key clinical question is: do children with severe malaria and confirmed parasitemia need to be treated presumptively with antibiotics? Dual treatment is commonly performed, but is a controversial issue. No relevant randomized trials have been conducted.

Among 276 Gambian children with cerebral malaria at a referral hospital, 14 reported (5%) to be bacteremic46 and 13 (95%) survived without antibiotic treatment. In Malawi, 4.6% of 1,388 children with severe malaria were bacteremic, without a statistically significant effect on outcome.53 Both studies concluded that routine, presumptive antibiotic treatment was not warranted on the basis of their data.

In a retrospective study of children admitted to Kilifi District Hospital, Kenya between 1993 and 1996, we reported that bacteremia was associated with a greatly increased risk of death among children with severe malaria (33% versus 10.4%, \( P < 0.001 \)).12 We subsequently conducted a prospective study of invasive bacterial infections (bacteremia or meningitis) in relation to malaria between 1999 and 2001 among unselected admissions.42 Data on inpatient case fatality in relation to invasive bacterial infections with and without severe malaria were not presented in the original report, and this analysis is presented here.13 The methods have been previously described in detail.13 In this study of 11,847 acute pediatric admissions, 10,580 were aged \( \geq 60 \) days. Of these, 3,493 (33%) had signs of severe malaria (fever plus one or more of coma [Blantyre Coma Score \( \leq 2 \)], respiratory distress, or hemoglobin < 5 g/dl), and 1,516 (43%) of these had a positive malaria slide (14% of admissions).

Among those with a positive slide and signs of severe malaria, invasive bacterial infection was strongly associated with death (Table 1) despite routine antibiotics, remarkably similar to our earlier findings.46 We speculated that our findings may be biased by the inclusion of children with evidence of concurrent conditions such as malnutrition, meningitis, or lower respiratory tract infection. We therefore re-analyzed our data from children with signs of severe malaria and a positive malaria slide, first excluding children with severe malnutrition and then excluding children with CSF evidence of meningitis and children meeting WHO clinical criteria for severe or very severe pneumonia (which include respiratory distress).53 We found a similar prevalence of invasive bacterial infection to the Gambian and Malawian studies; however, there remained a strong association with mortality (Table 1).

Blood culture is a specific investigation but the sensitivity of a single culture sample can only be estimated indirectly because there is not an adequate “gold standard.” Partial antibiotic treatment, low-density bacteremia, low volume cultures, and technical factors can result in failure to culture an organism. The sensitivity of blood cultures among Kenyan children was almost one third lower for cultured samples of 1 mL compared with those of 3 mL.42 In a large series of children with carefully defined clinical sepsis in Latin America, only 26% had positive bacterial cultures.54 There was no difference in mortality between culture positive and negative children. Among Gambian children with lobar pneumonia, an organism was isolated in 52% but blood cultures were positive in only 18%.55 In Figure 1, we show the proportions of all deaths and malaria slide positive deaths that involved bacteremia in a study of more than 16,000 consecutive admissions.42 Superimposed are the estimated proportions of deaths involving bacteremia if blood culture were 50% sensitive. (In practice, sensitivity is likely to be even lower.) A further consideration is that results of studies at referral centers may differ because deaths from bacterial sepsis occur rapidly, before referral. For all these reasons, it is conceivable that involvement of bacterial sepsis among severely ill children with a positive malaria slide is more common than has been identified from existing blood culture studies. We believe that routine treatment with parenteral antibiotics is warranted because of an association with mortality and the uncertainty that malaria parasitemia is the sole cause of illness, especially when false-positive malaria microscopy may occur.

Where blood and CSF cultures are not available, treating with parenteral antibiotics until the child is no longer severely ill then completing a short (5-day) antibiotic course is a practical approach, with relatively low risk of promoting antibiotic resistance. Although not evaluated by prospective studies in this context, short-course treatment of pneumonia does ap-

| Table 1 |

Prevalence and outcome of invasive bacterial infection among 3,493 children age \( \geq 60 \) days consecutively admitted to Kilifi District Hospital with coma, respiratory distress, or hemoglobin < 5 g/dl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>N (%) with detected invasive bacterial infection</th>
<th>Case fatality with invasive bacterial infection</th>
<th>Case fatality without invasive bacterial infection</th>
<th>Odds ratio adjusted for age (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malaria slide negative</td>
<td>1,977</td>
<td>238 (12%)</td>
<td>77/238 (32%)</td>
<td>121/1,739 (7.0%)</td>
<td>6.32 (4.54 to 8.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria slide positive</td>
<td>1,516</td>
<td>83 (5.5%)</td>
<td>27/83 (33%)</td>
<td>110/1,433 (7.7%)</td>
<td>5.91 (3.58 to 9.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria slide positive excluding children with severe malnutrition*</td>
<td>1,154</td>
<td>45 (3.9%)</td>
<td>11/45 (24%)</td>
<td>70/1,109 (6.3%)</td>
<td>4.91 (2.38 to 10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria slide positive excluding children with CSF evidence of meningitis† or meeting WHO criteria for pneumonia‡</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>31 (4.4%)</td>
<td>10/31 (32%)</td>
<td>36/674 (5.3%)</td>
<td>8.94 (3.88 to 20.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Severe malnutrition was defined as weight for age \( \leq -4 \) or kwashiorkor*.
† Positive CSF culture, latex antigen test, or leukocyte count > 50 per microlitre.55
‡ WHO referral care guidelines.43
The global distribution of malaria and Vanuatu have reported stunt-
ne effects. It may be that effects of HIV are limited among children who have not yet acquired immunity to malaria. There is an urgent need for detailed epidemiologic, clinical, and immunologic studies in children. Cotrimoxazole prophylaxis is highly effective in preventing malaria. Cotrimoxazole prophylaxis resulted in an incidence rate ratio for malaria of 0.28 among Ugandan adults, and a protective efficacy of 99.5% among HIV-uninfected Malian children. However, there are no published data on the effects of cotrimoxazole or antiretroviral treatment on malaria among HIV-infected children. There is some evidence from adults of an increased risk of clinical treatment failure, mainly due to new infections rather than to recrudescence (hazard ratio, 3.28). There was no increased risk of treatment failure among 1,802 HIV-infected children.

On the basis of the current data, the clinical approach to HIV-infected children with severe malaria should be that outlined above. The need for investigation and treatment of other causes of severe illness such as bacterial and opportunistic infections is likely to be greater among HIV-infected children. Admission with severe malaria is a valuable opportunity for diagnostic testing and counseling (DTC).

**SEVERE MALARIA AND HIV**

More than 90% of the 3 million HIV-1–infected children worldwide live in sub-Saharan Africa. The widespread co-existence of HIV and malaria makes any interaction of considerable public health importance, but is essential for detecting meningitis, which cannot be reliably distinguished from cerebral malaria clinically. Most cases of meningitis can be identified from simple tests of CSF: inspection of turbidity, leukocyte count by microscopy and CSF: blood glucose ratio.

**SEVERE MALARIA AND MALNUTRITION**

Malnutrition is thought to contribute to 53% of under-5 mortality in the developing world. The global distribution of malnutrition overlaps with that of malaria. The relationship between malnutrition and malaria is unclear. Under-nutrition is widely believed to be protective for malaria, largely from hospital rather than community-based studies and no single study has convincingly refuted this view. Caulfield and others have applied risk data from two cohort studies in Gambia and Vanuatu to the global distribution of malnutrition. The studies yielded a non-significant pooled risk estimate for clinical malaria of 1.31 for being underweight. However, the Vanuatu study, which contributed most cases, principally reported the effect on malnutrition after *P. vivax* infection, making the direction of association unclear. For the malaria mortality risk associated with being underweight, Caulfield and others examined data from studies in Ghana, Guinea Bissau, and Senegal. They found statistically significant pooled relative risks for death from malaria of 9.49, 4.48, and 2.12 for children with weight for age *z*-scores of < −3, −3 to −1, and −1 to −2 compared with a *z*-score of > −1.

Two recent cross sectional surveys have reported stunting to be associated with clinical malaria (odds ratio, 1.77 and incidence rate ratio, 1.91). Additionally, a cohort study in Gambia reported a relative risk for malaria episodes of 1.35 for stunting. However, clinical malaria (i.e., fever) was mainly diagnosed by verbal autopsy and not confirmed by microscopy. In none of these studies were microbiological investigations performed to exclude bacterial infection.
Among hospital admissions in the Gambia, there was a clear association between low weight for age and mortality.\(^2\)

Case fatality among children at $<-4$ z scores was 20% compared with 6.8% at $>-2$ z scores. Data from Nigeria\(^3\) and other African data reviewed by Rice and others\(^4\) support these findings and suggest a convincing relationship between nutritional status and the outcome of malaria in hospitalized children.

Malnutrition is commonly under-diagnosed by health workers, especially if focused on another disease process.\(^5\) Measuring weight and height and looking up a Z score or percentile on tables is cumbersome and error prone, especially among severely ill children. Simpler methods such as mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) are probably more appropriate.\(^5\) There are few data on clinical features and optimal management among children with severe malaria and malnutrition. Altered fluid homeostasis may influence the pathophysiology of acidosis and make safe fluid resuscitation difficult.\(^3\)

When intravenous fluids are given, severely malnourished children should be monitored closely with frequent observation as suggested in the WHO referral care guidelines.\(^6\) Replacement of electrolytes and micronutrients such as potassium and zinc are an essential component of treatment of hospitalized malnourished children, despite not being specifically indicated for severe malaria.\(^5,7\) Children with severe malnutrition are susceptible to invasive bacterial infections\(^8\) and severe malnutrition should itself be regarded as a syndromic indication for parenteral antibiotics in a seriously ill, febrile child. Further clinical studies of management of undernourished children with malaria, especially of glucose, fluid replacement, and bacterial infections, are awaited.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Malaria is frequently over-diagnosed and results in failure to treat other life-threatening conditions, invasive bacterial infection being the most commonly identified. Importantly, there are worryingly few data on the relationships and consequences of HIV or malnutrition among children with severe malaria. Survival in severely ill children with or without malaria depends on structured, early assessment and supportive management with resuscitation, oxygen, fluids, blood, glucose, and anticonvulsants (Box 1). These are largely independent of underlying etiology. We have previously proposed that decisions regarding antibiotic and antimalarial treatment among severely ill children should be made entirely separately, according to the clinical syndromes present, the available laboratory resources, and knowledge of local epidemiology.\(^1\) The approach outlined will be familiar to practitioners in the United States and Europe where highly structured approaches to treating the critically ill child have evolved from clinical research over the past 15 years. Examples include the modern approaches to management of meningococcal sepsis or resuscitation procedures. Several recent studies of pediatric practice in East Africa demonstrate that such structured assessment and treatment are rarely used in this setting.\(^7,8,9\) Further research and the development of guidelines and training programs should therefore reflect these approaches in the context of a high case fatality of severely ill children in this setting and the likely uncertainty of the causes of disease. 
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